DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 056 433 40 EC 040 473

AUTHOR Krug, Richard F.; Hawkins, Frances P.
TITLE A Project to Develop and Evaluate the Effectiveness of Instructional Materials for the Deaf, Designed to Emphasize the Syntactical Meaning of Words. Final Report.
INSTITUTION Colorado Univ., Boulder.
SPONS AGENCY Bureau of Education for the Handicapped (DHEW/OE), Washington, D.C.
BUREAU NO BR-5-0418
PUB DATE Jan 70
GRANT OEG-32-15-0180-1019
NOTE 239p.

EDRS PRICE MF-$0.65 HC-$9.87
DESCRIPTORS *Aurally Handicapped; Demonstration Projects; *Exceptional Child Research; Guidelines; Instructional Materials; *Preschool Children; *Sentence Structure; Teaching Methods; *Word Recognition

ABSTRACT

The demonstration project was designed to develop a teaching method and instructional materials that would emphasize syntactic meanings of words for deaf preschool children. The teaching method was developed with a group of six deaf preschool children, and then demonstrated and modified in five other schools for the deaf. The teaching method was found to be suitable for deaf children, 3 and 4 years old, with no previous knowledge or skills in speechreading, speech, reading, writing, or manual communication. The teaching method consisted of the use of print as the major input for the child, preprinted vocabulary as the leading means of demonstrating or expressing syntactic understanding, and child participation and control over classroom activities during project sessions. The project emphasized the power one can exert over people through proper use of language. Guidelines were provided for making print become symbolic for the children and for demonstrating syntactic functions of words within various sentence structures. An appendix of six stories concerning reactions of both children and teacher to the project teaching method suggested that the method was applicable to analysis of a wide variety of sentence structures and to deaf children at various grade levels. (CB)

ED056433

PA-40
OE-BEH
EC
BR 5-0418

FINAL REPORT

Project No. 5-0418
Grant No. OEG 32-15-0180-1019

A PROJECT TO DEVELOP AND EVALUATE THE EFFECTIVENESS OF INSTRUCTIONAL MATERIALS FOR THE DEAF, DESIGNED TO EMPHASIZE THE SYNTACTICAL MEANING OF WORDS

(A DEMONSTRATION PROJECT)

Richard F. Krug
University of Colorado
Boulder, Colorado 80302

EC 040 473E

January 1970

Department of Health, Education, and Welfare

U. S. Office Of Education
Bureau of Education for the Handicapped

II

ED056433

FINAL REPORT

Project No. 5-0418
Grant No. OEG 32-15-0180-1019

A PROJECT TO DEVELOP AND EVALUATE THE EFFECTIVENESS OF INSTRUCTIONAL MATERIALS FOR THE DEAF, DESIGNED TO EMPHASIZE THE SYNTACTICAL MEANING OF WORDS

(A DEMONSTRATION PROJECT)

Richard F. Krug
University of Colorado
Boulder, Colorado 80302

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE
OFFICE OF EDUCATION
THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

January 1970

Department of Health, Education, and Welfare

U. S. Office Of Education
Bureau of Education for the Handicapped

Final Report

Project No. 5-0418
Grant No. OEG 32-15-0180-1019

A Project to Develop and Evaluate the Effectiveness of Instructional Materials for the Deaf, Designed to Emphasize The Syntactical Meaning of Words

(Teaching Syntax to Young Deaf Children)

A Demonstration

Richard F. Krug
University of Colorado
Boulder, Colorado 80302

January, 1970

The research reported herein was performed pursuant to a demonstration grant, No. OEG 32-15-0180-1019, with the Bureau of Education for the Handicapped, U.S. Office of Education, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. Contractors undertaking such projects under government sponsorship are encouraged to express freely their professional judgment in the conduct of the project. Points of view or opinions stated do not, therefore, necessarily represent the official position of the Bureau of Education for the Handicapped.

Department of Health, Education and Welfare

U.S. Office of Education

Bureau of Education for the Handicapped

Acknowledgement

Special acknowledgement is given to Mrs. Carol MacCartney McCracken, M.A., who served as Project Coordinator 1965-66 and to Mrs. Marjory Bainton Beal, M.A., who served as Project Coordinator 1966-67 for their steadfast attention to this Project, and to the following Schools for the Deaf in which the teaching approach was demonstrated and modified:

Callier Hearing and Speech Center, Dallas Pilot Institute for the Deaf

Colorado State School for the Deaf and Blind

Denver Public Schools, Evans School

Gallaudet College, Speech and Hearing Clinic

University of Oklahoma School for the Deaf

Western Pennsylvania School for the Deaf

Table of Contents

I. Summary

II. Introduction

III. Method or Approach Used in the Demonstration

- A. Planning Phase
- B. The Development of Instructional Procedures
- C. The Demonstration and Modification of Instructional Procedures

IV. Findings

- A. Reactions of Children to Procedures Used to Introduce Them to the Printed Form of Language
- B. Reactions of Children to Procedures Used to Emphasize Syntax
- C. Reactions of Teachers to the Procedures

V. Dissemination of Information

VI. Discussion

VII. Conclusions

VIII. Appendix

- A. Teaching Syntax to Young Deaf Children
- B. The Logic of Action

I. SUMMARY

The purpose of the demonstration project was to develop an instructional technique which would emphasize the syntactic meaning of words for deaf children at the preschool level. The initial technique was developed with a small group of deaf children, and then demonstrated and modified in five additional schools for the deaf. The resultant technique appears suitable for deaf children with no previous knowledge or skills in speechreading, speech, reading, writing or manual communication, and appear particularly suitable for deaf children three and four years of age. Characteristic of the instructional approach are (1) the use of print as the leading input for the child, (2) pre-printed vocabulary as the primary means of demonstrating or expressing an understanding of syntax, and (3) the child's participation and control over classroom activities during the instructional sessions. In addition, the approach attempts to demonstrate to the child the power he can exert over persons in the immediate environment through the proper use of language. Step-by-step procedures are provided for making print become symbolic for the children, and for then demonstrating the syntactic functions of words within the sentence structure explored.

Reactions of both children and teachers suggest that the technique could be applied to the analysis of a wide variety of sentence structures and that it may well be appropriate for deaf children at various grade levels.

II. INTRODUCTION

A common concern of teachers of the deaf is that the deaf child fails to achieve language skills commensurate with that of his hearing peers. In the sincere desire to lessen the difference between the language skills of the deaf and those of hearing children, great emphasis has been placed upon the need to enroll the hearing impaired child in an instructional or educational environment at as early an age as possible. However, when the young deaf child is actually enrolled for formal training, it is extremely difficult to develop a consistent, reliable and practical means of imparting information to him and eliciting information from him. This difficulty arises because the child is most often unable to read lips, speak intelligently, write, read, or use formal manual communication effectively. Therefore, the development of communication skills obsequious to the needs of the young deaf child are the prime concern of the teacher of the deaf. The ability to communicate, however, requires more than mastery of the method or manner of communication; i.e., speechreading, vocalizing, reading, writing or finger spelling; it demands a knowledge of how isolated words or symbols are organized into groups of symbols to convey information and to provide meaning.

It appears, then, that the teacher of the young deaf child must emphasize the structure or syntax of language as well as develop a vocabulary and a proficiency with the specific sets of symbols used in communication. Furthermore, it appears that more attention must be given to methods, approaches, and techniques which stress word order, as well as to provide an opportunity to teach vocabulary.

Several systems for teaching word order and language structure are now used in schools for the deaf. Systems such as the Barry Five Slates and Fitzgerald Key acknowledge the importance of sentence structure. These systems, however, have several disadvantages when used with deaf children of preschool age.

1) The words within the sentence must be separated in order to be placed in an appropriate column which designates the function of the word or phrase within the sentence.

2) The separated sentences in the Slate or the Key bear limited physical resemblance to the same sentence or group of words as seen in normal print.

3) The inability of preschool children to write rapidly dilutes the effectiveness and most fruitful use of the Key or Five-Slates approach with this group.

4) The complexity of a system such as the Key often precludes extensive use of the approach by parents.

The singular contribution of both the Five Slates and the Fitzgerald Key approaches is that they call attention to the fact that there are classes of words and that specific words or groups of words perform a specific function with reference to the meaning of the entire sentence. These techniques can also make clear that the function of a class of words is dependent upon its relative position within a sentence. A problem is to develop additional instructional procedures which simplify the teaching of syntax, and which can be used effectively with preschool deaf children having no previous language experience.

The prime concern of this demonstration was to develop procedures of instructional techniques which would allow the young deaf child to understand the function of a class of words within a sentence, rather than simply expose him to the denotative meaning of the word. Ordinarily, there is extremely little or no attempt to teach the preschool deaf child syntax during his first year of language instruction. Attention of the preschool deaf child is normally directed to, (1) sense training, (2) basic speechreading skills limited to specific isolated words together with a limited number of sentences useful in the daily operation and management of the classroom, and (3) an introduction to amplified sound. The usual procedure is to attempt to develop an understanding of syntax only after speechreading, oral and aural skills have been developed, and to depend upon these skills for the further development of language and understanding of its syntactic structure.

Objectives of the Demonstration Project

Although the general purposes of the project were mentioned previously, it is necessary to enumerate the several specific objectives within the general purpose:

1. The prime objective was to develop instructional procedures which would allow the child to become aware of and understand the importance of sentence structure.

2. A second objective was to prepare an instructional manual to describe in detail the procedures and approaches which proved successful in teaching syntax, and which would be available to teachers of the deaf.

3. A third specific objective was to share with teachers of the deaf that information found useful in teaching syntax to young deaf children. This was to be accomplished through personal conferences, in service training programs, and kinescope presentations.

III. METHOD OR APPROACH USED IN THE DEMONSTRATION

The project was carried out in four phases. Phase I was the In-

itial Planning Phase, in which the basic approach was established, Phase II was concerned with the Development of Instructional Procedures, Phase III, the Modification and Demonstration of Instructional Methods, and Phase IV, Dissemination of Information.

A. Planning Phase: Basic Plans, 1964-65

During the Planning Phase, knowledgeable and capable individuals from the fields of psychology, linguistics, child growth and development, and educational research, as well as teachers of the deaf were consulted regarding the development of an approach to teaching syntax to preschool deaf children. At times, members of the group met as a whole on the campus of the University of Colorado, and at other times, the Project Director met with members individually. In all of the deliberations and discussions, the consultants were required to test suggestions against the criteria for instructional techniques established by the Project Director. The criteria proposed were as follows, and appeared in the original proposal to the U.S. Office of Education.

a. That the language presented to the preschool deaf children be structured in acceptable English and utilize vocabulary related to their interests, activities and needs.

b. That the medium of communication be visual, as this tends to be a more reliable sensory input for deaf children.

c. That the instructional techniques provide the opportunity to understand syntactical meaning of words without reference to complicated rules.

d. That the approach provide enough flexibility to allow the teacher to incorporate the child's spontaneous language or expression of an occurence of the moment.

e. That the system corroborate and foster the development of related language skills such as reading, writing, speech or finger spelling.

f. That the approach provide the child a means of expressing himself.

g. That the techniques be applicable to deaf children regardless of their method of interpersonal communication.

h. The approach was to make no unrealistic demands upon the mental, emotional or motor abilities of the preschool deaf child.

i. The techniques and procedures should tend to lead to, or strengthen existing systems of language instruction.

j. The techniques would have to be such that parents could utilize them at home.

Within the limitations imposed by the criteria for instructional procedures, and the ideas generated through conferences, the instructional approach began to take shape. The basic features which were clearly formulated, in turn directly effected the specific teaching techniques which could be used and the type of classroom management which would be required. A primary feature of instruction was that the printed form of language was to be used to initiate the concept of syntax or word function. Print was chosen because it is constant and consistent. Constant in that the printed word is available for a given period of time and can be referred to again and again by the child. This is in contrast to speechreading where the movement once executed is no longer available to the child. The printed form is consistent in as much as a letter within a given word does not change its form as a function of position within the word. This is in contrast to speechreading wherein a speech movement may vary dependent upon the phoneme which precedes or follows it. The intent was to supply the young deaf child with all possible information available during the initial instructional stages, and the printed set of symbols appears to provide this more aptly than does the set of symbols used to receive information through speechreading.

Another feature of the instructional approach relates to the manner in which teaching objectives were stated. Statements of instructional goals for a specific language session or series of sessions were stated from the teacher's point of view, and also from the child's frame of reference. That is to say that the teacher, in addition to stating in the usual manner that which she wished to accomplish, had to state her objectives in terms of terminal behavior expected of the child, or had to include a statement of what the child was expected to understand, feel, or know at the end of a particular series of sessions.

A third feature of the approach was that language instruction for the demonstration group was to be of two types; the formal and informal. The formal instructional sessions were to deal with the core of the demonstration, that is, the analysis and demonstration of syntax through print, while the informal language instruction was to deal with other aspects of language common to any classroom of preschool deaf children. With such an arrangement it was possible to supply language appropriate to happenings within and outside the classroom, and with a sentence structure which might deviate from that being analyzed at the moment as part of the formal language instruction. The "demonstration analysis" approach used to teach syntax required more than a single exposure to the sentence structure and all classroom activity could not be described with the particular sentence structure being studied, thus the need for the informal language instruction.

Since print was to serve as the vehicle of instruction, it was essential that print become symbolic for the children, and as a consequence, specific instructional techniques were developed to do this. Once the initial instructional stage (that of making print symbolic) had been completed, the plan was to have the teacher consider two types of instructional techniques; those approaches and materials needed to develop vocabulary, and those techniques and materials needed to teach a knowledge of syntax and word order.

Materials for vocabulary development were to consist of the real objects, toys, pictures, illustrations, models, etc., which are normally used in nursery, preschool and preparatory classes for deaf children. The materials to be used for developing an awareness of syntax and word order were to be rectangular blocks of wood, each color coded to represent a given syntactic unit. It was expected that the teacher could use a grease pencil to print the word upon the plastic-coated block of the proper color. All nouns were to appear on blue blocks, verbs upon dark rose blocks and adjuectives upon yellow blocks. Adverbs were to be placed upon light rose and article upon pale blue blocks. The hope for result in the use of the blocks was that the children would soon learn that blocks of certain colors had a specific position within a series of blocks, and that certain colored blocks always had an observable referent, while others might not. As sentence structure became more complex, it was assumed that blocks of additional colors could be added.

Colored blocks were originally selected as the primary material for instruction in syntax for a number of reasons. First, it was felt that it would be less difficult to associate color with the function of a word than it would be to use other types of symbols or methods of classifying function. Second, by having vocabulary pre-printed on blocks, there would be no need for the child to spend time trying to print the word. Finally, it appeared that blocks would be of interest to children in that they would provide an object which they could handle and manipulate.

During the planning stage, the blocks and a "sentence rack" were designed and built. The sentence rack consisted of two tracks onto which the blocks would be placed to create an entire sentence. Each track would be raised or lowered according to the height of the children, and each track could be tilted so that only one face of each block would be available to the child. Storage for blocks not in use was provided at the base of the sentence rack. The language rack and color-coded blocks were to constitute the primary materials for teaching sentence structure and syntax.

It seems appropriate at this point to state that although the colored blocks were used to some degree in the early instructional stages, they were soon found to be too cumbersome to manage. In ad-

dition, the joint observations of the Project Coordinator and the Project Director revealed that the three and four year old deaf children of the demonstration class did not need the crutch of color-coded blocks to learn or understand word function. It appeared that word order alone was sufficient to designate the class of the word or syntactic function of the word. As a result, the color-coded language blocks were supplanted by the traditional slot-chart and oak-tag paper upon which words could be written.

It was during the planning stage that several basic fucntions of language were outlined to serve as a guide or model for concepts to be taught. They were stated in terms of the uses one ordinarily makes of language, and were later modified to some degree during the instructional stage. <u>In final form they were stated from the child's point of view, and in the following order</u>:

1. I can iniate activity.

    a. I can dictate WHO will participate in the activity.
    b. I can dictate WHAT the person(s) will do.

2. I can find out what happened when I did not see it happen.

    a. I can find out WHO participated in the activity.
    b. I can find out WHAT activity occurred.

3. I can tell others what happened when THEY did not see it occur.

    a. I can tell WHO participated in the activities.
    b. I can tell WHAT activity occurred.

4. I can find out what is going to happen.

    a. I can find out WHO will engage in that activity.
    b. I can find out WHAT activity WILL OCCUR.

5. I can tell others what WILL HAPPEN.

    a. I can tell them WHO will engage in the activity.
    b. I can tell them WHAT ACTIVITY will occur.

6. I can record what happened.

    a. I can record WHO participated in the activity.
    b. I can record WHAT ACTIVITY occurred.

Those engaged in planning the basic approach to instruction felt that understanding of word function (syntax) could be developed without having the child subjected to a host of rules or memorized guides. They felt that an understanding of both vocabulary and syntax could be

engendered by allowing the child to observe a one-to-one relationship between changes in environment or activity, and changes in print.

With the general flavor of the instructional approach as just described, the development of instructional procedure began.

B. The Development of Instructional Procedures

a. 1965-66 School Year

In July, 1965, Mrs. Carol McCartney McCracken assumed the duties of Project Coordinator, and began work with a demonstration class of six deaf preschool children. The initial experimental class, with whom instructional procedures were developed consisted of the first six children on the list awaiting entrance to the Evans School for the Deaf in Denver, Colorado. Ages upon entrance ranged from three years six months to four years five months. Hearing levels ranged from approximately 55 dB to 90 dB (ASA) for the speech range in the better ear, and mental ability as assessed with the Ontario Test for Deaf Children and reported in I.Q.'s ranged from 105 to 116. All children were classified as deaf, according to the criteria established by the Conference of Executives of American Schools for the Deaf, inasmuch as none of the children could use their hearing for communication and none possessed oral communication skills. The class as a whole exhibited the variety of individual characteristics commonly found in the average classroom of children. In addition to impaired hearing, one child within the group demonstrated extreme shyness and inability to make decisions. A second child appeared to suffer a rather severe emotional problem closely related to the particular family circumstances which previaled at the time. Another child was receiving medication for a condition which resembled petite mal seizures, and was following a regime of drug therapy. Still another child exhibited motor problems which were mildly cerebral palsied in nature, and which interfered only minimally with classroom performance. One of the children suffered a partial paralysis of the musculature of the naso-pharyngeal area, and in addition had extreme difficulty in controlling the laryngeal components of the speech mechanism. In short, it appeared that only one child of the six failed to exhibit either a physical or emotional disorder in addition to hearing impariment.

Prior to the beginning of instruction, a conference was held with the parents of the participating children during which the nature of the demonstration project was discussed. Though given the opportunity to withdraw their child from the program, no parent chose to do so. During the first semester, a total of three conference with all parents were held jointly by the Project Coordinator and the Project Director. In addition individual conferences were held between the Project Coordinator and parents. The purposes of the conference were twofold; first, to keep the parents aware of the progress of their

children, inasmuch as they seemed to diverge grossly from the emphasis of instruction offered the children in the rest of the classes for the deaf within the school.

The class met Monday through Friday from 7:30 AM until 10:45 AM. Instruction directly related to the printed form and syntax was limited to 45 minutes per day. During the remainder of the day the teacher was occupied with the preparation materials, evaluation of the effectiveness of the procedures in use, development of additional procedures, and in recording those procedures which could be included in an instruction manual for teachers. Close contact and interaction of the Project Coordinator and the Project Director provided for a smooth flow of modifications and alterations in instructional techniques.

The classroom, located within a school which housed both hearing impaired and normally hearing children, was rather large, 34 X 28 feet. Specific activities were centered in specific areas of the room. There was a play area which contained a set of large climbing blocks, a jungle gym, two four-seat rocking boats and climbing ladders. The language area in one corner of the room contained six small chairs, a chair for the teacher, two low tables, a slot chart and a magnetic chalk board, and was used for the formal language work related directly to the project. An individual work area was characterized by six small individual desks which were used by those children not working directly with the project coordinator at a particular time. Informal printed language was presented in an area near the doorway, inasmuch as most of it pertained to activities such as recess, milk-time, use of the rest rooms, gym activities, etc.

At approximately six-week intervals, a portable video tape unit was taken to the classroom, and classroom activities were recorded to provide a visual record of the techniques used. The services were provided by the personnel of the University of Colorado Bureau of Audio Visual Instruction, who used the video equipment of the University Speech and Hearing Clinic.

Once each week the Project Director observed classroom activity during the morning, and during the major portion of the remaining part of the day reviewed instructional procedures and progress of the children with the Project Coordinator. In addition, a seminar group of graduate students of the University of Colorado met weekly to review specific aspects of educational procedures with the deaf, and to offer suggestions and in general attempt to provide a creative touch to the project. Each member of the seminar group visited the classroom periodically and became quite familiar with the project. The Project Coordinator, Project Director and seminar group periodically reviewed the video tapes of the class instruction in an effort to more objectively evaluate the instructional setting and techniques. Such sessions were exceedingly valuable, inasmuch as they provided the opportunity to observe learning when techniques were appropriate, as well as to pro-

vide excellent examples of how not to carry on instruction. The review of video tapes was most valuable to the Project Coordinator in modifying her daily instructional procedures, and in letter her see the effects of specific structured instructional sessions.

A variety of classroom activities was carried on. The first week of class was spent in gaining rapport with the students, orienting them to the daily routine, and in general attempting to gather information regarding the capabilities and singular characteristics of each child.

The specific instructional procedures used to make print symbolic and to teach the syntactic function of words are described in detail in the instructional manual which is appended to this report,[1] and need not be repeated here. What is important to state at this point, is that the sentence structure "Subject-Verb-Indirect Object-Direct Object" was used in the demonstration of instructional techniques.

At the end of the first semester, the group had accomplished the following:

1. Print had become symbolic for them. They understood that all persons had names, and that they could solicit names from individuals.

2. They understood that all objects had names, and that they could solicit the names of objects unknown to them from their teacher or parents.

3. They understood that they could control the actions of their classmates through print, and that they, in turn, could be controlled or directed through print, by classmates.

4. They appeared to understand the functions of the subject, indirect object and the direct object of the sentence used in the demonstration.

5. Each child could utilize the pronoun "me" as the indirect object of the sentence as well as to use a Proper noun in that position.

6. Each child could control classroom activity by varying the words appearing as the Subject, Indirect Object and Direct Object of the sentence. At this point, the verb was held constant.

7. Each child could react to the printed sentence created by his

[1] See Appendix A

peer.

During the second semester the compound direct object was introduced, the function of the verb was introduced, and the simple question forms of "Who is here," "Who is not here," "What is this," and "What happened?" were introduced. In addition, the children were exposed to sentences which were not analyzed in terms of syntax, but were useful in everyday activities at home and at school. Informal printed instruction was based on the following sentences:

It's time to eat.
It's time to go to bed.
It's time to take a bath.

It's time to go home.
It's time for milk.
It's time to go to the bathroom.

I have to go to the bathroom.

It was during this period that each parent reported easily observed and somewhat dramatic changes in behavior of their children. In general, three behavioral changes were reported by all parents: a) all reported that their child was more easily managed at home, b) all reported an eagerness on the part of the child to come to school which replaced early and decided apprehension usually associated with being separated from the home, and c) all reported an increase in vocalizations of their child while attempting to communicate. The last observation was reported, even though major emphasis was upon print and not upon oral communication. The individual personality changes reported by the parents were corroborated by observation of the Project Coordinator and Project Director.

Also during this period, Francis Hawkins[1] began weekly visits to the classroom in an effort to further stimulate the children's interest in activities related to the physical sciences. A wide variety of materials were made available to the children, and learning took place in an unstructured, learn-by-doing environment. Appendix B contains detailed observations and photos of the children and their activities compiled by Mrs. Hawkins.

b. Summer 1966

During the summer of 1966, teachers from Gallaudet College Speech

[1] Hawkins, Francis P. Elementary Science Advisory Center, University of Colorado.

and Hearing Clinic, the Colorado State School for the Deaf and Blind, the Dallas Pilot Institute for the Deaf, the University of Oklahoma School for the Deaf, and the Western Pennsylvania State School for the Deaf were brought to the campus of the University of Colorado. The purpose of the two-day conference was to orient these selected teachers to the procedures and techniques developed with the original demonstration class with the intent of utilizing the procedures in their own classrooms. Discussions led by the Project Director and Project Coordinator, together with live demonstrations with the children and a series of video tapes of instructional techniques constituted the major features of the conference. The participating teachers were apprised of the fact that they were not expected to revamp their entire language instruction program, but that they were expected to follow the procedures described in the instructional manual which was being prepared whenever they wished to emphasize syntax.

The remainder of the summer was utilized in preparing an instructional manual for the participating teachers. The manual described the basic philosophy, specific sequence of concept formation relative to syntax, and detailed instructional procedures. Each teacher was asked to use the techniques described in the manual without alteration when initiating an introduction to language, and each was asked to note the inappropriate activities, and to modify, if necessary, to meet the specific needs of their particular class whenever the instructional techniques failed to attain the type of performance desired or intended. It was further suggested that once the techniques in the manual were used, the teacher expand or incorporate any other original, unique or creative procedures they saw fit.

Of some disappointment to the Project Director was the failure of a home-oriented training program to develop during the summer. A series of events, including a change of personnel, variations in summer vacation periods, and preparation of the instructional manual, all prevented a formal, project-directed home training program from becoming a reality.

c. School Year 1966-67

The marriage of the Project Coordinator, together with her move to another part of the country, made it necessary to appoint a new person to work with the demonstration group during the 1966-67 school year. A conference was again held with the parents of the children, and the goals and general instructional approach outlined. Although again given the opportunity to withdraw their children from the demonstration class, none did so. The goals for the second year of instruction were outlined to the parents as follows:

1. To increase the number of sentence forms or structures to be analyzed through activity and demonstration.

2. To increase the vocabulary of words within classes of words.

3. To institute a program of relating speechreading to the printed form.

4. To intensify the effort to develop oral communication.

The school day was increased from a half day to a full day, and the children followed the same school-day schedule as all other classes of deaf chidlren within the school. It was during the early part of the school year that it became apparent that there was, on the part of some of the faculty of the school, a lack of enthusiasm for the teaching approach used with the demonstration class. The most common criticism of the approach was that it was not "oral" enough. The Project Director was informed by the school administration that the children in the demonstration class were to be integrated into the normal instructional pattern and curriculum at the beginning of the 1967-68 school year. This meant that certain changes in goals for the year had to be modified. The position was taken that our prime responsibility was to the children of the demonstration class, and that of second importance was our responsibility to the program outlined in the demonstration proposal. As a result, considerable time was spent in trying to prepare the group for distribution among other classes within the school which would take place the following year. Such preparation included introduction to the typical and traditional sequence of teaching language concepts, ascribing to the stated vocabulary of words expected of a child at the end of the second year of instruction, and for a portion of the day subscribing to instructional procedures of "the system" to which the children would be exposed.

High priority was placed upon devising instructional procedures which would logically, consistently and thoroughly relate speech-motor movements to the already known printed vocabulary and sentence forms known to the children. The basic premise upon which the instructional procedures were based was simple---the speech-motor movements were to serve as substitutes or replacements for the known printed symbol, and as a result the technique consisted of a planned program in which printed symbols were sequentially deleted from the message or information, and subsequently replaced by the speech-motor symbol. The sequence of substitution followed much the same pattern as the development of syntactic meaning had followed when using print. The sequence of deletions is provided below.

	Subject	Verbal	Indirect Object	Direct Object
Step 1.	SM	PS	PS	PS
Step 2.	PS	PS	PS	SM
Step 3.	SM	PS	PS	SM
Step 4.	PS	PS	SM	PS
Step 5.	SM	PS	SM	PS

	Subject	Verbal	Indirect Object	Direct Object
Step 6.	PS	PS	SM	SM
Step 7.	SM	SM	PS	PS
Step 8.	SM	SM	SM	SM

SM-only Speech-Motor Symbol available to student
PS- Both Printed Symbol and Speech-Motor Symbol available

In spite of the carefully planned sequence of activities designed to promote speechreading skills, all children did not progress at the same rate, and it was necessary to establish three separate instructional groups. All groups were exposed to the same technique, but the rate of progression through the sequence of instructional exercises varied significantly. In only one instance was a child unable to perform using speech reading as the stimulus, and this was the child suffering with partial paralysis of the speech musculature. With this particular child, no amount of group or individual instruction using a variety of approaches appeared effective in fostering speechreading skills.

The basic sentence, the syntax of which was well understood by the group, was extended to include adjectives of color and number. At the end of the school year, all of the children were able to read and respond to the stimulus sentence, and were, in addition, able to correctly construct a stimulus sentence for the rest of the group. The basic format of the sentence was:
SUBJECT - VERB - INDIRECT OBJECT - NUMBER ADJECTIVE - COLOR ADJECTIVE - DIRECT OBJECT
The adjective denoting color was taught as a part of the sentence and therefore "color" as an entity unto itself was not taught. This was a significant departure from the traditional manner of teaching the "color-concept." An attempt was also made to teach the "number-concept" as part of the sentence, but this did not work too well for all in the group. It was necessary to revert to teaching the concept of "number" in the traditional manner, and then to incorporate the number concept into the sentence. The procedures for teaching color as a part of the sentence are found in the instructional manual since they differ from the traditional manner of teaching, but the techniques for teaching the number concept are not reported, since they are a part of the traditional armament afforded the teacher during training.

Inasmuch as the quality of the video tapes produced in the classroom during the first year was exceedingly poor, tapings of classroom activities directly related to the project were carried on in the TV studios of the University of Colorado. At six-week intervals, the children would come to the campus and proceed to demonstrate the activities in which they had engaged during the previous six-week period. With this type of an arrangement, better quality video and audio were possible.

The Project Director continued to visit the classroom one day per week and to confer at length with the Project Coordinator. In addition, graduate seminars were held weekly to discuss the progress of the children and the apparent effectiveness of the instructional procedures.

Formal auditory training was also initiated, and it was found advisable to divide the class into two groups, based upon the degree of residual hearing they possessed and their ability to make use of it. Traditional approaches to auditory training were used since it was not considered an integral part of the teaching of syntax.

Oral communication skills, which are most closely related to auditory proficiency, were also immediately related to the printed vocabulary and sentence structures the children already knew. Of particular interest was the apparent ease with which the group developed oral reading skills. The children with greater amounts of residual hearing exhibited no real problem in the initiation of oral reading, and those with lesser amounts displayed varying degrees of difficulty in initiating oral reading skills. This, of course, in no way implies that the oral attempts were always intelligible, but it does mean the oral communication skills and reading skills were perceived by the child to be related. The net result of the emphasis upon auditory training, speechreading, speech and continued formal work on syntax using print, was the easily observed spontaneous attempts of the children to communicate orally.

In spite of the need to conduct classroom activities and to try to provide the children the skills and understandings needed to enter the traditional classes they would be enrolled in the folling year, an attempt was made to have the group understand that all verbs had three tenses. The intent was not to teach a variety of verbs and their various forms as they indicate tense, but rather to instill the idea that all words appearing in a verbal position can denote a past, present, or future activity. A sequence of activities was planned, and after presentation to the children, four of the group were able to correctly identify and relate the verb tense to activity one hundred per cent of the time. This modest degree of success lends encouragement to the development of a modified sequence of acitvities that would perhaps be beneficial to a greater proportion of the children. These activities, due to their limited success are not included in the instructional manual which accompanies this report, inasmuch as the technique needs to be modified to assure a greater proportion of success in achieving the desired terminal behavior.

The teachers from the participating schools for the deaf reconvened on the campus of the University of Colorado during the summer of 1967 to discuss their experiences and reactions to the instructional procedures they used during the previous school year. Each had the opportunity to react to the total approach and then to discuss their reactions about specific instructional techniques. In addition, each

teacher had the opportunity to reveal how they had modified or expanded the basic techniques outlined in the manual given them for use during the school year.

The reaction of the teachers and Project Coordinator together with the impressions of the Project Director are discussed in more detail in the section entitled "Demonstration and Modification of Instructional Procedures."

C. The Demonstration and Modification of Instructional Procedures

General

The instructional techniques developed and used with the experimental class at the Evans School during the School Year 1965-66 were made available to the participating teachers at the five schools for the deaf in the form of an instructional manual, and although the initial instructional procedures were developed with three and four year old children, the demonstration itself utilized children ranging in age from approximately 18 months to approximately seven years. Each teacher used the manual as a guide for making print become symbolic, and for the initial task of teaching syntax. During the 1966-67 school year, the Project Director visited each of the participating schools to observe first-hand the manner in which teachers were utilizing the instructional manual. The visits were in addition to the telephone and written communications between teachers and the Project Director.

It came as no surprise that most teachers initiated instruction in accordance with the instructional manual, and that once the children began to respond, and the teacher felt she understood what she was doing, she modified instruction to some degree in keeping with her own philosophy and that of the school in which she was working. In no instance, however, were the basic procedures abandoned, rather, they were expanded upon, integrated with other classroom activities in a variety of ways, or simplified to meet the abilities of younger children.

Symbol Development followed a specific sequence, and is summarized below.

Outline of Concepts to be Developed

Phase 1: Symbol Development

Part 1: Symbols for People

FROM: Teacher's point of view
THE PRINTED SYMBOL CAN DENOTE PERSONS
FROM: Child's point of view (In order of development)

A. Certain squiggles refer to me.
B. Certain squiggles refer to my classmates.
C. Certain squiggles refer to other people in the school.
D. Certain squiggles refer to visitors in the classroom.
E. Certain squiggles refer to members of my family.
F. Certain squiggles refer to playmates and visitors in my home.
G. All people have squiggles associated with them. . .everybody has a name.

Part II: Symbols for Things

FROM: Teacher's point of view
THE PRINTED SYMBOL CAN DENOTE OBJECTS OR THINGS

FROM: Child's point of view

A. Certain squiggles are associated with specific objects within the classroom.
B. Certain squiggles are associated with objects that belong to me or to my classmates.
C. Certain squiggles are associated with objects and articles in my home.
D. Certain squiggles are associated with objects outdoors as well as objects indoors.
E. All objects have squiggles associated with them. . .all things have names.

Part III: Symbols for Action or State of Being

FROM: Teacher's point of view
THE PRINTED SYMBOL CAN DENOTE ACTION OR A STATE OF BEING

FROM: Child's point of view

A. Certain squiggles are associated with specific activities in the classroom.
B. Certain squiggles are associated with specific activities in the school building.
C. Certain squiggles are associated with specific activities in my home.
D. Certain squiggles are associated with activities outdoors as well as indoors.
E. All activities have a squiggle associated with them. . .all activities have names.

IV. FINDINGS

A. Reaction fo Children to Procedures Used to Introduce Them to the Printed Form

Children Eighteen Months through Two Years of Age

One teacher working with one and one-half year old children reported that it appeared as if children of less than two years of age did not recognize themselves in a photo, and she found it necessary to institute a procedure to help the children recognize themselves and identify with their own picture. Such a step was necessary, inasmuch as self-identification on a photo was required before other instructional steps could be taken in making print symbolic for the child (at least in keeping with the instructional manual). Her approach was to take a Polaroid photo of each child, and then, through gesture attempted to have the child understand that "this is you," or "this belongs to you." She reported that it took approximately two weeks before the children could readily identify with a picture of themselves. It is essential to state here that these particular children were not in a formal classroom, but were seen in groups of two or three in a clinical situation, several times each week.

Another report was that although one child of approximately eighteen months of age failed to recognize himself in a photo when initially exposed to it, he found it easy to identify his chair from among all other chairs in the room labeled with the names of children in the small group. It was the teacher's impression that although this particular child could not relate to a photo of himself, the printed form easily took on meaning for him.

In one of the schools, the participating teacher was unable to obtain photos easily, and thus began immediately to use print with children two years of age. It was her opinion that it was perhaps easier for the child to relate his name to himself and to his chair than it was to identify with a photo.

These observations suggest that perhaps children through two years of age have more difficulty in realting to a photo of themselves than they have in relating to their names in print. This may well be an artifact of the manner in which the printed form was used, for through print, possession of important objects or things was made quite clear to the child. Such an interpretation of behavior is in keeping with the observations of Gesell and Ilg[1] who, in reference to the two year old, report that "With his further understanding of property rights he gets into fewer things. However, he has now reached the stage of possessing as many things as he can, often with only the slightest reason for claim, and he insists upon his rights with "It's mine." "

If the interpretations of behavior offered by Gesell and Ilg to-

[1] A. Gesell and F. Ilg. Infant and Child in the Culture of Today. Harper Brothers, New York. 1943. p. 167.

gether with those of participating teachers of the deaf are accurate, it would appear that the child's more or less naturally developed desire for possessions should be capitalized upon by the teacher, and that through this source of motivation, print could then be introduced, and the symbolic use of print initiated. It also means that we should perhaps re-evaluate the typical sense-training programs to which two year old children are exposed, and see if more benefit could be derived by using this time to encourage the child to rely or depend upon print in his daily classroom activities.

An important feature of the instructional program as set forth in the manual was the emphasis upon having each child control the classroom activity, and hopefully, through this kind of acitvity, come to understand the use, importance, or power of language. Control of the activity was passed to the children as soon as the teacher had demonstrated what was to be done, and the children could react appropriately to the teacher-led activities. At the most simple level, this meant that the children handed to other children in the class the appropriate name-card (an oak tag card with the child's name), or handed to other children objects belonging to them and so identified with each child's name. Several of the teachers reported that children of two years of age are quite capable of recognizing the printed forms of their names and those of their classmates, and that they did effectively monitor the actions of the teacher as she handed to children those name tags or objects with the children's names upon them. When the teacher made an error (deliberately) the children immediately informed her that the name card belonged to someone else, or that she had given the wrong object to the person.

Of particular importance, however, was the observation that while the children could monitor and correct the actions of the teacher, they could not, when placed in such a position, act as the teacher and distribute the name cards to other members of the group. It appears, then, that the children of two years of age were able to monitor activities of others, and inform them when errors were made, but that they were not yet mature enough to assume or play the role of teacher. Should such an interpretation be correct, it has certain implications for the manner in which the classroom activities are structured for very young deaf children. It implies that activities could be used which require the recognition of print and the monitoring of activities directly related to such print, but that the teacher avoid those activities which demand role-playing on the part of the child.

Just as it is apparent that age places certain limitations upon the type of classroom activities or instructional procedures the teacher can use successfully, age also limits the extent to which a child will proceed through the series of concepts outlined on page 16. All of the teachers who worked with children from eighteen months of age through two years of age related that this group was quite interested

in print which related to identification of themselves and their classmates, but that they showed no real interest in finding out the names of visitors to the classroom or the names of others within the school building. The one exception to this general rule occurred when graduate students in clinical practice regularly visited the classroom, and were perceived by the children as being an integral part of the classroom composition. Although the two year olds were not interested in the names of visitors to the classroom, they did take varying degrees of interest in the names of members of their families. The degree of interest on tha part of the child appeared to be governed by the amount of interest shown by the parents, and by the manner in which they approached exposing the child to printed names in the home. Each of the teachers having children of two years of age reported the children's interest in attempting to print their own names. Although each teacher acknowledged that there was little relationship between the child's attempt to print and traditional orthography, there was no difficulty in determining what the child was attempting to do.

Children Three and Four Years of Age

Children three and four years of age who participated in the demonstration exhibited no difficulty in following the instructional program presented them. Unlike two year-olds, children of three and four readily recognized themselves on a photo and quickly related their printed name to their photo. In addition, they appeared to relate a variety of objects of their own to their name, and still retained much of the desire (which was quite apparent with two-year olds) to show possession of objects.

No child of this age group was reported to have difficulty in learning the printed names of classmates, and in comparison with two-year olds, showed a decided interest in the names of visitors to the classroom. One four-year old, while waiting for her doctor, insisted on knowing the names of persons in the waiting room of the physician's office.

They also exhibited a greater interest in print, and at age four, did a much better job of approximating samples of print set before them. This group, like the younger group was not encouraged to begin printing words, but they did appear more determined to gain control over theri ability to write.

Children Five and Six years of Age

Teachers with classes of children five and six years of age reported no difficulty in developing the use of print. Each teacher followed each step as outlined in the instructional manual and the children very quickly became able to relate the printed name to its appropriate object. In addition to quickly recognizing the printed name of children in their class, they began on their own to print the the names of their classmates and various objects within the classroom.

It was the opinion of the teachers that the direct use of print to emphasize syntax seemed to serve as a stimulus to learn to write.

B. Reaction of Children to Procedures Used to Emphasize Syntax

In most instances the participating teachers followed the sequence of instructional procedures suggested. The only variation was in the level or step of highest attainment by the end of the school year. In all cases, the variation was a function of the age of the children. The syntactic analysis of the basic sentence used in the demonstration was programmed in the following way.

Outline of Sequence of Activities for Analysis of Sentence Structure[1]

Subject-Verb-Indirect Object-Direct Object

Step 1: Relating Subject to classroom activities
Step 2: Relating Direct object to classroom activities
Step 3: Relating Subject and Direct Object to classroom activities
Step 4: Relating Indirect Object to classroom activities
Step 5: Relating Subject and Indirect Object to classroom activities
Step 6: Relating Subject, Indirect Object, and Direct Object to activities
Step 7: Relating Verb to classroom activities
Step 8: Relating Subject and Verb to classroom activities
Step 9: Relating Subject, Verb, and Indirect Object to classroom activities
Step 10: Relating Subject, Verb, and Indirect Object to classroom activities

Reactions of Children of Less than Three Years of Age

Once the children of two years of age could recognize their names and those of the teacher and the other child or two in the group, the words were placed within a complete sentence. The teachers followed the procedures outlined above, but one of them started on Step 3, and defended her choice by stating that she felt the children did not need to go through the first two steps since they already knew the words occupying the position of the Subject and Direct Object positions of the sentence.

Although the two year olds were able to respond to the printed form whenever the teacher changed the words occupying the Subject and Direct Object positions, they were unable to control the class activity

[1] A 16 mm. film of the children proceeding through each of these steps accompanies this report.

by acting as the teacher and changing the words to control activities of other children of the group. This of course is consistent with the behavior of the group noted in activities related to the initial development of printed symbols. The awareness of the children to the words the teachers selected to complete the sentence (_____get me the _____) and the monitoring of the actions of the children in the class as the result of teacher selection were also consistent with previous observations.

During the first year of instruction, none of the teachers working with two year olds advanced beyond the instructional step which varied both the Subject and Direct Object of the sentence.

Reactions of Children Three and Four Years of Age

Two features characterized the performance of children three and four years of age when compared with that of two-year old children. One was the ability of three and four-year olds to take command of the classroom and vary those parts of the sentence under consideration at a particular time, and the second, was the degree to which they advanced through the series of programmed activities.

Three and four year olds appeared to delight in controlling the activities of their classmates, and were quite critical of errors made by peers. The monitoring and correction offered by peers negated to a large degree the need for the teacher to enter into classroom activities during the language sessions, thereby freeing the teacher to observe learning taking place and allowing her to better study the learning environment of her particular class.

One group of three year olds advanced through Step 6 (Varying the Subject, Indirect Object and Direct Object) while another group of the same age in their first year of preschool work proceeded through Step 8 (Varying Subject and Verb). Each of the groups of four-year olds proceeded through the entire sequence of 10 steps without undue difficulty during the first year of exposure to the printed form. Upon presentation of the stimulus sentence four-year olds were able to pick up objects and hand them to another child and then return the objects to the original position on the table in the language area. Children of four can apparently tolerate such a circular type of activity much better than two-year olds, who apparently see such action as meaningless to them since the action is not conclusive or final.

Of particular note was the fact that some teachers initially used a pronoun, "me," in the Indirect Object position, while others used a proper noun. This meant that some teachers moved from the initial use of the pronoun to the use of a proper noun, while others moved in the opposite direction. As far as the children adapting to the use of the pronoun "me" and proper names, it appeared to make little difference

in learning inasmuch as none of the teachers reported difficulty on the part of the children to learn to use both.

Reaction of Children of Five Years and Over

Children of five and over appeared to proceed through the sequence of activities rapidly. There appeared to be a genuine understanding of the use of the printed form to control classroom activities. The group of older children was able to consider more verbs than the groups of younger children, and to extend the use of print to other activities within the classroom. In addition, the vocabulary for use with the sentence forms used was considerably extended, and new vocabulary was taught within the sentence. Once the children understood the function of a specific class of words within the sentence, vocabulary was extended within that class of words. In addition, the teacher incorporated the work into the traditional Fitzgerald Key activities, and thus tended to strengthen the child's understanding of both the function of the Key and the understanding of the syntax of the sentence.

C. Reaction of Teachers to the Procedures and Sequence of Activities

Of particular interest was the reaction of the teachers to the general approach to instruction and the reaction or effect of such instruction upon the children. When asked about their candid reactions to the methods suggested in the demonstration approach, the following statements were made, and are taken from a transcript of the final conference of participating teachers.

Comments relative to children two and three years of age.

Mrs. D.C. "I think they are easier to manage, because they aren't bored."

Mrs. S. "I found, with the three year olds, when print began to have meaning, then they watched for a much longer period of time than when it was all lipreading. I think their attention span was increased to some extent. This was only after it really began to have meaning---particularly when they knew that P-A-U-L said Paul, and this was me. After that happened, the rest of the print was exciting."
(Mrs. S. worked with children two and three years of age.)

Mrs. L.S. "I don't know. I think that they weren't very different from other classes I had."
(A teacher with a group of two-year olds.)

Comments relative to children three and four years of age.

Mrs. F.D. "I felt they were (different)---but, of course, my

experience is limited---I definitely thought they were easier (to manage)."
(Mrs. F.D. worked with children three and four years of age.

Miss T. "I think once they began to understand the print, I could keep their interest for a longer period of time. This may have been the only difference in classroom behavior I could notice."
(Miss T. worked with children four years of age.)

Comments relative to children four and five years of age.

Miss M.B. "Well, I think my class was easy to manage. They were very independent children, as a whole, but they seemed---they had a long attention span. I had several people mention to me that they were amazed that these children would sit there and carry on this activity for the length of time they did.
(Miss M.B. worked with four and five year olds.)

Mrs. D.C. "The attention span, I felt, was quite obvious, the increase in a particular class that was using this approach was greater than two or three other classes which we had an opportunity to observe. And also I felt this with the three year olds."
(Mrs. D.C. is a supervising teacher.)

The participating teachers were then asked to consider a most difficult question, and that was what kind of changes in personality or total behavior did they observe in the children, and did they feel that the approach used had any bearing upon the observed change. While there is no doubt that any observation is fraught with subjective impressions and easily lends itself to the personal bias or point of view of the teacher, it was felt that such information from the teachers might be of some benefit in planning future approaches to instruction or modifications of instruction.

Mrs. L.S., a teacher of two year olds reported the following.

"I have one little boy who I think was kind of retiring. He had two rough-and-tumble classmates, and was kind of a cerebral child, more brain than brawn. I don't think he would have stood up for anything that was his, except that he, when they came to read their names, was self-assured enough to know if somebody sat down in his chair, that definitely was wrong, and he could scold that child, and it would work, because the kid would look and get up off the chair. I don't know whether that could have happened in other ways, but that did happen for him."

Mrs. T., a teacher reporting on her three year olds.

> "Both of my children were very uncooperative at first, kind of negative, didn't want to have any part of coming to the tutoring room. So we had to trick them into coming up there. I don't know when I noticed the change, but it got to the point where they would be asking to come up and never showed any of this extreme uncooperativeness that they did in the beginning.

Mrs. F.D., discussing four year olds stated that:

> "I can say really safely, I can see improvement in all of them. I, too, had a problem, and this is her third year in school. I had her last year. I would have very happily given her away to anybody who wanted her at the end of last year. Everyone who has known her previously just gets so excited when they come in the room and see her helping the other children, correcting the other children, and just being the very essence of something or other here as far as a desirable pupil. I really think she is one who came to life."

Mrs. L.K. when discussing her class of children five and six years of age said:

> "...in comparing my class with various classes which I have seen over the years, they seem to be more secure in the knowledge which they had. The vocabulary was a small, you might say, tight vocabulary, and instead of going off into dozens of isolated words, as I have seen other teachers do, and not relating them to anything, I felt that they know what they knew quite thoroughly, and they felt comfortable with it and secure."

It is absolutely clear that the reported changes in behavior cannot be attributed to the general approach to instruction and orientation toward the printed form of language. It is also clear that as long as such changes do occur in a classroom where a different approach to instruction is taking place, that particular approach is not interfering with the normal behavioral changes expected of children in a classroom situation. It would have been most perturbing if the normal changes due to participation in class activities were not reported, for it might have meant that the instructional approach was indeed detrimental to normal behavioral changes which accompany participation in classroom activities and a formal instructional program.

V. DISSEMINATION OF INFORMATION

Equally important as the demonstration and development of instructional techniques was the dissemination of information about the project. Such information was carried to professional personnel in a

number of ways, but mainly through talks and discussions with professional groups, visits to various institutions and agencies, and through in-service training programs for teachers of the deaf. The following is a list of activities carried on by the Project Director in an effort to disseminate information, and constituted the major activity during the 1967-68 and 1968-69 school year.

1965

April:	Conference:	Colorado Hearing and Speech Center, Parent Training Program, Denver, Colorado

1966

March:	Seminar:	University of Pittsburgh, Division of Special Education, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania
November:	Video Presentation:	U.S.O.E., Washington, D.C. (by invitation)

1967

April	Kinescope Presentation:	Faculty of Gallaudet College, Washington, D.C.
May	Kinescope Presentation:	University of Pittsburgh, Division of Special Education
May	In-Service Training Program:	Western Pennsylvania School for the Deaf, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania
June	Video Presentation:	Joint meeting of CEASD and CAID, Hartford, Conn.
September	Kinescope Presentation:	Interdisciplinary Problems Affecting the Rehabilitation of Hearing Handicapped in Region VIII (a Conference), Glenwood Springs, Colorado
October	Kinescope Presentation:	Staff, Children's Hospital, Denver, Colorado
October	Kinescope Presentation:	University of Tennessee, Department of Special Education

October	Conference:	Institute for Teachers of Educationally Handicapped Children
October	In-Service Training Program:	Tennessee State School for the Deaf, Knoxville, Tennessee
October	In-Service Training Program:	Bill Wilerson Hearing and Speech Center, Nashville, Tennessee
November	Kinescope Presentation:	American Speech and Hearing Association, Chicago, Ill.

1968

January	Kinescope Presentation:	Fletcher-Miller School, Denver, Colorado
January	Kinescope Presentation:	Kansas Neurologic Institute, Topeka, Kansas
April	Kinescope Presentation:	Utah State University, Department of Speech Pathology and Audiology, Ogden, Utah
April	Kinescope Presentation:	Idaho State Speech and Hearing Association, Pocatello, Idaho
April	In-Service Training Program:	Montana State School for the Deaf, Great Falls, Montana
April	In-Service Training Program:	Idaho State School for the Deaf, Gooding, Idaho
May	Kinescope Presentation:	Wisconsin State Speech and Hearing Association, Milwaukee, Wisconsin
May	In-Service Training Program:	Dixon State School, Illinois State Dept. of Mental Health, Dixon, Illinois
November	In-Service Training Program:	Kendall School for the Deaf, Gallaudet College, Washington, D.C.

November	Kinescope Presentation:	National Education Association, Project LIFE, Washington, D.C.

1969

February	In-Service Training Program:	Dallas Pilot Institute for the Deaf, Dallas, Texas
March	Kinescope Presentation:	Oklahoma State Speech and Hearing Association, Eufaula, Oklahoma
June	Kinescope Presentation:	Joint meeting, CEASD and CAID, Berkeley, California

In addition to the personal appearance noted above, the Project Director led a 17 week advanced undergraduate-graduate seminar at the Colorado School for the Deaf and Blind during the Fall of 1967. The seminar was limited to teachers of the deaf, and dealt with the procedures used with the demonstration class. During the Spring of 1968 an in-service training program was carried on with selected teachers of the Colorado State School in an effort to modify the instructional approach to meet the specific class and level requirements of participating teachers.

Some attempt was made to provide information to the general public, and the Colorado Press Clipping Service reported articles appearing in the following publications:

Boulder Daily Camera	October 28, 1967
Cragmor News-Dispatch	October 30, 1967
Denver Sentry	November 2, 1967
Durango Herald	October 29, 1967
Longmont Times	October 30, 1967
Manitou Springs Journal	October 13, 1967
Pueblo Chieftain	November 1, 1967

The attempt to reach teachers of the deaf across the country was made through distribution of the instructional manual to schools for the deaf. In accordance with the agreement with USOE, 500 copies of the instructional manual were printed and distributed to schools for the deaf. Quite obviously not all schools or teachers heading isolated classes for the deaf received one. However, requests for additional copies were plentiful enough to warrant the reprinting of the manual with private funds, and distributing them at a cost of three dollars per copy. The manuals contain detailed descriptions of instructional procedures used with young deaf children to make print become symbolic for them, and to develop an awareness of syntax. It details only those

procedures which proved successful with the various demonstration groups, and represents the best of the collective thinking of all who participated in the project. Manual can be obtained from Edumat Associates, 2765 Juilliard Street, Boulder, Colorado 80303.

VI. DISCUSSION

A most exciting feature of the demonstration was that of allowing three and four year old deaf children to control classroom activity during the language sessions. Underlying this basic approach was the notion that the deaf child could come to understand the power inherent in language only if he could exercise control over his classmates through its use. In addition, it seemed likely that once the child came to feel this power, his interest in language would be stimulated. The enthusiasm with which the children pursued the language sessions, and the sheer delight exhibited when in charge of the language exercises pointed out that the approach to instruction was apparently most appropriate for young deaf children.

Aside from providing the children with a pleasurable way in which to learn something of syntax and to increase vocabulary, the approach proved useful to the classroom teachers. Most of the teachers, and some, for the first time, were able to sit back and watch the children of the class interact with each other, and watch learning take place from a different point of view. By being an observer instead of one of the participants, a different perspective of classroom learning unfolded before their eyes. Teachers in four of the five participating schools felt they were better teachers for the experience and orientation they received while participating in the demonstration. Teachers of the remaining institutions felt that because they worked with children less than three years of age, they did not have the opportunity to carry the approach to the extent the others had, and that because of this, they gained no new insights.

Universal among the teachers was the feeling that in modern educational institutions we have not obtained from deaf children all of which they are capable. When we demand relatively little, we accomplish relatively little, and because accomplishments fall short of the deaf child's potential, we are in fact cheating the child of an optimum education. We seem to be content to limit the deaf preschooler to a language program which emphasizes the development of an inventory of isolated words in contrived categories rather than to expect him to master some knowledge of syntax. To state that a preschool child is not ready for syntax is to exhibit educational myopia, deny the child the opportunity to show otherwise, and is in direct opposition to the classroom behavior demonstrated by the children participating in this project.

Of real concern to some teachers was the use of print as the choice for the lead language input. Some initially thought preschool

deaf children could not cope with it, particularly if they could not lipread. Others were not sure of the ability of a three or four year old child to adapt to print in a meaningful way, and were skeptical about holding the child responsible for mastering use of it in the classroom. Several things relative to the use of print in the preschool must be pointed out after observing approximately 36 children (six in initial experimental class and approximately 30 in the five participating institutions). First, it appears that much of the time spent in activities related to "reading readiness" is, for many children unnecessary. The experimental group received no specific exercises or activities commonly relegated to reading readiness. Their ability to accommodate the printed form of language in daily classroom activity did not seem to suffer because of its omission. Among the five participating schools, varying degrees of reading readiness activities were used, ranging from minimal exposure to conventional materials, to intensive training. No consistant difference in group performance as judged from teachers' reports of how long it took to complete the various stages of instruction could be established. Such a lack of correlation between reading readiness activities and rate of progress through the instructional exercises suggests we may not be utilizing much of the preschoolers' time most advantageously. It may be of greater profit to the child to spend more time upon the teaching of language simultaneously with reading, and less time upon isolated reading readiness activities.

A second point relative to the use of print strikes at the very core of the educational philosophy which holds that children should not learn to read prior to attaining a speechreading vocabulary. Coupled to this idea is the theory that "language"[1] is taught through speechreading and that reading skills are then built upon or around speechreading ckills. Deaf children subjected to this approach normally concentrate on the development of a speechreading vocabulary of isolated words, and upon recognizing an inventory of sentences useful in the daily management of the classroom. Teachers subscribing to such a philosophy often take a somewhat obdurate stand and state that they teach reading simultaneously with speechreading inasmuch as some provide the child the isolated printed word together with the appropriate motor-speech movement. In almost every instance, however, the preschool child of three, four, or five years of age is not held responsible for learning the printed form. Most teachers state the printed word is supplied only as a "reinforcement" to speechreading.

It is quite obvious that in this project, the order of instruction was in reverse of that commonly used: print was taught first, and speechreading skills were then built upon the known reading skills. The print-

[1] Methods of combining words used and understood by a considerable community.

ed symbol was learned first by the child, and the speechreading form then associated to the print. According to many teachers of the deaf, such a procedure retards the development of speechreading skills. Classroom observation, further documented by video tape and 16 mm. film, strongly suggests such retardation is a figment of educational thinking and does not appear to hold up in the cold light of reality. This project appears to provide a confutation of the notion that an initial exposure to language through the printed form is harmful to the development of speechreading skills. While there is, without doubt, much room for intensive, well controlled research to investigate the relationships between the printed form of language and speechreading skills, evidence indicates that exposing the child to print before speechreading skills have been developed in no significant way interferes with the augmentation of speechreading.

A third point of discussion centers around the effects early exposure to print has upon the speech development. There is much of a Siamese coupling between the notion that early exposure to print inhibits the development of speechreading skills, and the notion early exposure to print impedes the development of speech skills. Classroom observation within the participating schools, together with films and video tapes of the initial experimental group, strongly indicate that the latter opinion or notion lacks a sound base or foundation, and that early exposure to print in no measurable way interferes with the speech development of the child. A review of the film accompanying this report will attest to the fact that the children engage in as much intelligible speech as other acoustically impaired children of equal age and degree of hearing impairment. Indeed, two of the children of the group who were considered "deaf" when they entered the group (because of lack of any meaningful aural or oral communication skills) might, by some who view the film, (taken during the second year of instruction) be classed as "hard of hearing."

It is quite evident that additional research exploring the relationship or early exposure to print and the development of speech skills is as eminent a need as is research pursuing the interaction of early exposure to print with the growth of speechreading skills.

Inasmuch as the Project was a demonstration rather than an experimental study it is essential that some statement be made regarding the way in which the children's understanding of syntax was evaluated. Two techniques were used: one involving manipulation of the sentence by the teacher, and the other simply observation of the group by the teacher. Basic to the first technique was the assumption that a child who recognized his name and those of his classmates, together with the names of several objects in the classroom would, if he saw his name in print, attempt to react in some way. If he understood the syntactic structure of the sentence his performance would be appropriate, but if he did not understand the syntax he should not perform, or his performance, if any, would be inappropriate. For example, the

teacher might create the printed sentence, "Gregg, give Phillip two green blocks." If Gregg and Phillip understood both the denotative and syntactic meaning of each word, Phillip would remain relatively passive, while Gregg would engage in the major activity of finding two green blocks to give to Phillip. If both of the boys failed to understand the syntax involved, both might attempt to become active, inasmuch as each understand the denotative meaning of each word but would be unable to determine which of the two boys was to give the blocks to whom. Lack of insight regarding syntax could also be implied when no activity took place because of indecisiveness on the part of the child whose name appeared as the Subject of the sentence.

A variation on the same theme allowed the teacher to insert an inappropriate noun in the subject position, so that a sentence might read, "Blocks, give Janie the red ball." In this instance, if the child did not understand syntax, she might attempt some type of activity which involved either the blocks or the ball or both. The most common reaction of children to a sentence of this construction was that of pointing to the word "blocks" and emphatically indicating to the teacher that the word did not belong in that position. It would appear that children of this age who did not understand syntax would accept almost any type of word selection and attempt to react to it.

The second technique, that of observation was used when the children were constructing sentences. After a child had completed the task of placing words pre-printed on oak tags in the slot chart, one of three things happened. 1) that which the child expected to happen would happen, 2) something other than that which he expected would happen or 3) nothing would happen. Close observation of the reaction of the child who constructed the sentence usually disclosed the accuracy of his word selection. The film which accompanies this report contains at least one instance in which the child failed to select the correct words for the action he intended to initiate within the group. While the sentence is correct, and the action in exact accordance with the sentence, the sentence he created was without doubt not the one he intended to create.

These two techniques, then, served to evaluate or check on the child's understanding of syntax and word selection.

The major contribution to classroom instruction afforded by this demonstration is the knowledge that young deaf children can learn the denotative and syntactic meaning of words through print, prior to the development of speechreading skills. An acknowledged shortcoming of the work is that the syntax of only one type of sentence structure was demonstrated or analyzed.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

To say that additional exploration is needed is to grossly un-

derstate the present need to augment instructional procedures to teach language to deaf children. It seems appropriate to suggest that additional exploration of the basic instructional approach used in the demonstration be continued and that it should perhaps proceed in the following order.

a. Determine those sentence structures which form the basis of everyday communication.

b. Establish classroom procedures to demonstrate explicitly the syntactic function of each class of words within each of the basic sentence structures.

c. Determine the most common manner in which these basic sentences are combined to create compound and complex sentences, and establish classroom procedure to again demonstrate explicitly the meaning of the compound or complex sentences.

d. Determine those common sentence structures which serve as alternatives to the basic sentences. Example.

Basic sentence: Alice, give me the crayons.

Alternate: Alice, give the crayons to me.

It would appear that through such a procedure, the child would not only learn the denotative and syntactic meaning of words, but, as an important by-product become a better reader inasmuch as he would understand the intent of the basic and alternate sentence structures he would see in print.

APPENDIX A

teaching syntax to young deaf children

teaching syntax to young deaf children

RICHARD F. KRUG, Ph. D.
Professor of Audiology
University of Colorado

$3.00 per copy

Distributed
by

Edumat Associates
2765 Julliard Street
Boulder, Colorado 80302

42

NOTE TO TEACHERS

You will find this instructional manual divided into five sections. The first deals with the general orientation to the procedures; the second relates to a frame of reference for teaching syntax; the third reviews the phases of instruction; the fourth outlines the concepts to be developed; and the fifth deals with teaching techniques. You will also find an overview of the major uses of language and an outline of instructional steps found useful in the development and initial use of printed symbols. The procedures, techniques, and concepts included in this instructional guide are those which have proven useful in actual classroom and instructional settings. The instructional procedures, designed to make the printed form become symbolic for the young deaf child, lead directly and rather rapidly to procedures emphasizing syntax or word function.

An attempt has been made to state the purpose of each language session in terms of the understanding, feeling or performance expected of the child. To do this, a teacher must strive to put herself in the child's position and view classroom instruction from that vantage point. If a teacher is successful in placing herself in the role of a preschool deaf child, her whole approach to teaching language can change, and she will find herself engaged in teaching the uses of language and the conditions under which language gains its usefulness instead of looking upon language instruction as the teaching of grammatical rules. The former approach finds the teacher utilizing classroom activity as a dynamic force to teach language, while the latter finds her trying to force meaning out of an artificially structured "language lesson" designed to teach a certain "rule" of grammar.

It seems imperative, then, that teachers of the deaf orient themselves to look at language instruction as a task of illustrating or demonstrating the power and uses of language. This means the teacher may have to think more seriously about the role of language in everyday classroom activity, and then devise ways of imparting this knowledge or feeling to the child.

The material presented is based upon the results of a demonstration project sponsored by the U.S. Office of Education. It was carried out by the University of Colorado in cooperation with the Denver Public School System, the Speech and Hearing Clinic of Gallaudet College, the Dallas Pilot Institute for the Deaf, the Western Pennsylvania School for the Deaf, the University of Oklahoma School for the Deaf, and the Colorado State School for the Deaf and the Blind. Special acknowledgement is given to Miss Carol McCartney, M.A., who served as Project Coordinator 1965-66, and to Miss Marjory Bainton, M.A., who served as Project Coordinator 1966-67.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

General Orientation to the Program 1

Frame of Reference for Teaching Syntax 5

Overview of the Early Stages of Instruction 9

Outline of Concepts to be Developed 15

Diagram of Language Area 20

Teaching Techniques 21

Symbol Development

Symbols for People 23

Symbols for Things 32

Incorporating Isolated Symbol into Sentence 35

Syntax 36

Analysis of Basic Sentence 37

Specific Instruction Procedures 40

Incorporating Adjectives of Color and Number 52

SECTION I

GENERAL ORIENTATION TO THE INSTRUCTIONAL PROCEDURES

GENERAL ORIENTATION TO THE PROGRAM

I. *GENERAL*

The primary purpose of this guide is to aid the teacher in her task of teaching syntax, that is, the function of words as determined by placement within a sentence, to young deaf children. Placing emphasis on function is based upon the premise that to approach the teaching of language from this point of view may result in a better understanding of language and use of language by the deaf, and that the knowledge of syntax and word order may aid in the development of speechreading skills and may foster the acquisition of acceptable oral or manual communication. The specific instruction to provide the deaf child an understanding of syntax is only one aspect of a total language program. It in no way negates the need to teach other aspects of communication.

It would be helpful if each teacher viewed initial language instruction as an activity primarily concerned with demonstrating to the deaf child the function language plays in everyday living rather than looking at such instruction primarily as vocabulary development. This demands that the teacher of young deaf children think seriously about the role language plays in everyday activities and that the teacher devise ways of imparting a knowledge and a feeling of this role. There is a decided difference between demonstrating to the deaf child the role of language and teaching him a language principle.

A deaf child's early experience with language should demonstrate to him that with language he can exercise control over the environment. Therefore, it is fair to say that if the young deaf child is afforded opportunities to control his environment, an interest in language instruction can be created and the primary purpose of language can be demonstrated. Only after the child has come to understand that there is such a thing as language and that language means better control of the environment, will he find himself in a position to become interested in the rules of regulations under which language shapes and molds his surroundings.

There is no doubt that teachers of the deaf are greatly concerned with language instruction. However, the young deaf child may not exhibit an equal amount of interest unless instruction is meaningful, useful, and interesting to him. It is difficult to conceive of language being exciting to the children if it consists of a series of teacher-directed activities that must be acted out daily simply to satisfy the teacher, and to obtain the ultimate reward of a pat on the head, a smile, or clapping of hands. In short, the child can come to understand the use to which he can put language only if he is given the opportunity to make use of its power.

II. *RATIONALE FOR SELECTING THE PRINTED FORM TO EMPHASIZE SYNTAX*

Inasmuch as the children with whom we are primarily concerned have little or no previous language training, they must first develop a set of language

symbols they can then place in proper order according to language rules. One can select a specific set of language symbols from among such sets as the auditory symbol (created by speaking), the printed symbol (created by writing), the speechmovement symbol (speechreading, created as a by-product of speaking), and the hand-movement symbol (created by either finger spelling or signing).

The printed form of language was selected for this demonstration because of its apparent consistency and constancy. It is consistent inasmuch as the visual characteristics of an individual letter do not change as a function of word placement within a sentence or letter placement within a word. This is in contrast to speechreading (speech-movement symbol) where the characteristic speech movement denoting a phoneme varies as a function of the phoneme preceding or following it, and where consistency of speech movements from one speaker to another is relatively non-existent. In addition to consistency, the printed form possesses constancy, inasmuch as the child can refer back to the word. This is not possible in speechreading where the symbol has both the aspect of motion and of time, and the child cannot refer back to it as readily. The variables for speechreading also hold true for finger spelling and signing. In the case of manual communication, however, the individual units (letters or signs) are more easily discerned than the individual elements of speechreading.

With print being used as the medium to teach syntax, it becomes obvious that an important and useful secondary benefit is achieved–that of teaching the child to read. Observation of the children exposed to this approach has shown that three- and four-year-old deaf children can learn to read with meaning, and that teachers, at the present time, may not be making appropriate demands upon the child's abilities.

SECTION II

A FRAME OF REFERENCE FOR TEACHING SYNTAX

A FRAME OF REFERENCE FOR TEACHING SYNTAX

As a teacher employing this approach, you must continually review the specific goals of instruction. The results obtained in the instructional setting will be dependent upon the goals that you establish for each of your class sessions.

In order to provide you with an appropriate point of departure, it is first necessary to review a few basic concepts relative to the proposed approach to language instruction.

1. The techniques are designed to be used with young deaf children having no previous language experience.
2. Each child must begin to control the classroom environment through language as soon as possible.
3. Language instruction has two aspects—the formal and the informal. The formal aspect is programmed in a step-by-step procedure designed to demonstrate a specific word function, while the informal presentations are used primarily to control routine classroom activities without any attempt to teach the function of individual words. However, informal language can be integrated in such a way that it reinforces or expands a concept developed formally.
4. Emphasis upon syntax does not eliminate the need for other basic instruction in the classroom. Such emphasis should enrich and enhance language instruction if for no other reason than your attention has been called to it as an area of language instruction needing special consideration.
5. It may be necessary from time to time to deviate from the traditional approach of teaching the deaf. Some of you may find it difficult to think of demonstrating the power of language rather than trying to teach a specific language principle. Others may challenge the idea of presenting the present tense rather than the past tense first. Still others may see no difference between programming the development of ideas and word function, and programming the presentation of language principles or vocabulary.
6. If you are to be honest with yourself, true to the young deaf children you are teaching, and sincere in attempting to evaluate the ability of the deaf child to learn syntax very early in language instruction, you must attempt to be aware of the few departures from traditional instruction of the deaf and seriously seek to gain insight regarding the reason for departure.

SECTION III

OVERVIEW OF THE EARLY STAGES OF INSTRUCTION

OVERVIEW OF THE EARLY STAGES OF INSTRUCTION

SYMBOL DEVELOPMENT

In this activity the child becomes aware that print has meaning. Four major stages are easily identified when working toward this form of symbolic behavior.

1. **Squiggle Stage:** In this stage, the printed form has no meaning for the child. He is unaware that people, objects, and activities have names which can be represented in printed form.

2. **Signal Stage:** In this stage, the printed form is the signal or cue to engage in an activity that is not directly related to the meaning of the printed word to which the child is reacting. For instance, recognition of his name may be the cue to run around the circle or pick up an object. The action is implied and the child's name is the cue to engage in the activity.

3. **Symbol Stage:** In this stage, the printed word becomes associated with its appropriate referent. For instance, the child realizes that his name actually refers to him and is not simply the cue for him to engage in activity.

4. **Symbol Function Stage:** In this stage, the child becomes aware that a given word appearing in different positions in a sentence may have different implications for action. For instance, a child's name appearing as the subject of a sentence results in different activity than if his name appears as the indirect object of the verb.

DEVELOPING A SENSE OF THE POWER OF LANGUAGE

Communication is difficult unless the child can develop a set of symbols he can organize into an appropriate language structure. A child can begin to control the environment, develop a sense of power, and begin to understand sentence structure as soon as he can recognize his name and the names of three or four objects in print. Experience has shown that if we assume that the three-or

four-year-old deaf child is incapable of becoming aware of the sentence structure and syntax we are indeed underestimating his abilities.

The power inherent in language depends not only upon vocabulary or the number of symbols an individual possesses, but also upon the individual's ability to place the symbols in proper sequence for purposes of communication. Proper sequencing of symbols or words demands a knowledge of syntax, and therefore, a deaf child must come to understand syntax at a very early age if he is to become aware of the power inherent in language.

A key word to remember when planning your classroom activity is POWER. If a primary function of language is to provide its user with control over environment, then *each* child must have the opportunity to exercise his power over classroom activities. The young deaf child can quickly learn that power is the product of symbols which are appropriately selected and properly placed within the total sentence.

The power inherent in language is best understood if we consider what the use of language can mean to the individual. For purposes of this approach, then, you should view language in terms of "What it can do for a young deaf child." From the child's point of view, language has the power to accomplish the following:

1. *I can initiate activity.*
   a. I can dictate *WHO* will participate in the activity.
   b. I can dictate *WHAT* the person(s) will do.

2. *I can find out what happened when I did not see it happen.*
   a. I can find out *WHO* participated in the activity.
   b. I can find out *WHAT* activity occurred.

3. *I can tell others what happened when THEY did not see it occur.*
   a. I can tell them *WHO* participated in the activity.
   b. I can tell them *WHAT* activity occurred.

4. *I can find out what is going to happen.*
   a. I can find out *WHO* will engage in that activity.
   b. I can find out *WHAT* activity *WILL OCCUR*.

5. *I can tell others what WILL HAPPEN.*
   a. I can tell them *WHO* will engage in the activity.
   b. I can tell them *WHAT ACTIVITY* will occur.

6. *I can record what happened.*
   a. I can record *WHO* participated in the activity.
   b. I can record *WHAT ACTIVITY* occurred.

It appears highly probable that if the deaf child comes to understand that language has meaning for him and that he can utilize it for his own purposes, he may become more interested in language instruction.

Your task is to structure classroom activity so that the language function or use you are emphasizing at a particular time is clearly demonstrated. In addition, the total language curriculum must provide the child with the opportunity to use language which will demonstrate all six functions. This does not imply that all six functions of language are demonstrated concurrently. Rather, sufficient experience is provided for each in isolation so that the child comes to understand the relationship between the language he uses and the activity that occurs.

During the first year , the primary concern is to have the child become aware of the power inherent in language. Therefore, you need not be concerned with establishing a large vocabulary at first. It would appear to be more beneficial if you demonstrated the many uses of a small vocabulary. After the child is able to use language meaningfully, his vocabulary should be increased as his need for it grows.

SECTION IV

OUTLINE OF CONCEPTS

TO BE DEVELOPED

OUTLINE OF CONCEPTS TO BE DEVELOPED

PHASE I: Symbol Development

Part I: Symbols for People

FROM: Teacher's point of view

THE PRINTED SYMBOL CAN DENOTE PERSONS.

FROM: Child's point of view (In order of development)

A. Certain squiggles refer to me.
B. Certain squiggles refer to my classmates.
C. Certain squiggles refer to other people in school.
D. Certain squiggles refer to visitors in the classroom.
E. Certain squiggles refer to members of my family.
F. Certain squiggles refer to playmates and visitors in my home.
G. All people have squiggles associated with them . . . everybody has a name.

Part II: Symbols for Things

FROM. Teacher's point of view

THE PRINTED SYMBOL CAN DENOTE OBJECTS OR THINGS.

FROM: Child's point of view

A. Certain squiggles are associated with specific objects within the classroom.
B. Certain squiggles are associated with objects that belong to me or to my classmates.
C. Certain squiggles are associated with objects and articles in my home.
D. Certain squiggles are associated with objects outdoors as well as objects indoors.
E. All objects have squiggles associated with them . . . all things have names.

Part III: Symbols for Action or Activities

FROM: Teacher's point of view

THE PRINTED SYMBOL CAN DENOTE ACTION OR A STATE OF BEING.

FROM: Child's point of view.

A. Certain squiggles are associated with specific activities in the classroom.
B. Certain squiggles are associated with specific activities in the school building.
C. Certain squiggles are associated with specific activities in my home.

D. Certain squiggles are associated with activities outdoors as well as indoors.

E. All activities have a squiggle associated with them ... all activities have names.

NOTE: This guide is not concerned with developing a large inventory of verbs, but rather with an understanding of the function of a verb as part of a specific sentence structure. Part III of symbol development is included in the Outline of Concepts to be Developed only to remind the teacher that these concepts must be developed somewhere within the total language program.

Once the children are able to recognize their names and their classmates' names in print, the use of sentences begins. At first, the lesson is structured in such a way that the action is implied. In the first step, the only variable introduced is the name of the child.

The following order for developing an understanding of word function (syntax) has proven to be practical and successful. The underscored portion of the sentence indicates the variable word. All other portions of the sentence remain constant.

PHASE II: Introductory Step: Incorporating isolated symbol into sentence.

Relating Name to Sentence

(Name), **come here.**

PHASE III: Syntax:

Step 1: Emphasis upon the proper name as Subject of the sentence:
(Name), give me the (*any object taught in Phase 1*, example, headphones).

Step 2: Emphasis upon the Direct Object:
John, give me *(article and any object taught in Phase 1*, example; headphones, crayons, car)

Step 3: Emphasis upon the Subject and the Direct Object:
(Name), give me *(article and object)*.

Step 4: Emphasis upon the Indirect Object:
John, give *(proper name or pronoun, "me")* the headphones.

Step 5: Emphasis upon the Subject and the Indirect Object:
(Name), give *(name or pronoun)* the headphones.

Step 6: Emphasis upon the Subject, the Indirect Object, and the Direct Object:
(Name), give *(name or pronoun, " me") (object).*

Step 7: Emphasis upon the Verb:
John, *(give)* or *(get)* me the headphones.

NOTE: In this instance, " give" meant that the child was to hand the teacher an object from the table in the language area. " Get," on the other hand, meant to go to another part of the room for the object.

Step 8: Emphasis upon the Subject and the Verb:
(Name), (verb) me the headphones.

Step 9: Emphasis upon the Subject, the Verb, and the Indirect Object:
(Name), (verb) (Name or pronoun) the headphones.

Step 10: Emphasis upon construction of the sentence:
(Name), (verb) (name or pronoun) (object).

Again, it should be noted that as soon as the children are able to respond to a given sentence constructed by the teacher, each child should be given the opportunity to control the classroom activity by constructing a similar sentence. Through his participation, the child is forced to pay attention to specific portions of the sentence at specific times and as a result can see the effect of his efforts.

The need to follow the suggested sequence when demonstrating word function is quite obvious. The child must be aware that for *each* change in the printed message, a *corresponding change* occurs in the classroom activity. The sequence is so designed that the child can become aware of the one-to-one relationship between changes within the sentence and changes within the environment.

DIAGRAM OF LANGUAGE AREA



A. Slot chart.
B. Teacher's chair or chair occupied by child controlling classroom activity.
C. Low table upon which word cards and objects are placed.
   C_1 –word and name-card area of table
   C_2 –object area of table
D. Children's chairs.

SECTION V

TEACHING TECHNIQUES

TEACHING TECHNIQUES

Phase I: Symbol Development

Part I: Symbols For People

OVERVIEW OF CONCEPTS THE CHILD IS TO DEVELOP

A. Certain squiggles refer to me.
B. Certain squiggles refer to my classmates.
C. Certain squiggles refer to other people in school.
D. Certain squiggles refer to visitors in the classrooms.
E. Certain squiggles refer to members of my family.
F. Certain squiggles refer to playmates and to visitors in my home.
G. Every person has a squiggle . . . everybody has a name.

Concept A:

FROM: *Teacher's point of view*
Association of a printed form with the child himself.

FROM: *Child's point of view*
Certain squiggles refer to me.

Materials needed:
- photo of each child
- several printed name-cards for each child
- slot chart

Step 1: In full view of children, teacher prints name of a child on a name-card. The printed name is then associated with the child by first pointing to the name-card, then to the child, indicating that the card is his. A name-card is made and pinned to each child.

Step 2: A photo is taken of each child. The teacher, through gesture, associates the appropriate photo with each child and indicates that for each child there is a photo. Work with the mirror may aid in any difficulty encountered in having the child recognize himself in the photograph.

Step 3: The teacher places one photo in slot chart, and immediately below it places the name-card of the appropriate child. By gesture, she indicates that the photo is of a specific child, and that the name is also that of the same child. Indication is then made that both the photo and the name refer to a specific child. The same procedure is followed until all children in the class have been recognized.

Step 4: Teacher places photos and name-cards of all children in class in slot chart. Each child is given his own name-card (in addition to the one pinned on him) and is encouraged to place it immediately below his photo and cover the name-card already in the slot chart. Teacher points out similarity between card placed in slot chart by child, and the name-card already in chart.

Step 5: Photos and name-cards of entire class are in slot chart. Teacher then places name-cards of entire class on a table, and asks each child to select his own name and place it below his picture. Should he have difficulty in selecting name-card, the teacher can take the name-card from slot

chart and hold it near the table, allowing child to refer to it as an aid in selecting appropriate name.

Step 6: Only the photographs of entire class are placed in slot chart. Each child is then requested to select his name from among those on the table, and to place it below his picture.

Step 7: Only the name-cards of the entire class are placed in slot chart. Photos are placed on table, and each child selects his photo and places it in slot chart immediately above his name.

Step 8: Each child's name is placed on things which belong to him such as his chair, his desk, crayon box, etc. This is to provide for reinforcement of the notion that the "squiggle" refers to him in a variety of situations.

NOTE: All children may not need extensive work on each of the above steps. Activities with each step should continue only to the point where consistently correct responses occur, and then the child or group should move to the next step.

Concept B:

FROM: *Teacher's point of view*
Association of a printed form with the other children in the classroom.

FROM: *Child's point of view*
Certain squiggles refer to my classmates and my teacher as well as to myself.

Materials needed:
- photos of each child and the teacher
- several printed name-cards for each child and the teacher
- slot chart

Step 1: Teacher holds up a name-card and indicates to whom the card refers. The activity continues until all children in the class have been recognized by the teacher.

Step 2: Teacher holds up one name-card at a time and asks the children to indicate to whom the name refers.

Step 3: The teacher gives a child name-cards of classmates, and asks him to distribute them to appropriate individuals. Each child is given the opportunity to perform the task.

Step 4: Each child matches the appropriate name-cards to the photos of classmates and teacher in the slot chart.

Step 5: Each child matches appropriate photos to name-cards in the slot chart.

Step 6: A child holds up the printed name-cards of classmates, and the other children indicate whose name it is.

Suggestions for Reinforcement:

1. Games may be played in which the child is required to recognize his name before he can perform a particular activity.
2. Teacher flashes a name-card and the child jumps up when he recognizes his name.

Concept C:

FROM: *Teacher's point of view*
Association of printed form with school personnel.

FROM: *Child's point of view*
Certain squiggles refer to other persons within the school.

Materials needed:
- blank name-cards
- pins
- felt pen
- polaroid camera

Step 1: Teacher plans to have another teacher or staff member visit the room. After visitor enters, teacher points out that everyone in the room has a name-card pinned to himself except the visitor. To please the visitor, teacher makes a name-card, pins it to visitor, and calls children's attention to it.

Step 2: Polaroid picture of visitor is taken and then placed in slot chart. Teacher then makes another name-card, matches it to name-card pinned to visiting teacher, and has a child place it under photo of visitor in slot chart.

Step 3: Teacher then tries to associate name of each class member to photo of visiting teacher, indicating with each attempt that the association is incorrect, and that the name-card of visitor is the only acceptable selection.

Step 4: Other faculty and staff seen often by the children should be asked to visit the class, and the above same procedures followed.

Suggestions for Reinforcement:

1. Photos and names of school personnel can be displayed on bulletin board and referred to when the person re-visits the room.
2. The children may be sent on errands, learning where to go, first from pictures and print, and later from print alone.

Concept D:

FROM: *Teacher's point of view*
Association of printed form with classroom visitors.

FROM: *Child's point of view*
Certain squiggles refer to visitors in the classroom.

Materials needed:
- blank name-cards
- box in which to store the visitors name-cards
- pins
- felt pen

Step 1: When a visitor enters the room the children look for a name-card for him. If none is found, one is made and pinned on him.

Step 2: When a visitor returns, the children find the appropriate card and give it to him.

NOTE: The visitor may write his own name on a name-card and pin it on. Insistence on everyone having a name tag declines after a time. When this happens, it may be sufficient for the teacher to merely write the visitor's name on the board.

Concept E:

FROM: *Teacher's point of view*
Association of printed form with members of the child's family.

FROM: *Child's point of view*
Certain squiggles refer to members of my family.

Materials needed:

- blank name-cards
- felt pen
- photo of each member of each child's family (appropriately identified by parent)
- slot chart

Step 1: Teacher places a photo of each member of child's family in slot chart, with the name-card identifying the person immediately below.

Step 2: The child whose family appears in the slot chart is given a duplicate set of name-cards and is asked to place them below the name-card already identifying the photo.

Step 3: After each child has had the opportunity to successfully complete Step 2, only the photos of a child's family are placed in the slot chart and name-cards are given the child to place below each picture. At this stage each child is expected to identify only his own family.

Step 4: Photos of a child's family are placed in slot chart, and other children identify individual members by placing name-cards beneath photos. In this sequence, the child whose family appears on the slot chart can act as teacher by handing name-cards one at a time to the child identifying the individuals.

Step 5: The teacher meets with parents, or otherwise informs them of means of continuing the naming activities at home, using relatives or others living within the home as subjects.

NOTE: Children should be held responsible for knowing the names of members of other children's families in an attempt to impress upon them the need to be concerned with the names of individuals outside their own classroom environment.

While working on Step 4 the opportunity arises to use the possessive case. While each child can identify his own parents as "mother" and "father," other children cannot. Other children must use the classmate's name; i.e.,

"Joe's mother" or "Joe's father," when identifying parents other than their own.

The teacher, therefore, must have available name-cards with the possessive noun included for Step 4.

Concept F:

FROM: *Teacher's point of view*
Association of printed form with neighborhood friends and relatives.

FROM: *Child's point of view*
Certain squiggles refer to playmates, relatives, and friends not living within the home.

Materials needed in the home:
- blank name-cards and felt pen
- a box to hold name-cards of persons who have been identified

Step 1: Teacher meets with parents, or otherwise informs them of means of relating names to persons outside the home. Some approaches suggested are:

(a) making a name-card for playmates as they come to the house, and then placing the card in small name-card box for future reference. Whenever the child visits the home, his name is associated with him, and whenever the deaf child is going to visit the playmate, the parent pulls out the name-card of the child to be visited and indicates it is his home or yard that will be visited.

(b) prior to visiting a relative or friend, the parent can show the deaf child the name-card of the persons to be visited so that he will know where they are going.

(c) when guests arrive at the home, a name-card is made, identification accomplished, and the card is then placed in the name-card box for future reference.

Step 2: Parents may devise additional ways of indicating persons who will visit the home and find additional ways of informing the child of persons they will visit.

Concept G:

FROM: *Teacher's point of view*
Association of printed form with all people met.

FROM: *Child's point of view*
All persons have a squiggle associated with them ... everybody has a name.

Materials needed:
- blank name-cards
- pins
- felt pen

Step 1: Persons with whom the child comes in contact are given names or occupational titles, such as "doctor" and "dentist." Parents should be encouraged to feel free to carry on such activities in public. To hide the fact the child is deaf is to deny him the opportunity to learn.

PART II: Symbols for Things

OVERVIEW OF CONCEPTS THE CHILD IS TO DEVELOP

A. Certain squiggles are associated with specific objects within the classroom.
B. Certain squiggles are associated with objects that belong to me or to my classmates.
C. Certain squiggles are associated with objects and articles in my home.
D. Certain squiggles are associated with objects outdoors as well as objects indoors.
E. All objects have a squiggle associated with them ... all things have names.

NOTE: Only instructional techniques for Concept A are included in this guide. Concepts B, C, D, and E are listed in the outline of concepts the child is to develop to remind the teacher of a logical sequence of concept development. The specific instructional techniques for concepts B, C, D, and E are similar to those of Concept A and need not be detailed. It is obvious, of course, that the teacher meet with parents to discuss the variety of ways vocabulary can be developed and used at home.

Concept A:

FROM: *Teacher's point of view*
Association of printed form with a few specific objects in the classroom.

FROM: *Child's point of view*
Certain squiggles are associated with specific objects within the classroom.

Materials needed:

- objects common to the classroom which have printed forms differing in configuration
- blank word-cards and felt pen
- slot chart

Step 1: Printing the name

Teacher places an object on a small table with a blank word-card next to or below it. She indicates that something should be on the card, and then prints the name on the card. She then indicates that the name belongs to the object.

Step 2: Demonstrating non-interchange

After each of three or four objects has been labeled, the teacher switches the names and indicates that that order is incorrect. She then returns the correct names to the objects and indicates that the order is all right.

Step 3: Matching print to print

A second word-card is made for each of the objects. While the object is still labeled, the child matches the second card to the first by placing it over the word-card already on the table. If an incorrect response is made, the teacher then points out the differences in the configuration of the two words. If a correct response is made, the teacher reinforces the response by pointing out that both word-cards are identical and that both refer to the object.

Step 4: Matching print with the object

Only the objects are placed on the table. Child is given the word-cards one at a time and places the card below the object to which it belongs.

NOTE: Objects chosen for the beginning activities should be those which the children need and use daily.

Suggestions for Reinforcement

As the child's recognition of the printed form for objects increases, it might be helpful to begin a picture file or vocabulary book.

Phase II: Incorporating Isolated Symbol Into Sentence

This phase, introductory to emphasis upon syntax, begins once the children can recognize their own names and those of their classmates as well as the names of three or four objects. From this point on, and perhaps to the end of the school year, most of the vocabulary can be taught as part of a sentence.

Concept:

FROM: *Teacher's point of view*
Relating name to sentence.

FROM: *Child's point of view*
I can perform a given activity when I recognize my name within a group of words.

Materials needed:
- name-cards of children
- slot chart
- pre-printed phrase card "come here"

Step 1: Teacher inserts phrase, "come here," in slot chart and deliberately completes the sentence by inserting a child's name before the phrase. She then indicates that the child is to come to her.

Step 2: Vary the names of children until each member of the class can carry out the command.

Step 3: Allow the children to control the language session by acting as teacher and selecting the person they wish to come to them.

Phase III: Syntax

Once the children can recognize their own names and those of their classmates, together with the names of three or four objects within the classroom, direct work upon syntax can begin. If a child is to understand the function of a word, it is necessary that the classroom instructional procedures be such that there be a direct relationship between changes in the printed language and changes in the observable environment. The child comes to understand the function of a word by observing the relationship between changes in the printed symbol and changes in the environment. Classroom activities designed to teach only the denotative meaning of words do not provide adequate opportunity to initiate an appreciation of word syntax. A large vocabulary of individual words is quite useless unless the child is capable of organizing the words into a sequence expressing an idea or transmitting information. Teachers of the deaf have developed many techniques for extending the child's inventory of isolated printed, spoken, and lipreading symbols, but rarely do they make a decided effort to teach the preschool deaf child word syntax. To deny the child the opportunity to learn syntax at a very early age is to cheat the child of the most meaningful aspect of language. To say that the child is incapable of understanding syntax at the preschool level is to grossly underestimate his ability.

The series of activities that appear in this section of the instructional guide are programmed to provide the child with a thorough understanding of the function of each class of words used in a specific sentence structure. The teacher can readily recognize that only one sentence structure is programmed for analysis of syntax and that the instructional techniques serve only as a guide to instructional procedures to analyze a wide variety of sentence structures. The instructional techniques included are those that have been used and have proven to be successful with preschool children, some of whom were between two and three years of age. The preschool teacher should also be aware that each step of each series may not be required, especially if she is engaged in initiating language instruction with a group of children five years old and above.

A word of clarification, and perhaps *caution* is appropriate at this point. Emphasis upon syntax is only *one aspect* of the total language instruction program. Syntax is emphasized in this instructional guide only because it appears to lack emphasis in many language instruction programs. Emphasis upon syntax is not a system of teaching language, and therefore does not negate the need for vocabulary extension, auditory training, speechreading, speech development, and the development of writing skills. Certainly, emphasis upon syntax can only aid in the development of other areas of language usage and skills.

Unit I: Analysis of Basic Sentence

OUTLINE OF SEQUENCE OF ACTIVITIES FOR ANALYSIS OF SENTENCE STRUCTURE
Subject-Verb-Indirect Object-Direct Object

Step 1: Relating subject to classroom activities

Step 2: Relating Direct Object to classroom activities

Step 3: Relating Subject and Direct Object to classroom activities

Step 4: Relating Indirect Object to classroom activities

Step 5: Relating Subject and Indirect Object to classroom activities

Step 6: Relating Subject, Indirect Object, and Direct Object to activities

Step 7: Relating Verb to classroon activities

Step 8: Relating Subject and Verb to classroom activities

Step 9: Relating Subject, Verb, and Indirect Object to classroom activities

Step 10: Relating entire sentence to classroom activities

OVERVIEW OF PROCEDURES TO RELATE PRINT TO CLASSROOM ACTIVITIES

The basic feature of the procedure is to expose the children to a complete sentence that is capable of initiating classroom activity. Then only one new aspect of the sentence is varied at a time. When it is understood, it is immediately related to an already known aspect of the sentence and to variations in classroom activity. The following sentence structure, together with the programmed series of emphasis upon word function, has been successfully used with three- and four-year-old deaf children with no previous language experience.

Sentence Structure:	Subject	Verb	Indirect Object	Direct Object
Example used in class:	Mary	give	me	the block

In the above example, the children know only the names of the children in the class and the names of three or four objects within the classroom. The meaning of the sentence is unknown to them, and the classroom teacher must demonstrate the meaning. Initially, the child whose name appears as the Subject of the sentence reacts only to her name; the action of giving the teacher the block from a nearby table is demonstrated by the teacher and implied by the total sentence presented in the slot chart. If the child is to come to understand the function of his name as it appears in this particular sentence, then all aspects of the sentence must remain constant except the name which appears as the Subject. Thus, when the Subject of the sentence varies, the only change in classroom activity of the moment is the person who will give the block to the teacher or child who is controlling the variation of the Subject aspect of the sentence.

Once the children can accurately react to their names serving as the Subject of the sentence, emphasis can be placed upon the words which serve as the Direct Object of the sentence. (These words are the three or four names of objects developed in Phase I). In this instance, all aspects of the sentence must remain constant except those words serving as Direct Objects. Under these conditions the teacher would construct sentences such as the following:

Subject	*Verb*	*Indirect Object*	*Direct Object*
Mary	give	me	the block.
Mary	give	me	the milk.
Mary	give	me	the paper.

It must be emphasized that only one sentence at a time is created, and that the child is expected to carry out the instruction before another sentence is completed.

An overview of those aspects of the sentence which remain constant and those which vary is provided in Table I. The overview parallels the sequence of activities outlined on page 18.

TABLE I

Overview of Emphasis of Word Function

Subject	Verb	Indirect Object	Direct Object
(1) V	C	C	C
(2) C	C	C	V
(3) V	C	C	V
(4) C	C	V	C
(5) V	C	V	C
(6) V	C	V	V
(7) C	V	C	C
(8) V	V	C	C
(9) V	V	V	C
(10) V	V	V	V

V means to vary individual words within that word class.
C means to keep constant the word appearing in that position.

Following are suggestions for words serving specific functions within the initial sentence.

Subject: The names of all children in the class, plus that of the teacher.

Verb: "give," meaning to pick the object off a table immediately adjacent to the language area and present it to the person appearing as indirect object of the sentence.

"get," meaning to proceed to a distant point within the classroom to pick up the object and to bring it to the teacher or other individual whose name appears as the indirect object.

"bring," meaning essentially the same as "get."

Indirect Object: The names of all children in the class, plus that of the teacher.

Direct Object: Names of objects seen everyday in the classroom, such as blocks, paper, crayon, headphones, milk, etc.

SPECIFIC INSTRUCTIONAL PROCEDURES

Step 1: Relating Subject of sentence to classroom activities

Purposes of instructional session:

1. to demonstrate the function of the Subject of the sentence.
2. to provide the child the opportunity to understand and feel that: "I can control who it will be that will engage in the classroom activity of the moment."

Materials needed:

- name-cards of all children and teacher
- slot chart
- an object (a block)
- a pre-printed phrase-card, "give me the block."

(a) Teacher inserts the pre-printed phrase-card, "give me the block," into the slot chart and then selects a child's name to complete the sentence, i.e., "Mary, give me the block." She then demonstrates or indicates that Mary is to pick the block from the table or floor and hand it to the teacher.

(b) When the child has completed the activity, the teacher points to the child and to the child's name, indicating that there is a relationship between the two and that no other child can claim the same relationship.

(c) Teacher then removes the child's name and inserts the name of another child. If necessary, she again indicates that the child whose name appears as the Subject of the sentence is to hand her the block. She again indicates that no other child can participate, only the one whose name appears in the slot chart.

(d) This activity is continued until each child can successfully respond each time his name appears in the sentence.

(e) When the teacher feels the class is responding appropriately, she allows the children to act as the teacher or the one who is controlling classroom activity. TO DENY A CHILD THE OPPORTUNITY TO CONTROL CLASSROOM ACTIVITY IS TO DENY HIM THE OPPORTUNITY TO UNDERSTAND WHAT LANGUAGE CAN DO FOR HIM.

(f) When the teacher feels the children understand the function of the Subject of the sentence, i.e., that they realize that only the person whose name appears in the sentence can perform, she can then move to Step 2.

Step 2: Relating the Direct Object to classroom activities

Purposes of instructional session:

1. to demonstrate the function of the Direct Object of the the sentence.

2. to provide the child the opportunity to understand that: "I can dictate what object will be picked up by the person engaging in classroom activity of the moment."

Materials needed:

- name-cards of children
- name-cards of three or four objects
- pre-printed phrase-card "give me the"
- slot chart
- three or four objects serving as referents of name cards

(a) Teacher inserts phrase card, "give me the," in slot chart and immediately inserts name of child who will engage in the activity.

(b) She then deliberately inserts the name of an object which is one of two or three on the table and indicates that the child is to give her an object from the table. If the child selects the correct object, the teacher accepts it and then holds the object beneath the word-card of the object to show that there is a relationship between the two. She then returns the object to the table, removes the word-card, and inserts a different one to serve as the direct object.

Should the child not select the correct object, the teacher refuses to accept it, and indicates that the object and the word serving as the direct object of the sentence are not related and that the child must select another object from the table.

(c) After a specific child has been given the opportunity to react to changes in the direct object, the teacher removes the name of that child and inserts that of another. In essence, however, the primary variable within the sentence is the direct object.

(d) Once the children understand what the change in the Direct Object means in terms of classroom activity, the teacher then allows the children to control the classroom activity.

Step *3:* Relating Subject and Direct Object to classroom activities.

Purposes of instructional session:

1. to allow the child to control two variables of classroom activity.

2. to provide the child the opportunity to understand that: "Not only can I determine who it will be that will engage in classroom activity, but I can also control what object that person will manipulate."

Materials needed:

- name-cards of children
- word-cards of objects
- pre-printed phrase "give me the"
- slot chart
- objects

(a) Teacher inserts phrase-card, "give me the," in slot chart and then indicates that there are two spaces which must be filled with print; one the name of a person, and the other the name of an object.

(b) She then inserts a name to serve as the Subject and the name of an object to complete the sentence. Only the child whose name appears can perform, and once the activity has been completed, the teacher changes both the Subject and the Direct Object of the sentence.

(c) As soon as the children understand that they are to pay attention to two aspects of the sentence, the teacher allows the children to assume control of the language session. Each child then must vary two aspects of the sentence when in control of the class and must be able to react to the two aspects when he is selected as the subject of the sentence.

NOTE: Should the children have difficulty in controlling both aspects by themselves, the teacher may initiate this step by having one child control the Subject and a second child vary the Direct Object. Such division of responsibility for control of activity also may lead to a better understanding of discrete function of words.

Step 4: Relating the Indirect Object to classroom activity

Purposes of instructional session:

1. to demonstrate the function of the Indirect Object of the sentence.

2. to provide the child the opportunity to understand that: "I can select the person to whom the Object is given," or "I can dictate who it will be that will receive the object."

Materials needed:

- duplicate set of children's name-cards
- word-cards of objects
- verb-card "give"
- pronoun-card "me"
- slot chart
- object

(a) Teacher constructs an incomplete sentence in slot chart such as, "Mary, give_____the block."

(b) She then calls attention to the fact that there is a word missing and that nothing can happen until a word is inserted. She then inserts the pronoun "me," and at this point the child whose name appears in the sentence should engage in the appropriate activity.

(c) The teacher then removes the pronoun, "me," inserts the name of another child, and encourages the child whose name appears as the Subject to carry out the activity.

The one-to-one relationship between change in print and change in the person who receives the object makes apparent to the child the function of the word appearing in the position of the Indirect Object. There has been no difficulty in having the children understand that the pronoun "me" refers to the creator of the sentence and that his proper name is appropriate when he is not creating the sentence.

(d) Once the teacher feels the children understand the relationship between the change in words appearing as the Indirect Object and change in classroom activity, the children should assume control of the activity.

Step 5: Relating Subject and Indirect Object to classroom activity

Purposes of instructional session:

1. to allow the child to control two variables of classroom activity.

2. to provide the child the opportunity to understand that: "Not only can I select who will engage in the activity, but I can also dictate to whom the object will be given."

Materials needed:

- duplicate set of name-cards for children
- word-card of object (constant)
- verb-card (constant)
- pronoun-card "me"
- slot chart
- object

(a) Teacher inserts incomplete sentence," _____. give _____ the block," in slot chart and then calls children's attention to the fact that a word must be placed in each space or blank to complete the sentence. She then completes the sentence, and the child selected as subject engages in the appropriate activity.

(b) After a few demonstrations by the teacher, the children are allowed to control the class activity.

NOTE: This is the first time that the children have the opportunity to use a proper name in two positions within the sentence. This particular activity may be the first which forces an understanding of syntax, inasmuch as a given child's name actually can vary in function depending upon its position within the sentence.

Step 6: Relating Subject, Indirect Object, and Direct Object to classroom activity.

Purposes of instructional session:

1. to allow the child to control three variables of classroom activity.

2. to provide the child the opportunity to understand that: "I have the power to determine who will engage in the activity, what object will be manipulated, and to whom the object will be given."

Materials needed:

- duplicate name-cards of children
- name-cards of objects
- pronoun-card "me"
- slot chart
- verb-card "give"
- objects

(a) Teacher inserts verb-card in slot chart and indicates that the remainder of the sentence must be provided, and then proceeds to complete a sentence. After the child has completed the activity called for by the sentence, the teacher allows the children to control the activity.

(b) Inasmuch as this particular activity serves to reinforce previous understandings of word function, the teacher may wish to enlarge the children's vocabulary by inserting new objects to serve as indirect objects of the sentence.

Step 7: Relating the Verb to classroom activity

Purposes of the instructional session:

1. to demonstrate the function of the Verb of the sentence.

2. to provide the child the opportunity to understand that: "I can control the type of activity which will go on in the classroom."

Materials needed:

- name-cards of children
- word-card of object
- two verb-cards: "give" and "get" (teacher may prefer "bring" to "get")
- slot chart
- two identical objects (milk cartons used as example)

(a) Teacher constructs an incomplete sentence, "Mary,_____ me the milk." She then calls attention to the blank or space and indicates that the sentence must be completed. She completes the sentence, using the known verb "give," and Mary engages in the activity by handing the milk carton from the table in the immediate area to the teacher. The teacher then returns the carton to the table and removes the word "give" from the sentence.

(b) Teacher, in full view of all children, takes a second milk carton and places it at a distant spot in the classroom. She then deliberately inserts the verb-card "get" (or "bring") to complete the sentence, compares the new word with the verb-card "give," and indicates that the sentence relates to the milk carton at the far end of the room and not to the milk carton in the language area.

If the child whose name appears as the Subject cannot perform, the teacher may insert her own name as Subject, insert a child's name as Indirect Object, point to the sentence as she reads it, and then engage in the activity of getting the milk carton from the distant point in the room and giving it to the appropriate child.

(c) After the demonstration (if needed) the teacher may again construct a sentence such as "Mary, get me the milk," and again indicate that the activity is related to the milk carton outside the language area.

It is important that only the Verb be varied during this session so that the child's attention is directed to the "verb aspect" of the sentence, and that whenever the verb varies from "give" to "get" the only change occurring is the nature of the activity. That is, the person performing remains constant, and the object manipulated is the same. With this type of instructional structure, the child's attention is focused upon the one-to-one relationship between change in print and change in classroom activity.

(d) The teacher may wish to introduce and contrast the following verbs which will work well with the particular sentence structure being analyzed:

give (the original verb)
get
bring
show

While this particular step emphasizes variation of only the verb, the teacher can easily vary the Subject or Direct Object from time to time. It is imperative, however, that each child have the opportunity to relate change in print to change in classroom activity by acting as subject of the sentence.

(e) When the teacher feels the children understand the function of the verbal aspect of the sentence, the children should be allowed to control the language session.

Step 8: Relating Subject and Verb to classroom activity

Purposes of instructional session:

1. to allow the child to control two variables of classroom activity.

2. to provide the child the opportunity to understand that: "I can select who will engage in an activity, and I can determine the type of activity to be performed."

Materials needed:

- name-cards of children
- word-card of object
- verb-cards (two to four verbs) "get," "give," "bring," "show")
- slot chart
- object

(a) Teacher inserts incomplete sentence, "_____, ____ me the block," in slot chart and calls children's attention to the portions that must be completed. She then completes the sentence , and the appropriate child performs the activity.

(b) Once the children understand what it is they are to do, the teacher allows each child to control the session by completing the sentence.

Step 9: Relating Subject, Verb, and Indirect Object to classroom activity

Purposes of instructional session:

1. to allow the child to control three variables of classroom activity.

2. to provide the child the opportunity to understand that: "Not only can I select who will engage in an activity, but I can control what he will do, and who will receive the object."

Materials needed:

- name-cards of children
- word-card of an object
- verb-cards appropriate to sentence
- slot chart
- object

(a) Teacher inserts word-card to serve as Direct Object near right hand side of slot chart and indicates that a sentence must be created and related to the object.

(b) Teacher then selects appropriate cards to serve as Subject, Verb, and Indirect Object from the table and completes the sentence. The child reacts.

(c) Children are given opportunity to control language session as soon as they understand what they are to do.

Step 10: Relating the entire sentence to classroom activity

Purposes of instructional session:

1. to vary all aspects of the sentence.

2. to provide the child the opportunity to understand that: "I can control all variables of classroom activity of the moment."

Materials needed:

- name-cards
- word-cards of a variety of objects
- verb-cards of appropriate verbs
- slot chart
- objects

(a) Teacher places all cards on the table and points to the slot chart, indicating that a sentence is to be created from the cards on the table. She then constructs a sentence, and the child performs the activity demanded of the sentence.

(b) Teacher allows the children to create sentences from the variety of cards on the table.

NOTE: Additional vocabulary serving as direct objects of the sentence can easily be taught as part of this exercise.

Unit II: Incorporating Adjectives of Color and Number Into Basic Sentence

Experience has shown that preschool deaf children can learn color concepts within the context of a complete sentence. Since color does not exist except as a property of an object, the adjectives denoting color can be learned readily if correct decision making on the part of the child is dependent upon recognition of the color adjective. If the teacher elects to teach the color concept within the structure of the entire sentence, there is no need for matching of color or any of the variety of color-sense training procedures that generally constitute a considerable portion of the daily activities of the preschool deaf child.

To ignore such traditional preschool activities may tend to frighten many teachers who rely heavily upon such color training. However, if no visual perceptual problems are exhibited by the children, the teacher is encouraged to explore teaching the color symbols and color concept as an integral part of a sentence and classroom activity. Approaching color symbol and color concept from this instructional point of view provides an immediate, observable, and practical use of the color concept.

Children, who had proceeded through the activities of Unit I of this instructional guide, generally found no trouble in incorporating the color adjectives into the sentence. The following sequence of activity has been successfully used with deaf children four and five years of age.

OVERVIEW OF STEPS LEADING TO AN UNDERSTANDING OF THE FUNCTION OF THE NUMBER AND COLOR ADJECTIVES

Step 1. Relating color adjective to selection of similar objects of different colors.

Step 2. Relating color adjective to selection of different objects of different colors.

Step 3. Relating number adjective to appropriate quantity selection of objects.

Step 4. Incorporating appropriate sequence of number and color adjectives into basic sentence.

SPECIFIC INSTRUCTIONAL PROCEDURES

Step 1. Relating the Color Adjective to selection of already known similar object

Purposes of instructional session:

1. to teach the color concept and printed symbols for color.

2. to provide the child the opportunity to understand that: "specific objects can be denoted by their visual characteristic of color."

Materials needed:

- individual word-cards to create basic sentences
- individual word-cards for colors to be taught
- blocks of same size and shape, but of different color
- slot chart

(a) Teacher places a single red block on the table. She then inserts individual words into the slot chart to create the sentence, "Mary, give me the block." Mary then performs required act, and the sentence is removed from the slot chart.

(b) Teacher then places a red block and a yellow block on the table, calling the attention of the children to the fact that there are now two blocks. She then creates another sentence, "Mary give me the block," but prevents Mary from acting and calls attention of the children to her own act of actually pushing the word "block" to the right and inserting the word "red" in the resulting space, thus creating a new complete sentence. She then indicates that Mary is to respond to the sentence, and if necessary, indicates that Mary is to give her one of the two blocks. If the child selects the correct block, the teacher accepts it, holds it near the two words "red block," and indicates both words are related to the block.

If the child selects the incorrect block, the teacher refuses to accept it, points to the words "red block," and indicates to the child it is the other block which is to be selected. When the child gives the teacher the correct block, she relates it to the words "red block" in the sentence.

(c) The teacher then removes the color adjective from the sentence and inserts alternate color choice; she proceeds as in (b) above, thereby providing the children the opportunity to see the one-to-one relationship between changes in the word denoting color and the selection of the appropriate block.

(d) When all children have had the opportunity to select the appropriate block through recognition of the two initial color adjectives, additional colors may be introduced, and children can begin to control classroom activity. In all instances, where new colors are introduced, the color adjective is the only word which is changed, and all other parts of the sentence remain constant.

(e) When each child knows a variety of colors, the teacher can vary both the Subject and Color Adjective aspects of the sentence.

(f) When the teacher has demonstrated that two portions of the sentence are to be varied, the children can take control of the language session.

Step 2: Relating Color Adjective to a variety of objects

Purposes of instruction session:

1. to expand the color concept to a variety of objects.

2. to provide the child the opportunity to understand that, "color is a characteristic of a variety of objects, and I can refer to a specific thing by denoting its color."

Material needed:

- individual word-cards to create basic sentences
- individual word-cards denoting various objects and colors
- blocks of same size and shape, but differing in color
- cars of same size and shape, but differing in color
- crayons of same size and shape, but differing in color
- balloons of similar size and shape, but differing in color
- slot chart

(a) The teacher proceeds generally as she did in Step 1, except that now the names of the different objects known to the children are utilized. Thus, the teacher now varies two aspects of the sentence, Direct Object and Color Adjective. As soon as the children understand that two aspects of the sentence are to be varied, the children assume control of the session.

(b) When children can successfully manipulate the two variables of the sentence, the teacher can proceed to demand variation of the Subject and Verb of the sentence.

(c) While variations of several aspects of the sentence provide for reinforcement, the teacher can take this opportunity to increase the vocabulary of objects or things which can serve as Direct Objects of the sentence.

NOTE: Although colors can be taught within the content of the sentence, teachers have found it useful to first develop the basic number concept in isolation as is usually done at the preschool level. The purpose of the next activity is to demonstrate the *use* of number concept rather than to develop the number concept itself.

Step 3: Relating the Number Adjectives to selection of appropriate quantity of objects

Purposes of instructional session:

1. to demonstrate the use of the number concept and number symbols.

2. to provide the child the opportunity to understand that: "I can determine how many objects of the groups of objects are to be manipulated."

Materials needed:

- individual word-cards to create basic sentences
- a number of blocks varying in color
- a number of cars varying in color
- a number of crayons varying in color
- individual word-cards for numbers
- individual card containing the letter "s" (for plural form)
- slot chart

(a) The teacher places four or five blocks each of a different color on the table. Then with individual word-cards she constructs the sentence, "Mary, give me a block." The child then completes the activity.

(b) The teacher then removes word-card "a" and replaces it with the word "two" and then adds an "s" to the word "block" so that the sentence reads, "Mary, give me two blocks." She indicates that Mary is to engage in the activity.

If the child selects the correct number of blocks, the teacher accepts them and holds them under the word "two" in the slot chart to show the relationship of the word to the number of objects.

(c) The teacher then removes word "two," substitutes the word "a," and removes the "s" from the word "blocks," thereby creating the sentence, "Mary, give me a block." She indicates that Mary is to carry out the activity. As each child goes through this procedure, he can see the direct relationship between the printed symbol for number, its effect upon the Direct Object portion of the sentence, and the change in classroom activity.

If the child is hesitant to perform, the teacher calls attention to the portions of the sentence the child already knows and indicates that she must receive some blocks. She refuses to accept the incorrect number, and when the correct number is received, relates the blocks to the word "two."

(d) When the children can respond to the number symbols "a" and "two," and can control classroom activity by varying this portion of the sentence, "three" can be incorporated into the number selection. The teacher can proceed to demonstrate and allow children to control the number variable to any level she feels appropriate for the group.

(e) When the teacher feels the use of number symbols is thoroughly understood by the children, she can then vary as many portions of the sentence as she wishes. Eventually, each child must have the opportunity to create, with individual words, sentences such as:

Mary, give me a block.
Mary, give Joe two blocks.
Mike, give Mary three cars.
Joe, show Mike a crayon.

Step 4: Incorporating adjectives of number and color into basic sentence

Purposes of instructional session:

1. to demonstrate the relationship of number symbol and color symboi to the Direct Object.

2. to provide the child the opportunity to understand that, "I can determine the number and color of objects which will be manipulated" and "the adjectives of number always precedes the adjectives of color in a sentence."

Materials needed:

- individual word-cards to create basic sentences
- individual cards with number and color
- individual card with "s" (for plural form)
- a number of blue blocks, red blocks, green blocks, etc.
- a number of blue cars, red cars, green cars, etc.
- a number of blue crayons, red crayons, green crayons, etc.
- slot chart

(a) The teacher places a number of blocks of varying colors, cars of different colors, and crayons or other objects of various colors on the table. She then creates a sentence such as, "Mary, give me a block," in the slot chart. After the child performs the activity, the teacher removes the word "a," inserts the word "two," and calls attention to the change in number and to the sentence which now reads, "Mary, give me two blocks." After the child completes the activity, the teacher then calls attention to her action of moving the word "blocks" to the right and emphasizes the need for a word to fill the space between the words "two" and "blocks." She then inserts a color adjective such as "red" into the space, thereby creating a new complete sentence such as, "Mary, give me two red blocks." Ordinarily the children should show little or no difficulty in performing the correct act.

(b) As soon as the children are aware that the words denoting number and color are varied, they should assume the task of varying those aspects of the sentence. While the children ordinarily should show no difficulty in reading and reacting to the total sentence, they may exhibit some difficulty in consistently placing the number symbol and color symbol in proper order when creating the sentence with individual word-cards.

The teacher's role is to allow no activity to take place unless the two words are in appropriate sequence.

(c) When the children can successfully place the number and color symbols in appropriate sequence, the teacher can proceed to vary other portions of the sentence, and subsequently allow the children to create the entire sentence, varying all classes of words.

APPENDIX B

$1.95

THE LOGIC OF ACTION

from a teacher's notebook



Frances Pockman Hawkins

Elementary Science Advisory Center
University of Colorado

THE LOGIC OF ACTION

From a Teacher's Notebook

Frances Pockman Hawkins

Photographs and Notes by
Claire Ulam Grusin

Elementary Science Advisory Center
University of Colorado

100

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I am grateful to so many friends and colleagues that I find it almost impossible to select out names. I have tried, but like the little old man in Wanda Gag's Millions of Cats I end by choosing them all.

Let me instead trust these friends to know how I appreciate their confidence, their patience, and their impatience. Perhaps especially I needed the last.

Two must be named, however, because my debt there is so specific and so great. In different ways but equally generous, Jane Richtmyer and David Hawkins have understood and loved these six children and hence could help me communicate what I observed and believed to be true about the mornings with them. Having sustained me by the delight they took in the project and the narration of it, they will join I know in the thank-you to those who are my chief support, the six children.

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY Frances Pockman Hawkins TO ERIC AND ORGANIZATIONS OPERATING UNDER AGREEMENTS WITH THE U.S. OFFICE OF EDUCATION. FURTHER REPRODUCTION OUTSIDE THE ERIC SYSTEM REQUIRES PERMISSION OF THE COPYRIGHT OWNER."

Copyright © 1969 by Frances Pockman Hawkins.
All rights reserved.

PREFACE

There are six stories recorded in these pages, but they rely on translation from the originals - which were told in the language of action. To the infant of our species this is a universal language. But for these particular four-year-olds it was still their only means of communicating; they are deaf. Through the misfortune of deafness rather than by design, therefore, we have before us for study some matters of learning and communication which involve only the language of action. Of necessity these are heavier with logic and richer than studies assisted and diluted by the speech of children who hear.

Among those who hear, beginning in their earliest days, the universal language of action is interwoven with the second language which is spoken. From reliance on the second language most of us have lost our ability to enact or to easily comprehend the first. But not all of us have lost it, and none beyond recovery. Marcel Marceau creates poetry for us with no words. For a physicist friend of mine, watching that mime's enactment of a man climbing up five flights of stairs with his arms full was a short treatise on the physics of human motion: of balance, of muscle action, of momentum transferred and energy spent.

Some of us must keep and add to our understanding of the early action-language for more practical, though not less interesting, reasons. The loss of it is in turn a kind of deafness, an adult disability in work with all children, but an obvious and absolute loss in work with children in preschool or first grade who vary so in their ability to speak. This ability cannot be equated with competence of mind. The two are related but there are some nice exceptions to the fashionable belief that they are the same.

I speak of the language of action in this study for another reason: because it is also, and almost synonymously, the language of choice. We choose as we act, we act as we choose. The account

of these six children is one of manifold encounters with a planned but unprogrammed environment, and of their choices within it. The restrictions which circumstances put on us affected planning and the range of materials we could bring and are described in the days reported here. These notes and observations illustrate, and perhaps help to elaborate, an essential principle of learning: that given a rich environment - with open-ended "raw" materials - children can be encouraged and trusted to take a large part in the design of their own learning, and that with this encouragement and trust they can learn well.

From my own years of work with children (from ages three to about nine) I have found that this principle of choice has a far wider and more massive support than the present study provides. Yet I do not underestimate the contribution these six deaf children - in less than two score hours - have made to my understanding and the extension of it. The quality of time cannot be measured by the clock's hands. Who among us - teacher, poet, analyst, lover, physicist, or child damming a stream of water in gutter or gully - does not understand something of time's perversity? The history of these six is very intense in places, then slow and almost becalmed in between. Work with children that reflects their tempo is often this way; but through these mornings the richness of our nonverbal communication had to be the touchstone, and that makes the rhythm more conspicuous.

Others than those who teach are concerned with the way in which learning is coupled to choice, active choice. Philosophers, psychologists, and therapists bring special insights which those of us in schools can use; but it is a teacher who must provide the material from which choices are to be made in a classroom. Later, when a child is less dependent upon his immediate environment for learning, he can better survive a narrow classroom, though why he should have to is yet another issue.

For me, then, in my work with the youngest in school, it is the children themselves who have taught me so much about the principle of choice - exemplified tentative theories, criticized them, suggested

and suffered. More than twenty-five years ago my own apprenticeship began in San Francisco, first in a middle-class district but then for four years in the slums. And there, with depression children and dustbowl refugees, I lost one blind spot - my middle-class "inner eye,"* as Ralph Ellison calls that mechanism which interferes with seeing reality. I began to see these children as strong and hungry to learn. The school administration tried in more than one way to convince me that such children could not really learn very much. But I was too naive and stubborn to be persuaded of that establishment tenet, and the children and their parents supported me with much contrary evidence. Together we were willing to render unto Caesar, by quiet behavior in halls and, when necessary, in class. So we were left alone though given no encouragement.

After teaching in nursery and elementary schools in various places and situations I recently returned to my first loves in the slums of a large eastern city. I found them there, with their inquisitive minds, inventive hands, with their strengths and weaknesses and I knew that after having been too long in the public schools their strengths would become invisible and their weaknesses emphasized. That is what happens except in rare cases.

In another book I hope to analyze and illustrate from work with other children the many facets they have helped me shape in the learning theories I trust as guides. But the present story has a special place in the formulation of my ideas about language and learning. The misfortune of deafness brings into high relief the significance and the role of the language of action, when that language must, for so long, remain the only means of communication.

*"That invisibility to which I refer occurs because of a peculiar disposition of the eyes of those with whom I come in contact. A matter of the construction of their inner eyes, those eyes with which they look through their physical eyes upon reality . . . . You ache with the need to convince yourself that you do exist in the real world, that you're a part of all the sound and anguish, and you strike out with your fists, you curse and you swear to make them recognize you. And, alas it's seldom successful."
- Ralph Ellison, Invisible Man, Random House, Inc., New York, 1947.

INTRODUCTION

The School

The setting of our story is Fillmore Elementary School, as I shall call it. It is located in an inner city within commuting distance from my home. The area around Fillmore is quietly blighted. Slums take time to mature, and in some of our newer western cities "blight" is often the more appropriate word, unless the rate of decay has been unusually high or the beginnings unusually shabby. The Watts of my childhood, in the booming Los Angeles of the twenties, was already then a slum. (We knew it and as adolescents made jokes about it. With shame one remembers.) The Fillmore district is not yet Watts.

The Fillmore building itself is of sturdy brick construction which was prized at the turn of the century, and not without reason. The halls are wide, ceilings high, and the classrooms are spacious and well lighted by their many windows along one side, almost low enough for small children to see out. What the playground was like for the children of sixty years ago I do not know. Now it is sterile - paved, securely fenced, and unimaginatively provisioned in a minimal standard way.

Along the side of the playground and into the enormous hall of Fillmore I walked that first morning, and memory was my companion. Floor oil saturated the worn wood and damped my foot sounds just as it was supposed to do. Whoever has known it cannot forget the smell. I knew that behind each closed door there were living beings and I still do not understand, after living and working in schools both old like this and shiny new, why they contrive to erase any evidence of life within. The silence, the bulletin boards hung with children's neat, best efforts, the aura of authority unseen - these things told me that little had changed since my early years of teaching in just such a school, a thousand miles away in space and thirty years in time.

The Children

I came to the room I was seeking and went in, but before that narrowing of my story there are useful impressions to relate, gained from later visits to Fillmore. About half the children, whose school this is, come from the surrounding streets. They tip-toe through the halls and appear to be subdued and frightened, especially the younger ones. On the playground they are bored and aggressive, especially the older ones. They are poorly nourished; they wear faded hand-me-downs or poignantly new party clothes, and the striking disadvantage of poverty overshadows racial differences of their Spanish, Mexican, Anglo, or African origins. They are all Americans.

The deaf or hard-of-hearing children make up the remaining enrollment, and those among them who do not live in the neighborhood are brought to Fillmore by bus. Our nursery school group was delivered at 7:30 and picked up at 10:30. All the bussed deaf children come from a wider segment of the population, the middle class, and reflect in the greater homogeneity of their racial background the Anglo-American predominance outside the inner city. This, too, is American.

We have here a mixture of handicaps - of poverty and of physical deprivation. It is a delicate expedient, but not by any means an unpromising one, to combine two such groups. Here at Fillmore, however, children from poverty were treated (and, one suspects, punished as if unhandicapped) and the hard-of-hearing were treated narrowly for that handicap. Troubles are quickly compounded when both of these groups are in need of enrichment that neither is getting, though each could help provide it for the other with a bit of careful planning. The aggressive behavior we saw in the playground reflected a relationship between children which gave little reassurance about what was going on in the classrooms.

Our Place and Role in the Classroom

The group of four-year-olds we came to work with had a

special standing in this public school. Their teacher, Miss M., was working under a university-sponsored program called Language Arts,* and was not employed by the school. The space and basic equipment (including toys and accoustical devices) were provided by the school. I had been asked by the professor in charge of the Language Arts program to participate in it, to bring variety and enrichment from my experience with children of this age using materials of early science. With all concerned we worked out a pattern giving one morning a week to this, a fifth of the children's time in school, for some fifteen weeks.

A curious and unplanned circumstance had the effect of isolating our one morning a week with the children. Our early visits with Miss M. were pleasant and, in terms of my personal relationship with her, continued to be easy. But I soon realized that in welcoming our efforts she lived comfortably with the conviction that <u>what we brought</u> had no connection with her Language Arts. I had hoped that some liveliness in what we did would inevitably weave its way into her days and enrich them. But these notes will show something of how Miss M. and I developed and kept to our roles since I had been asked to work with the children and not with the young teacher. If Miss M. sensed any relationship between our visits and her own work, she kept it to herself.

How did the children themselves react to what seemed to me, in this dichotomy of understandings, a threat to our usefulness? They made out of it the best of two worlds, and took grist for their mills from each. They navigated with a sure touch and insight. They folded away their once-a-week behavior and interests with us on their days with Miss M., and to some extent they held in reserve their attitudes toward Miss M.'s work while with us. The absence of language underlined the separation here, as it does the separation of other parts of these children's lives. Still another factor contributed to the isolation of our work. In the beginning we left some of our materials at the school between visits, but Miss M. indicated to us that this complicated her language work with the children. Until the end

*See the résumé of this program, p. 135.

of the term, therefore, when Miss M. requested some of the equipment, nothing remained between visits.

However, in spite of their encapsulation, our visits were not without effect on Miss M. and there is some evidence of two-way carry-over in these notes. Because she genuinely liked the children Miss M. enjoyed the evidence of their development and hence generously acknowledged it when she saw that it was furthered by our visits. I have included in the notes some of her remarks to indicate her appreciation. In return we encouraged her, I believe, to rely on her own better inclinations, which the school establishment did not do.

Evaluation

One meets many uncertainties and ambiguities in studying the human being. The student of these pages will have to decide for himself whether and where we inadvertently credit to our Thursdays growth which cannot be so pinpointed. The continuation of our visits depended on my judgment as to whether or not our work accelerated and heightened the children's development.

The prime uncertainty in our evaluations is related, of course, to a possible underestimation of the children's powers of growth in the absence of that which we brought to involve them and to encourage diversification. To watch this group is to be struck again by the variety of learning patterns, of curiosities still intact, of degrees of self-guidance. It is to be reminded of how unequally very young children take school - almost any school - in stride and learn from it.

A school values its effectiveness too highly if it measures itself by the achievements of those already well on their way. It may then in reality only be standing still and maintaining the status quo - not enough by far for man's survival. Especially in these days when ghettos are bursting out from narrow restrictions, school can and must matter more than that. In assessing our report a reader should look especially for evidence of the transitions he would judge unlikely for this child in a more conventional environment. Did these mornings of work with simple materials

of early science count for these deaf children beyond what each, with his own given powers and deficiencies, could have gained without our provision?

In defense of the judgments I made - my assistant was a beginner and followed my lead - there is one factor to be mentioned. Such young children stand close to many beginnings. Because these early years are rich with fresh shoots it is more likely than with older children that we see change and effect it, and not merely infer change from unknown sources. Herein lies the excitement of designing for and working with the very young.

The careful recording of observation is already a step removed from the action itself. This step is a much shorter and more reliable one, I believe, than those often taken for the sake of achieving one-dimensional ranking and numerical measure. For then the onus is very clearly upon the evaluator to show that in mapping the plenitude of a child's career upon a few linear standard scales, he is indeed filtering out the germ and not a handful of chaff. In the redundancy of a narrative with many interlocking observations there is a cross-checking as to states and changes of state which can achieve a statistical reliability far greater than what we can achieve from the reduction of a few pre-selected data, however obtained. Statistical theory testifies to this conclusion, though it gives us no magic formulas into which to plug such information as we have here relied upon.

The Photographs

It has been a help to us, and may assist the reader, that in the photographs we have an independent record. They give pictorial definition to what we valued so heavily as positive indication of growth - the deep and sequential involvement of the children in their work. To Claire Grusin we owe deep gratitude for these photographs.

To illustrate these notes we have used facsimiles of Claire's pictures which were arranged as notebook pages and sent home with

each child. Only after some weeks with the children did I realize that we could use these pictures as a bridge, in their world of deafness, between school and home. From the beginning we were impressed by the difficulty of communication except in terms of here and now. Recall, reference to absent family or other matters, required such imagination as to make the effort almost too difficult for all of us. The need to remember and discuss - these being young humans - was great and in these notes the reader will be struck by how often the children indicated their need.

Once the decision was made to send the pictures home, the next step was obvious: to include the children's budding reading abilities with the pictures. And so sentences which were based on but not identical with their knowledge of the printed word were added. The children's reactions to the first pages they saw are described in Visit VIII.

* * *

There is a small but sturdy band of teachers, some in the field and most just entering, who have asked in one way or another that these notes include my own understanding, beliefs, and mode of operating. "Please don't put it down as if it just magically happens," they say. I have tried not to do that in the only way I know, by taking off from a particular incident where the children spell out <u>for me</u> the reality of my theoretical understanding of how learning occurs, how they contradict it, or, what is even more to the point, how they add to and change that understanding.

"Explain why you decided to . . . " my friends ask. And so I have included some of those tangents to my planning and analysis which I think are adjacent to the circle of action. I am deeply grateful for these requests which gave me courage to elaborate and get down what must remain a personal kind of thinking. Contrary to the thoughtful reaction of a good critic, I find that the resulting unevenness of these notes is not unlifelike and hope that teachers of the young will find this so.

My associates, here and abroad, defined well what they asked for. My own failures in complying must not be in any sense their responsibility.

ABOUT THE SIX CHILDREN

Just how much and what a teacher should know in advance about the children in her class is a matter of disagreement in the field. I prefer to be told little, to be forced to observe much. Far from implying that I do not value a child's out-of-school life, this preference means that I do not trust the effect of an information filter of the sort created by others' observations and evaluations, on my own early analysis.

What concerns me as a teacher is the child's behavior as it reflects his anxieties and joys; his physical posture, energy, and health; his choices and refusals; his habits and humor. To get so wide a picture of a child outside his home requires a classroom rich in challenge and variety with a climate of probing, trying, weighing. If this cumulative information proves inadequate for me to provide well for a child, then I must seek help from a parent, a social worker, or a therapist. In this spirit then, let me provide only brief preliminary information for the readers of this report.

These six children test as "profoundly deaf." They wear the hearing aids one can see in the pictures on the plausible theory that any kind of sound heard is stimulating and useful. But I have been told that among those who work with the deaf there is disagreement about what is gained, for children as deaf as these are, by using the aids. Having watched these children I can understand why. I was able to see no effect. Occasionally an ear plug would start a screaming oscillation and Miss M. would go over and turn it down. Though audible across the room, the children apparently heard nothing of the shrill sounds. The cause of deafness in these children varied. For some it was congenital and for others it was early illness.

When we started our work with them the children's ages were:

Lisa	4 years, 1 month	Patty	3 years, 10 months
Brooke	4 years, 9 months	Janie	4 years, 8 months
Greg	4 years, 2 months	Phillip	4 years, 2 months

THE CAST

Lisa

Brooke

Greg

Patty



Janie

Phillip

It is obvious that psychoanalysts, who deal mainly with the verbal communications of the adult, will have to undertake a more systematic study of the earliest, archaic forms of communication in infancy if they want to arrive at an understanding of adult communication on one hand, and the beginnings of thought process on the other. In view of the fact that the genetic aspects of psychoanalysis are stressed so consistently, it is surprising that such a study has not been undertaken long ago. - Rene A. Spitz, No and Yes: On the Genesis of Human Communication, International Universities Press, New York, 1957.

OBSERVATION, PLEASE

Visit I, January 19

When an old hand steps into a young teacher's classroom as an observer, it is not clear who, in the net of human beings caught there, is most nervous. A formal class for the young in the United States is particularly formidable because it is so quiet, programmed, and dominated by its teacher. It was nevertheless a surprise, since I am an incurable optimist, that on this first morning at Fillmore, with deaf four-year-olds I found a formal group-reading lesson going on.

The walk by the yard and through the long hall had chilled me. The long time it took for Miss M., the children, and me to become communicating human beings, even at a surface level, is a more specific commentary on the operation of our schools. (In Africa, England, and even in France I have found less apprehension.)

I sat down quickly at the back of the reading group and swallowed my own trepidation. I reminded myself not to react to the establishment, with its continuing unawareness of children's

natures and needs. I had been invited, first of all, to observe and I behaved - for a while.

I watched the attractive young teacher working with her six children from 8:30 to 9:00. The lesson was standard for a kind of role taking: cut-up sentences were to be put together in slots at the reading board to read "Lisa is here," "Greg is here," "Mrs. Martin is not here." This procedure was sensible and useful as a morning's opener as long as it made sense to those who were in fact here, and was not prolonged into drill separated from reality. That morning the children's involvement varied from Brooke's deep interest to Phillip's total withdrawal of anything that could conceivably be labeled attention. Greg's face and manner were unresponsive, and he glanced often toward me, the intruder.

Since none of these children spoke a word, Miss M.'s was the only voice. She selected a child to carry a name to the board and fit it to the correct incomplete sentence. To communicate her selection she touched the child or spoke his name if he was watching her face and could lip-read. Apart from special problems of communication and the more crucial need of these deaf children, the atmosphere and the answer-pulling (in this case action-pulling) were familiar for this type of silent group-reading with hearing children.

The children had come at 7:30, had been sitting a long time, and were getting restless. Miss M. was understandably nervous, and spoke to me in asides: "He can't sit still . . . He has been out for ten days and can't remember a thing." I was unable to stand up and make a sensible move such as saying, "Darlings - the seven of you - let us stop this. Enough is enough!" The nine o'clock break for milk and coffee rescued us all and we walked down the hall to the cafeteria. Lock step was about to be broken.

I could see that Miss M. was fond of these children. I realized only later that a handicap in this setting was her lack of experience with the very young. She was interested in the university-sponsored program, but having worked previously with the age range

from nine to twenty-one, she could not yet appreciate how to accommodate or apply what she knew to these beginners.

In the cafeteria that first morning we all sat at the adult-size table, smallest chins at table level. Miss M. brought a tray from the kitchen with individual milk cartons, straws, and graham crackers for the children. The tray was pushed by the children, in a perfunctory manner, from one to another. There was some silent signaling among them. For example, one would break crackers in a way-to-be-copied, as do hearing threes and fours. The others would copy and then, looking at each other, would eat the crackers to the last crumb. The tray was again pushed from child to child and empty cartons put on it. The routine had been maintained. The adults had coffee and cookies, and this adult was not learning enough about the children.

To stimulate some spontaneous (and hence more significant) behavior, I broke routine and put my coffee cup on the children's tray. (Miss M. had politely indicated that our cups should be carried to the kitchen.) Astonishment was the immediate reaction on the children's faces as they looked from each other to my out-of-place cup. Then they expressed their astonishment to one another by pointing as if to say, "Look what that grownup did!" Their change of facial expression encouraged me. I joined their reaction in mock censure of myself and the joke was shared by some. Two or three children cautioned me that I was not to do that by shaking heads and fingers at me - with humor.

Feeling that I had succeeded in some sort of exchange with the children I continued. To an accompaniment of louder, strange throat-laughter (the first I'd heard), I next turned the coffee cup upside down on the tray. Now the laughter turned to apprehensive glances at Miss M. - I had gone too far! Miss M. laughed with relief, I thought, at the way I was failing to fit the school pattern. In turn the children took their cue from her and apprehension became curiosity, a more useful by-product for school. We had established our first channel of rapport, shared over

forbidden fruit.

When we returned to the classroom Miss M. asked me to try some sort of game with the children. Since I had already entered the arena - if only with nonsense - she was justified in turning to me, and so I tried an old hand-and-finger game, "Open, shut them," with the children sitting around me on the floor. It was obvious at once that though they understood, there was minimal interest, and I was pleased that they could indicate this by walking away. It spoke well of the relationship between children and teacher that they were not afraid. It more strongly indicated, I realized later, their awareness of routine; this was playtime.

I asked whether, instead, I could watch the children at play with the equipment in their room (good blocks, trucks, doll buggies, and jungle gym which had been donated by one of the women's organizations interested in pre-schools for the deaf). My implied belief that the children and I could learn from free play was a welcome but improbable idea for Miss M. "Most people think nothing of importance goes on in free play," she said. Now I was permitted to see these children in a situation where they were all actively choosing and structuring for themselves.

This free time, Miss M. later confessed to my appreciative ears, she had clandestinely allowed the children to have, each day, as part of their three-hour morning. She volunteered, in addition, that when the children had first come they didn't play well together. This comment was in response to my praise for the children's sense of unity and self-direction as a group.

During this morning I occasionally joined the children's play which, <u>if silence can be ignored</u>, was normal to their age and milieu. Not a word was used spontaneously. At the teacher's request, however, two of the children as they left, laboriously mimicked "bye-bye" in the monotone of early deaf speech. Miss M. held their arms so that they would watch her mouth. Some weeks later one of Lisa's younger brothers came with his mother to take her home. While he was on the jungle gym he called out, "Hey Mom,

look!" I was startled. Hearing a child's voice made me suddenly aware of how we had accepted the unnatural silence.

By late morning Miss M. and I were at ease with each other and her understandable suspicion of me, as presumably just another member of the school establishment, was gone. In my own mind I was searching for ways in which I might be useful.

It is my primary concern to identify and help change what is wrong with our schools for the young, especially in the inner cities, so that children can learn. Unless I could work here to further that end, I could not afford the time and would withdraw, I thought. As I watched these children using their minds at play, communicating with no spoken language, I realized that this was an important opportunity. For me, a student of early learning, the language of action and its logic would be thrown into high relief by the pervasive silence of these lives. In retrospect I know that with this realization the die was already cast. But I pretended not to see that last throw.

To provide myself with more evidence, I interfered again. The interruption was minimal and had to do with introducing into the arena of three children who were building with blocks, a large cardboard box which was used to store the blocks. I tipped it on its side and moved a small truck into it, thinking of a garage. The children's reaction to this investment of an empty box with possibilities for use was indicative of their response to any novelty or variation suggested by an adult. They were amazed at my entrance.

There was, I should underline, a totally passive attitude on the part of Miss M. toward the play period. This was in direct contrast to her kindly-authoritarian, sometimes annoyed, attitude during Language Arts. In their programming for young children neophytes commonly see their role as either-or: either completely in control, or completely withdrawn. It takes time and experience to find a more natural way of stepping in and out. That kind of detail cannot be laid out in advance.

But watch the children. When I tipped the large cardboard box on its side, the three builders looked at me with surprised scrutiny. I cannot quite interpret their meaning but it seemed to question: my role? whether the box was a plaything? what their response should be? Then with a consensus of action they turned the box back on its bottom and showed me a thing or two.

For many minutes they played: Three could fit inside the box scrunched together . . . . They climbed in and out, one two or three . . . . They closed the flaps . . . . One sat on top . . . . They knocked on the closed box, with one inside and two out. On and on and on, oblivious of observers, they invented as they played. The unspoken excitement and exploitation of the box showed me these children internalizing bits and pieces of relational ideas: inside, outside, closed, open, empty, full. I mused on how one would use such involvement to build these words into reading and speaking at an appropriate later time. I admitted the cast of the die. I was challenged.

Later in the morning one of the children felt my purse, which reminded me that I had in it a small flashlight-magnifier. I took it out and showed how the light turned on, how one looked through the eyepiece. Everyone had a turn of some sort, and so did I. Some children wanted to try again. Brooke had a turn and seemed to see something through it but I wasn't sure; she certainly tried it with understanding. Lisa's behavior was so obvious that one could understand it. She went through all the motions she had observed me making, but they were totally divorced from any reason for making them (e.g., she bent toward the eyepiece but did not look). This is, of course, not uncommon behavior in a baby who mimics what he cannot yet understand. It is useful information about Lisa because it suggests that she is used to observing, but primarily in order to mimic - since she is not reaching the reasons behind observed action. This must be a relatively common lag with deaf children.

Watching the others with the magnifier, I felt that while

they could not yet use it well, they saw there was something changed in what they glimpsed. Greg, for example, gave it back quickly when he realized he did not understand. This was a useful insight into his pattern of approaching something new. Lisa's pattern, on the other hand, asks for help. It is instructive to follow the later development of these children as they explored more materials which are understood by use.

After school Miss M. and I discussed the episode: how it was obvious that implicit information around empty, full, three, etc., was being put into place for these children by them, and that appropriate explicit words could follow in reading and speaking, the more easily if one remembered and used such rootlets. From this and later conversations with Miss M. I assumed more than I should have about her understanding of the close coupling between the thing and the naming of it. I realize now that a concurrent seminar was needed but circumstances on both sides seemed to make this impossible.

To Consider:

1. I want to note a bit more about my early analysis of this group of children in the setting of free play and routine - against a background of the behavior of hearing children of the same age and economic circumstance. The children's reliance on routine and their awareness of any variation from that routine, even in play, was much greater than with most four-year-olds, though this age can easily become a conforming one for any children when maintained in too narrow a setting. The children, I observed, used too little initiative with materials provided by the teacher in lessons or directions; they too closely watched for a routine to follow. In this again they are not unlike older school children in bleak settings and more dictatorial atmospheres, who rely less and less on the inner and often competent direction they bring from home. In such atmospheres it is as if the open or disguised denigration of who they are

and what they bring from poor homes finally destroys or transforms to violence what it has failed to honor. Writers such as O'Casey and Gorky speak with clarity about that piece of truth.* We see it happen to our children in class after class, with monotonous certainty.

2. What is sometimes rudeness and often ignorance on the part of adults, namely to speak about children rather than to them, to laugh at them rather than with them, is easy to fall into with deaf children. I must avoid it, I cautioned myself. For them genuine understanding, beyond following orders, and two-way communication are difficult enough. We are all guilty of surface communication. A question: Could the bad habit of talking about older deaf children and adults in their presence contribute to their paranoid troubles?

3. When such an habitual occurrence as mealtime is treated in too routine and mechanical a fashion, possibilities are bypassed. Shouldn't the eating period for these children, where communication is limited, be a time for varied experience? Cartons of milk could be carried to a corner of their own room, or the question posed, "Where shall we eat this morning? Yesterday we had our milk under the jungle-gym." The necessary repetition could be a theme for variations, the same props enhanced and valued by change in the setting. My deliberate small interruptions of routine evoked first a surprise that implied dependency. Increasing independence will follow when children welcome such novelties as the stuff of learning.

*Sean O'Casey, I Knock at the Door, MacMillan Co., New York, 1939; Maxim Gorky, My Childhood, Penguin Books, Baltimore, 1966.

In man's brain the impressions from the outside are not merely registered; they produce concepts and ideas. They are the imprint of the external world upon the human brain. Therefore, it is not surprising that, after a long period of searching and erring, some of the concepts and ideas in human thinking should have come gradually closer to the fundamental laws of this world. - Victor F. Weisskopf, Knowledge and Wonder; The Natural World As Man Knows It (Science Study Series) Doubleday Anchor, Garden City, N.Y., 1963.

ON PLANNING - TO LEARN

Visit II, January 27

Equipment*

Hamster in cage

Straws, cans, and soap mixture for blowing bubbles

Tire tube and air pump

Large syringes for air and water play

Transparent plastic tubes (about 3' long, 1" diameter) filled with water, corked and sealed

* * *

This morning's equipment list reflects something of my thinking during the two weeks since the first visit. I have mentioned the apparent contrast between the children's approach to "school affairs" and their approach to "free play." While there were individual differences in their reactions to Miss

*See Appendix for a fuller description of equipment listed throughout. Since the classroom was barren of what I would call useable junk, we brought supplies of newspapers, rags, cans, etc. to back up and make useable our more "scientific" equipment. The junk is obvious and will not be listed each time. In a proper classroom it would be reflexly provided.

M.'s reading games, these were differences within the grooved spirit of rote, and contrasted startlingly with their liveliness in free play. Any of us who has taken the time to notice, has made similar observations of hearing children. In some very poignant sense, of course, these children are more at our mercy than hearing children are. Their deaf ears have denied them a potential source of variation and novelty - though with many a hearing child such lessons are equally out of joint.

In planning for this first working, but still essentially diagnostic, morning, therefore, I wanted to increase the input. I asked myself how best to tap the children's existing energies and innovative powers for using beginning language, and how to find new concepts for their wider learning, separate from words but readied for verbal expression. That was the general aim. In designing the morning I was especially conscious of two sorts of conditions that are always necessary in competent teaching.

In the Preface I speak of the principle of choice as it contributes to learning when there is richness in the environment and children are using well their innate capacities for choice. The first condition is met by providing materials from which children make choices. And this being school, there is a corollary about teachers' choices and teachers' learning. In order to learn about the children a teacher must choose at two levels: first, in the selection of materials to be provided and then, more subtly, at the level of teaching. Choices must be made as to whether, when, and how to intervene in the learning process when it is not going well and when it is going very well indeed. Thus, to meet the second condition a teacher must plan to learn about the children through their choices and so begin to acquire specific content and definition, from each child, for the variables of significant choice and quality of involvement. It is only through such learning, in turn, that a teacher can modify initial goals and materials or intervene

successfully to enhance the ongoing process. The ability to expedite learning depends upon how fast and accurately a teacher learns to assess and analyze children's individual patterns, strengths, and needs.

Let me speak first of my own need to know. I had formed some strong impressions about these children and the narrow range of their response to school. But it tempers audacity to enter, as I was doing, a field unfamiliar though closely related to one's own. Accepting gross differences around the fact of deafness, I aimed at the identification of likenesses between these children and their hearing cousins, and then again at the uncovering of differences (over and above individual variations) in their ways of being alike. I needed to test my first conclusions, and refine them. I needed more samplings and soundings from the children themselves, at work, and more thinking about these observations.

Both for these reasons of my own, and because the narrowed channels for receiving would require from me a greater input planned in greater detail than beginnings usually need to be, I selected carefully from materials whose potentialities I had tested pretty well in the past, and extrapolated in certain areas for these children. Local circumstances also affected the choice. We traveled some distance to the school and had to carry our materials each time. Any school innovator will realize that there was also the problem of "keeping school property clean and neat." I did not want to put Miss M. in the position of having to defend our messy junk or store it; so I brought it and took it back, a car trunk full each time. This eleminated much - sand, for example, and growing things.

But now to the children's needs. Careful planning must avoid the trap of narrowness. To program learning often means to hamper it by restricting children to the stereotyped anticipations of the programmer. With four- and five-year-olds of normal hearing our schools tend to do this, to restrict the

curriculum or weight it with puzzles that demand the "right" answer, with questions that ask the child to guess what is meant, with activities that fail to invite innovation.

Not long before my Fillmore experience I had observed a nursery school where bells rang, lights flashed, and other forms of strong praise exuded to reinforce the right performance in a context of preset goals and predigested content. So I was on guard and cautioned myself not to straighten and confine the offering but to broaden it, to build in an initial multiplicity - and to trip some laughter. The fact that one tries to provide this multiplicity in teaching "normal" children only underlined the proposition that here it would be indispensable.

Such a multiplicity of things to do, things important to children, can have a kind of thematic unity centered around related phenomena. This is one way of planning for the youngest, but it is not lesson planning.

I want to be very clear about this distinction, in view of the loose and conventional use of such words as "structured" and "unstructured," "authoritarian" and "laissez faire." These terms may be useful in specific cases to suggest something measurable on a linear scale, but they only confuse the description of complex settings where learning, not parroting, is the focus for young children. The plan here expresses itself through my initial choice of materials, and <u>then</u> through the child's choice from that material and the use of it which he initiates and evolves.

My first choice is made from past experience with other young children. The child's choice and use come from his store of experience and express his present and developing resources. The plan is thus a joint and dynamic kind of product, better seen <u>as</u> a plan in restropect than in prospect. But the teacher must assume with care the first responsibility in order that the child can accept and exercise his own responsibility. Only then, in turn, can the teacher sense where and how to expedite. It is in this way, in this web of activity, that the two kinds of conditions for good teaching can both be satisfied.

What evolved as my starting point, then, was a loosely patterned morning centered around related phenomena of water, bubbles, and air. Hamster came as a sort of check, an alternative and contrasting offering. The classroom itself offered the good standard stuff. How things would go thereafter would be determined from the morning's activity, from my assessment of what the children actually made of our provisioning. Could they select, design, and take some responsibility? Could they abstract? That was the key question. I thought I knew part of the answer, but I needed to let the children spell it out in their unique ways.

At first they flitted from one to another cluster of material which I had arranged on tables. There was little sticking to one thing, much watching to see what the teacher and other children were doing, and hence little time for experimental use even of bubbles and water. The sealed plastic tubes were picked up in a cursory manner, noticed and then put down - with one or two exceptions, when a child really watched the bubble rise as he tipped the tube. It was not unlike the Christmas Morning syndrome which one can identify for most children in the opening days of a good school. It was more striking here because there were fewer children than usual in a class for this age, and the contagion of one child's use of something spread to the others rapidly and more visibly. But there was little significant choice. Whatever I did was immediately copied, and, as one must when this happens, I had to change what I started as quickly as possible, provide more than one way to copy, thus sanctioning and inviting variety.

This imitative reaction is useful to a teacher as an indication of what a child deems important at the moment - to copy another child? to copy teacher? to find a right answer? (I recall from my first teaching days with too much lesson-planning, how many children there were who learned to copy everything just as I taught it. It made me feel successful, until slowly I began

to see those mistakes made by some children as tangents to be encouraged, expanded on, learned from - not always, not randomly, but often. When a group of fives produces replica upon replica of one paper ornament it is time to watch for and dignify, perhaps by hanging from a mobile, one child's "mistake" - one hard to copy and thus conducive to the production of still more "mistakes."

Two weeks before, it will be remembered, the children had used the blocks and other standard equipment in the room with some freedom and spark of invention. Early this morning the old stuff was ignored and any imagination seemed set aside along with it. Did the coupling to a new teacher make the children watchful and wary: "Don't think, or test, or experiment . . . "? Was there too much variety? Or were we at a beginning which the children felt should be lightly touched, tentatively examined, and thought about?

Hamster slept most of the morning and was something of a loss though we communicated through action about sleeping. Perhaps, because he usually sleeps in the daytime, Hamster will suggest that living beings cannot be made to perform. However, the nice idea that he was awake when the children slept was too much for me to tackle through pantomime at this early stage.

When the bubbling stuff was mixed and ready Miss M. said to me (to save Brooke from failure, I felt), "Brooke can't blow." However, since the setup was easy and did not require any particular performance, I gave Brooke, who was reaching for it, a can of suds and a straw - and she did make bubbles, even if in a stacatto and unsustained fashion. (It was found out later that parts of Brooke's throat were semi-paralyzed.) A blow is a blow, and while the other children could perform in a more sustained way they were by no means adept at blowing, which suggested that they were not used to having the chance. I should have thought that any pleasurable action using mouth and diaphragm would be a must for children who are trying to acquire speech at such a disadvantage.

By the children's manifest unfamiliarity with any of the phenomena, and their suppressed excitement at trying things (even

though so briefly at first), I judged them to be hungry for wider and less programmed experience with materials that are open-ended in possibilities for use. While their individual styles of learning and of structuring materials to foster this learning are falling into place, language will be poverty laden since it will have to be supplied in an unnatural way. Perhaps by adding a dimension of richness just here I may be useful. Certain of these children can already communicate very simply through written words. For others it is still to come. If the seed of emerging language can be nourished by vital experience with natural phenomena, then we will have matters to share, to wonder at, to understand, to write and read about, which will be close to these growing minds.

One may distinguish the mechanics of language for these children from the spontaneous use and enjoyment of it. But to distinguish is not to separate them totally and I propose to work at the early level where I believe not to separate is particularly important. When the art and skill of using language is marked off and delimited as a special "subject," the powers of an early learner are correspondingly enfeebled. Silence pervades a classroom of the deaf, of course, and a newcomer to it, practiced in sharing and interpreting the talk of young children, is keenly aware of the missing dimensions of their recall and description. These lacks are challenging since one depends upon such talking, especially when getting to know certain children. Fortunately, all fours and fives have their language of action to fall back upon, and so does a teacher.

My reading of today's visit is favorable to the plans I have made, but I look forward to next week for evidence of more sustained efforts with similar but even more open-ended materials.

BUBBLES

Lisa is here.
Patty is here.
Brooke is here.

Janie is here.
Greg is here.
They are blowing bubbles.
Phillip is not here.

Phillip is here.
He is making bubbles
in the water.

In his everyday life play is the child's natural form of expression, a language that brings him into a communicating relationship with others and with the world in which he lives. Through play he learns the meaning of things and the relation between objects and himself; and in play he provides himself with a medium of motor activity and emotional expression. - Frederick Harold Allen, Psychotherapy With Children, W. W. Norton and Co. Inc., New York, 1942.

MANY THINGS TO PLAY WITH

Visit III, February 10

Equipment*

Large plastic tub to replace individual cans for blowing bubbles

Attribute Blocks

Plastic tubes of various lengths and diameters. Corks of assorted diameters, to be used by children to make their own closed bubble tubes.

Plastic funnels

Flashlight-magnifier

* * *

It was on this visit that Claire Grusin joined me to take photographs and make on-the-spot notes of each session.

When we arrived today the children were drinking milk in the cafeteria. We were greeted with grinning faces, silence, and then with questioning looks at Claire. She was introduced, and Lisa immediately felt her purse, and then mine, in a way common to some younger, hearing children. The small flashlight-magnifier was still in my purse, remembered from my first visit, and Lisa seemed reassured when she identified it through the soft leather. She grinned at me as she touched it. When transferred to Claire

*Except as noted, the equipment listed each week is in addition to that previously provided.

is such behavior a substitute for language: "What's your name? Who are you? Do you belong together, you two? Do you carry the same things in your purses? Will you come again?"

Janie's hair had been cut short since I had been with them, and communication about hair cutting took place among some of us. Other children joined the pantomime conversation, each showing how his hair had also been cut once upon a time. Their need to remember and to discuss the barbershop is certainly as keen as any hearing child's. They are bursting to talk, to communicate with outsiders like Claire and me, and in the absence of language, their artfulness in asking and telling is indicative of good minds.

Miss M. was relaxed, friendly, and obviously pleased to have us there. This attitude encouraged our visits. If she had felt we were intruders, we could not have continued.

While we were still sitting at the lunch table one child noticed and indicated by pointing that I did not have on a name tag. All the children reacted to the observation and communication from the first child. In a matter-of-fact way, with minimal gestures, Miss M. told Brooke to go and get my name tag from the classroom. With speed Brooke returned, Mrs. Hawkins in her hand, and "mission accomplished" on her face. (There is a rule that no child shall run in the corridor, but such rules were not made for girls like Brooke.) This name-wearing is a nice substitute for the spoken word, and the children's use of it is a clear demonstration of their quickness and delight in reading when it serves them well. As time went by and more interesting affairs took over, the name tags properly receded, but we could well have introduced name tags for a wide range of objects with no drill necessary.*

We walked together from the cafeteria down the hall to the classroom. Hamster was waiting in his cage where I had put it in the middle of the classroom floor. Last week no one paid much attention to him. Today Greg held him briefly. Brooke was more timid and just watched, I suspect, not wanting to feel the fur.

*See the story of Hamster in Visit IV.

Others enjoyed feeding him lettuce and sunflower seeds which Hamster obligingly ate or stowed away in his ample cheeks. (He is often too full to eat.) The children laughed and giggled at him, and this time he stayed awake off and on during the morning. In spite of his inborn nocturnal habits this fellow was so responsive and gentle today that I shall try him again next week. While the children were playing with Hamster they often looked to Miss M., but this time I felt it was to share the pleasure with her rather than to ask approval for their actions. It is an important difference.

Late in the morning Patty, who had been busy with other things earlier, looked at the white hamster, ran across the room, and rummaged under dolls and blankets in a buggy. What was she about? I certainly did not know; she had indicated that her concern had something to do with Hamster - but what? With a broad grin on her face, and arm outstretched, she returned to the group around Hamster. In her hand was a small white stuffed animal, really very much like Hamster himself. We all laughed and shared the joke. Patty had brought it from home and I believe she made a plan when she came across that small stuffed animal at home. Having brought it to school she misplaced it in play, but remembered it just in time while the live hamster was still the focus of our attention. With no language for explanation, the exact sequence of events must remain obscure, but the essence is what counts, and this we all enjoyed. Patty cannot say about a white stuffed animal, "That is like Hamster!" But this has not curtailed her ability to shape her expression, to make her point, with all the attendant by-products of learning and laughter.

Such happy coincidences are only partly accidental. They occur more often when they are expected, valued, enjoyed. A parent or teacher who cares learns to read actions as well as words as indications that a child has matters of great import to communicate. Patty here made her contribution to a good conversation, enacting a sentence, or perhaps a paragraph, with clarity,

style, and humor. One appreciates the desire of any child to connect the values of home and school, but here where discussion is almost impossible our hats are off to Patty.

Even with so few children in our class the speed of action is too fast for us to see and capture many such episodes in this detail. I submit this one as a paradigm of what is to be valued here, of what I count as positive in evaluating any morning for a child - in estimating the climate of a morning itself.

The excitement level was high later in the morning, and I feared for Hamster if he were not protected, so I began to build a fence of large kindergarten blocks around him. This kind of shift of interest will tend to quiet things down, and, in catching another facet of the children's interest, leads to innovation. It did not lead to much this time though it did quiet; but as often happens with the young, the fence idea cropped up later when an inflated tire tube was used to fence in Hamster, and even later a visiting puppy.

Miss M. spoke to me about Lisa during the morning: "The day I see that one involved and not asking for attention, <u>that</u> will be the day!" I asked her to turn around and look; Lisa was blowing bubbles with quiet and sustained involvement. We laughed and the prognosis seemed good. It has begun to happen to Lisa - and will, more and more.

<u>Claire's first notes</u>*

> Lisa came at once to the middle of the room where the new plastic tub for bubbles was sitting. She mimicked to me that we were now going to blow bubbles, blowing toward me with a pretended straw. I sat on the floor and blew real bubbles with her for a long time. She liked having someone near. Also, she liked to clean up the floor when she spilled water, and then to neatly fold and put the wet paper towel in a coffee can.

Yes, Lisa still is sometimes so busy cleaning up that she has little time left for more imaginative activities.

*Claire's notes and later those of Miss B. when quoted directly are indented throughout the text.

Most but not all of the children were unable to use these open-ended materials except in a stereotyped or directly imitative way today. I began to judge such behavior compulsive. Ordinarily when material responds to a child's manipulation, evidencing its physical properties, the child responds in turn to these phenomena, and, as I have said, the character of this response is often of critical importance for a teacher. If the response is merely the next link in a chain of behavior already become habitual, then there is little evidence of deep involvement or discrimination. If the response is merely imitative, one judges that attention lies elsewhere than on the material at hand, and again any evidence of discrimination or learning is lacking.

Sometimes the particular thing chosen by a child is not after all his cup of tea, and he seems temporarily to lose the ability to make a search for what will be his. Perhaps he has had troubles at home. In any event something interferes with the coupling between child and material, which we know he has great capacity for. A teacher has here a unique role. It is not the role of mother or therapist or peer, but that of one who values learner and learning professionally and wants to help such a child regain and develop his capacity to probe and test, to summon his sleeping resources of imagery, control, and understanding - in short, to learn, not memorize. In this process home troubles can recede because learning is sustaining in its own way. And here there is at least one tangible, surefire aid: if the adult in the situation is himself simultaneously and genuinely exploring the material (and not just observing how the child uses it) then a bridge may be started to the child's reinvolvement. This is as valid a reason as any I know for having in a classroom enough materials with challenging possibilities. Is it utopian to propose that our teachers be permitted and expected to learn too? I have known teachers who first developed interests in science, at their own level, because of their perception of children's needs.

The reactions to bubble tubes is worth noting for evidence of change in the children's observation. These tubes, already filled with water and sealed, were offered last week; the children held and tilted them and watched the bubbles rise. This week we provided them a chance to make their own. There were many trips to the lavatory for water as the children found that it took more than one plastic glassful to fill a long tube, and we had confirmation of our decision to include corks of <u>various</u> diameters. There was much trial and error in the selection of corks. Some went down the tubes; some had to be poked on through the narrower tubes, but there was much satisfaction in the final matching.

Though we think of our work as open-ended, these tubes must not be! There is a right way and a wrong way in the context of fitting corks as there is in solving any equation. But <u>who</u> is to decide about the sequence? Not always the teacher - and not, we pray, the designer of science kits for the pre-school. (Notice as we go along the growing assurance of certain children with respect to measuring diameters.) On this second working morning some children were conscious of differences in size but were not yet skilled in fitting or matching with hand or eye.

Up to this stage in our work most suggestions of new steps in corking and filling and uncorking had to come from us, and the long process itself was threatened in this setting by normal minor accidents such as water on the floor. Liquids are anathemas to public schools!

But Greg was engrossed. After corking his tube and thus capturing his bubble, he turned it and turned it to watch the bubble rise. Later for a joke I tried to "catch" the bubble, as it rose, by putting my hand around the tube, adding a little to the still sparse repertoire of things to invent with tubes. He appreciated the humor of this futile effort and we grinned together. It will take time for the children to begin to realize that not all things have to be demonstrated by the teacher. They will begin to bring their instincts for imaginative play to these

materials, or so I read their reactions thus far. We will still have to intervene, to invent, but less and less and not for long, except when it adds substantively to their own exploration.

Early in the day I had put the Attribute Blocks on a back table. When there seemed an appropriate interval I went to that table where Patty joined me. Almost at once she sorted the blocks by color and was intrigued by the attribute of color. When I opened the package of colored nylon string loops she immediately grabbed for them.

As still often happens, the other children began to crowd around, but Patty was unable to share her new pleasure and the chance to invent. She gathered up as many blocks and loops as possible and removed t em to a smaller table, away from the crowd. This seemed a sensible move and I protected her right to work there alone. She spread out the colored loops and proceeded to do a careful matching, putting each block in the loop of its own color. Janie went over to her later, took a long assessing look at the game and joined in. Patty was aware of and grateful for Janie's care in first figuring out the rules, and accepted her assistance with satisfaction. Janie, in her turn, was careful in the beginning to solicit Patty's approval for each move. They are quite a pair - no language, so much communication and inventiveness. The ways of this twosome, together and apart, were to delight us in the weeks that followed.

This morning Miss M. told a charming story about Brooke. One day recently Brooke went to a quiet corner of the room to "read." Hardly was she settled with her book when all the other children joined her. She tried to build a little wall of blocks and books but they climbed over it. Finally, with a look of resignation on her face, she put down the book and joined the play as if to say, "No chance to read today with so many curious children around."

On the trip home I briefed Claire on how today compared with earlier visits: much more verbalization of throat sounds

than I had previously heard. This seemed so whether the children were angry about turns or excitedly pleased.

We discussed the question of a teacher's intervention as it came up in this visit. In the matter of helping with tubes and corks the answer is obvious. In the question of my play with Greg, those with conventional laissez-faire principles might well frown disapproval. I have suggested my reasons for the intervention. But I might add that I enjoy those bubbles that "fall" upward as much as any child. In sharing such enjoyment with a child there is a communication of the fact that as observers and learners we are of the same stuff. To be present but unresponsive often communicates a failure to value. To say, as we all do at times, "That's nice" or "Very good" or "Good for you" is such a meager way to evidence interest.

This morning I tried as usual to be guided by what I believed to be last week's feedback: continuation with similar materials but with variations introduced by me if necessary. The children explored and experimented more with the water tubes and corks after seeing us do so. By the end of the morning they settled in and took more initiative.

Claire's observations should be added to the day's configuration:

> Funny thing about names. My name was written on a card Claire and the children couldn't understand why there was no prefix, Miss or Mrs. . . . I was an adult and all the other adults had prefixes. Lisa and I sat on the floor together. I would write CLAIRE and she would leap with joy and point to me. Then I would write LISA and she would point to herself. Others joined and this went on and on.

AND - TO SPEAK

Visit IV, February 17

New Equipment

Two flashlights with batteries in place

Food color (red, yellow, blue, green) in squeeze bottles (1½ oz. mixed with water)

Large, clear plastic prescription jars with lids (ca. 4 oz.)

* * *

When we came into the classroom this morning, our arms as usual overflowing with junk, the children were still in the reading corner working at "Who is here?" and "Who is not here?" There were sustained and loud greetings by throat sounds as the children sat and stared at us with eyes shining and smiles on every face. We made quite a sight, I am sure, with tire tube, pump, large baskets, and Hamster. I put down all but Hamster and went to the children with the cage in hand. They were delighted to have Hamster join the circle and I asked Miss M. if we could make a sign for HAMSTER IS HERE to go under the heading, "Who is here?" By her hesitation now and by her previous failure to use any of the new words that have been popping up in our visits, I realized that Miss M. still does not see any connection between her role and ours. She had made it clear that we were welcome in her classroom, but apparently in her view our work was not in the province of the "language arts" she taught the children.

With more experience Miss M. might realize that in order to keep life and growth in a formal reading corner there must be constant association with the immediate and active world of young children. From these particular children we already had

TO MAKE A BUBBLE-COLOR TUBE

1
A squeeze-bottle squeezes a little water into Brooke's long tube.

2
Then Brooke pours more water through a funnel to fill her tube almost to the top.

3
What color, Patty ?

4
Down go the blue drops
slowly - slowly.

5
In Phillip's short tube
and in Brooke's long
tube the bubbles go up
fast, but the color
mixes slowly.

a significant directive to continue expansion at the reading board: their delight in adding new names to the reading list.

I was discouraged by Miss M.'s reluctance but thought it would be of no help to push. Perhaps I was wrong not to, but at that time I wished to maintain her pleasure in our presence and the lack of tension. These I judged more valuable than any point I might try to score by underlining that she and I were actually playing in the same ball park.

Milk and cookies again rescued us and with our names pinned on we trooped into the cafeteria where center stage was taken by the one other nursery school for deaf children at Fillmore. It happened in this way. Miss M. asked me to notice a certain Bobby at the next table: "His teacher wanted me to ask you for help with him. Some think he is brain-damaged . . . He is becoming a real trouble-maker . . . and a problem child . . . not able to sit still and profit from lip-reading." So I turned to four-year-old Bobby.

I looked at him and at the other five children at the next table, all of whom by this time were well aware of our scrutiny and were reacting to it with glances and giggles. (I had once seen this group linger wistfully in passing our open door on their way through the corridor.) Their baffled young teacher now stood a few feet away in the entrance to the cafeteria. To be rid of what was inherently a rude situation - our staring and talking about our neighbors - I moved to their table to sit with them, trying to begin communication through what was there: cookies and milk. I sat next to Bobby who was sucking his milk through a straw from the opaque carton, and trying to watch, through the small opening, the level of milk go down; not, I thought, a stupid effort nor one which reflected anything but the boredom of an inquiring mind. I tried, with him, to see the milk go down in the carton. After a few moments, in a mischievous and testing manner Bobby started a game of hide-and-seek in that large, almost empty cafeteria. I joined in the game, to the amazement and

and pleasure of both groups of children. Our six just observed the play. The facial expressions of Bobby's classmates changed during the game from testing-sly looks to the look of children unselfconsciously having fun. At the moment when I knew we'd had enough I took the children to their teacher who had been watching, much more amazed than the children. Claire observes:

> This game had its sad side too because it was obvious it was the most exciting thing that the children had done or would do for a long time. Our Miss M. said, "What if anyone comes in!"

I had about a minute to speak to Bobby's teacher and merely reported that whether or not Bobby had brain damage I couldn't say, but that all the children were terribly pent up and in need of activity. "Pent up?" she questioned, "but why?"

I had a chance later that morning to talk with her, and I did not know for whom to feel sorrier, the teacher or her children. She was quite defenseless about her ignorance of young children and had not the sketchiest notion of what ordinary threes and fours are like or in need of. She is not alone in this world where anyone with a PhD (and a reading knowledge of B. F. Skinner or Eric Erikson) can become a designer of "new methods" for teaching the "disadvantaged" - no experience required. The young teacher defended the lip-reading-at-length exercises as the thing to be doing with these deaf children; she knew no other. To be of any help in this offhand way was impossible, and I felt that I had been just another if less ugly threat to this already frightened young teacher, by bringing up a dimension she had not consciously known: that any children - deaf, blind, or "normal" - must be studied and their classrooms designed around their needs and levels of development.

In the weeks that followed, this group of children had more and more troubles: tantrums and crying, and misery on the face of their teacher. I tried not to listen or notice as I

walked by that room, but there is a poignant end to this long aside which must be told.

One Thursday, as Bobby's class loitered by our open door, we invited them in and they had turns with some of our stuff. I felt an ogre not to let them stay and "play in our garden," but in this public school it was not my place to rule on such affairs. (We always felt like trespassers at Fillmore until we got inside Miss M.'s room.) After this particular morning Bobby's teacher stopped me in the corridor. "Mrs. Hawkins, I wish you would come and work with my children once a week." Such an S.O.S. should be answered somehow in our vast, rich, school system. But I was there for one class, adjunct of one special project, and already on notice (the details I omit) that my position carried with it no generalized welcome to the school.

We brought flashlights today. Too often these are just turned on and off and never opened. To introduce them, then, I turned one flashlight on and off, unscrewed the end, took out the batteries and spring, put them back, and turned it on again. I arranged this "daring" demonstration just before it was time for milk. Phillip's curiosity must have held through milk time, because once back in the classroom he and Greg headed for the flashlights.

Phillip immediately opened the end, removed the batteries, replaced them, and slid the button to ON. No uncertainty here about which end of the batteries was "up." Greg took the other flashlight and proceeded to do the same, but not without a will-we-be-permitted-to-do-it? look. Both boys were more interested in the repetition of taking apart and putting together than in turning on the light, but always got the batteries in the right way.

The flashlights were theirs to open and explore and learn from. I regret that I did not provide them with wires, small bulbs, buzzers (which can be felt as well as heard) small motors, and extra batteries. At that time I had not explored

enough myself with these materials. Since then I have had the chance to work with African children who taught me how useful the whole array of batteries and bulbs can be with older children, and at a younger level, Head Start fours have amazed me and delighted themselves with the possibilities of these materials.

I ask myself how I could have missed seeing the potential here at Filimore. Those battery-run (yet almost useless) hearing aids could have been an intricate part of such a tangent, one to be seen in another light and learned about. So - teachers must learn too, and perhaps someone will see from my experience how not to do things and how to have some fun with deaf children and buzzers, bulbs, and batteries. As gold mines sometimes are, this one is rich if not structured and reduced to "How to Light a Bulb."

Greg finally went to pump air into a tire. This might have been a good time to work alone with Phillip, to find out more about him, but he had so far shown no need and I hesitated to interfere when a small boy on his own was directing his mind so well with new materials.

Claire catches a fuss:

> A little trouble developed over the tire tube with Brooke, Janie, and Greg who refused to give up the tube. Mrs. Hawkins averted a fight between Brooke and Greg by telling Greg at least to give a turn to Janie, who was less demanding than Brooke, or else he would have to put the tube and pump away. All this was easily and immediately communicated by words, objects, and facial expression. Greg agreed, gave Janie a turn, and then even Brooke. We definitely need more than one tube next time. Lisa started to play with the Creature Set from the Attribute Blocks all by herself. So far I have seen her play only when people were near and watching.

It is clear that in introducing a totally new activity a decision is made by the teacher about how much and what kind of structure will accompany it. Let me use as an example my thinking about introducing food color for these children. The cluster of materials here, while including water as something known and recently enjoyed,

is still inherently new to most children and esthetically vivid. The road to chaos can be short. It has been my experience that there is more enjoyment and exploration if the introduction of food color is "structured." On this particular morning, when I decided to turn to the back table where the food color and related materials were waiting, I made another judgement. A time for quieter activity with teacher involved was needed. Had the early part of the morning followed another kind of pattern, I might have cancelled these plans. On a Monday morning, for example, after a cold and confining weekend, I have found children so deeply in need of self-direction in familiar paths, with adults far in the background, that I have put away "structured" plans. Guidance at such times courts trouble, competes with needs of higher priority, and solidifies a reaction of wandering attention.

Having decided to introduce color drops and water I knew that until the curtain went up there was the possibility that the children's response might prove me wrong. I have seen too many children delighted and learning with these food colors to hazard pushing it through if such responses were not present this time, and I was prepared to retreat if necessary.

The table was covered with newspaper, and each child had two five-inch-tall plastic jars ready to be filled with clear water. I was custodian of the four plastic squeeze bottles, each containing a different color, and initially helped each child squeeze a drop or three or four into his own water. Finally, when the children were obviously involved with the phenomena, I realized on the spot that this was a great opportunity to try some language, so I encouraged some to ask for a particular color. Patty, Lisa, and Phillip tried to ask half-successfully (half in that they tried at all). Brooke ignored this challenge, but watch her with color drops in Visit VI. Since language was inherent in the situation so long as I kept the colors in hand, and the laughter in throats, efforts to name the colors continued. I kept those colors in hand. A time would come for

another kind of exploration of these materials.

Claire notes:

More verbalization today, especially when the children were sitting around the table to work with colors in their plastic jars. In addition to their Oh's and Ah's at seeing the colors in the water, I heard Janie ask for "blue" quite distinctly and carefully, and Greg asked for "yellow."

During this sequence I was reassured by the children's ease in waiting and taking turns, that the change from free-wheeling to quiet plan was appropriate. It felt right to all of us. The intrinsic richness of color swirls mixing and changes of color density held magic for us all.

After we had left the table Patty tranformed her small jar when the others were using long bubble tubes.

Claire watches:

There just weren't enough long bubble tubes to go around, so Patty used her plastic jar with its lid for a short bubble tube and didn't complain about not getting a long one to work with. She had put pale green water in her jar, which showed the bubble beautifully, and she was content to tilt the short jar-tube from side to side and watch her bubble go up and down.

I want to speak about Lisa for a moment. Her behavior during the morning regressed to her former attention-seeking and Miss M. reported that at the end of the previous Thursday Lisa had been terribly fatigued and frayed. One need not assume that this was caused by our presence, but I prefer not to side-step in that way.

During last week's visit, in spite of the addition of another adult to Lisa's sphere of school, she was able at times to forget adults and lose herself in an activity. But those brief moments were more indicative of the future than of the present for her. She is not yet able to use her energy to explore the new dimension

of free choice because most of that energy is still needed to bid for her old sustainers - praise, direction, personal notice. Not having developed enough genuine interest in the materials themselves, disassociated from the persons who bring them, her energy is constantly drained by our very presence and her attempts to change. This kind of fatigue, genuine though it is, may be a positive signal of growth. Frederick Allen first alerted me to this possibility. He states: "An increase of inner struggle before a leave-taking or breakthrough is not uncommon."* I have found this so in similar classroom situations over many years. We shall see how it goes with Lisa.

Phillip's behavior today was in particular contrast to Lisa's. He was a dreamer but not unhappy or bored. He set his own slow pace at tasks which interested him. He was fond of Hamster and really the most affectionate and gentle of all the children, as though he could already feel <u>for</u> another creature in a more mature manner than the others could.

I had a final view of Brooke wandering down the hall in her most withdrawn way. Her involvement today was shallow and disturbing. She is the one who needs my most careful thinking.

Claire's indignation met me as I got into the car. She had been waiting there for a few minutes watching the playground.

> I noticed on the playground today what seemed an inordinate amount of fighting between older kids. Kids were pushing children who had hearing aids in their ears. In general the yard didn't seem a bit pleasant.

On the drive home we tried to sort out some of the implications. Claire's immediate ire was against those children who were pushing the deaf. But one had only to remind her of the behavior of Bobby's class to exemplify what results in all children from

*Frederick Allen, <u>Psychotherapy with Children</u>, W. W. Norton and Co. Inc., N.Y., 1942. This one book out of many in the field has proved itself again and again in meeting the kinds of problems a teacher encounters in children each day.

frustration and boredom in classrooms. "Of course," she realized, "the hearing kids must be pent up and bored too. Our Miss M. is probably the best teacher in the school." A playground is such a true projection of inner, personal matters.

OUR CLASSROOM

No, I don't want any more kindergarten materials. I used my little stock of beads, cards, and straws at first because I didn't know what else to do. . . . I am beginning to suspect all elaborate and special systems of education. They seem to me to be built up on the supposition that every child is a kind of idiot who must be taught to think. . . . Let him go and come freely, let him touch real things and combine his impressions for himself. - From a letter to Perkins Institute from Ann Sullivan. Hellen Keller, Story of My Life, Doubleday, Doran and Co., Inc, Garden City, N.Y., 1938.

ENOUGH JUNK

Visit V, February 25 (Greg absent)

New Equipment

Plastic wading pool (3' diameter) and toys: syringes, coffee pots, plastic Rx jars

Tire tubes, many this morning including a couple of bicycle tubes

Gels - six colors (red, orange, blue, yellow, green, purple) made of colored plastic transparent gel material used for theater lighting. Each gel was 3" x 5" with rounded corners and edged with masking tape. On the taped edge of each gel we wrote the name of its color.

* * *

The children were already drinking their milk when we walked by the cafeteria today. We tiptoed down that silent hall with arms full, and the eagle eyes of our "six" missed us.

Claire went back to the cafeteria while I stayed in the classroom to set up the equipment. In this setting it was a luxury to do so - but one I usually count indispensable. At the end of one session I am not ready to think of the next, being too concerned with reliving what has just happened. The luxury-necessity

of being in my own classroom alone just before the children come in gives me a time when the worth of five consecutive minutes is incalculable. Clues and implications from the previous day's work are everywhere and the silence-with-time permits me to see and weave those suggestive clues into the next scene.

The inflatable wading pool was new today, planned as a holding place for overflow water from the tubes. No one took <u>that</u> plan seriously. Phillip, who usually goes to the heart of a matter, marched to the pool, sat himself down as close as possible without getting in, and looked at the cans of water I had placed in it. With speed and little ceremony he dumped all of the water into the pool. Babies are christened with a gentle sprinkling. Phillip, I suspect, will christen hulls which takes gusto.

I look at Claire's photograph of Phillip (p. 15) and muse about him. He exemplifies those children who become adults with much knowledge of and great joy in the natural phenomena of our world - stars or otters, electricity as it is explored by a kite on the end of a string, or the pattern of many reflections of a light from wavelets on water. Somewhere in childhood such people learn how to observe and to try their hands long enough at one thing to establish a beginning - even as Phillip does here. Watch him.

After the scramble for tire tubes last time, we brought for today every old patched tire tube our local gas station could find and mend. The children used them at intervals throughout the morning in foreseen and unforeseen ways. They pumped up and deflated (with much ado over valve stems and the feel of air as it was released); the tires were again pumped up, sat in, rolled, rolled on, patted, and then much of this all over again. What I call a nesting syndrome was tripped when several children just sat snugly inside a tire tube with their four-year-old backs nicely propped and legs akimbo. For minutes on end they sat thus inside the tube enjoying this protected place from which they looked out at the world through the colored gels - or so their behavior told us.

Claire observed:

> When we came back to the room Brooke went at once to the tire pump and pumped up one of the large tubes. When she finally noticed everyone else at the new water pool she too went to the pool. There Lisa had already filled and corked a long bubble tube and was turning it from side to side watching the bubble go up. Brooke joined Lisa and made her own tube, corking and filling it with water. After it was corked she spent a long time watching her own bubble. The children often still look to the teacher for cues or permission when they want to change from one activity to another. At the water pool, for example, they seek approval to use a syringe instead of pouring.

Everyone tried his hand at the water pool this morning, but Phillip and Janie were the two who stayed and whose actions we can report. We missed the details of Phillip's initial water play after the christening, and that makes me sad. Some of Janie's we caught, and that compensates. Phillip, unaware of anyone's scrutiny, would fill his large plastic syringe with water by pulling out the plunger while the tip was submerged, and then shoot the water to the opposite side of the pool. He was expert at controlling the force and speed on his plunger as he aimed the small stream.

Janie kept watching Phillip's actions. Quite obviously she wanted to do the same thing with her syringe, but she was unable to fill it with water, the first step in this desirable sequence. (Her trouble here is not unique to four-year-olds. We have watched adults at our Center, like Janie unused to syringes, get hung up on the identical set of reverse motions. They pull out the plunger in the air, put the tip of the syringe-tube into water, then push the plunger down . . . nice bubbles rise to the surface, but the syringe does not fill with water.)

After some interest in the unplanned bubbles, Janie turned again to watch how Phillip got water into that stubborn syringe. Then, say Claire's notes, "She <u>thought</u> about it." With syringe out of the pool she pushed down the plunger, then put the tip in the pool and slowly pulled up the water into the transparent

syringe. The final part of the sequence, shooting water across the pond, proceeded, but Janie's success in using eyes, hands, and mind to fill the syringe was for her, I submit, an achievement of equal merit. To write about it is in some degree to share her pleasure.

It may be useful to spell out the less tangible implications of this episode. One catches too few. Both children, one notes, are nibbling at some very nice pieces of the real world: a liquid state of matter, volume, space, the reality of air, force, time. We can say that in some sense children do this all the time. But whether our schools appreciate and encourage this kind of engagement by providing time and equipment for children and their teachers is a question. We have watched teachers in our laboratory, with no children present, letting themselves explore with color, water, mirrors, mobiles, balances, and pendulums. They are amazed and delighted at the pleasure which accompanies their learning. Others, of course, stand by writing notes in their notebooks, looking for lesson plans or magic formulas, unable to touch and try. Though they have college degrees they are deprived. It is not easy for a teacher to provide for a kind of learning she does not know and appreciate herself from experience. I digress here to make a plea not only for children, who suffer when a teacher does, but for the many teachers I meet who are unhappy, bored, and lost.

There are still more aspects of this episode to be noted. Setting of goals is one. To shoot water across the pool might be called a self-set goal for Janie but not, as the task developed, one that interfered with savoring and exploring along the way. Consider the absence of frustration in her failures. Does this have to do with the fact that a child not yet taught otherwise by school keeps his goal in mind as he loiters along the way - testing, learning, seeking to understand? And suppose he changes his goal - is this the "sin" we are programming out? Add extrinsic rewards to preconceived "behavioral objectives" replacing Janie's kind of self-set enterprise, where failure and success on her own terms lead toward understanding a small facet of the world's mystery; make just

that change and watch to see what in fact has been subtracted from the primary need to understand and create. Children learn something in operant conditioning experiments - it is their very nature to learn - but how narrow is the learning, how wasteful and minor in the scope of what man must learn to survive as joyous man!

Consider sequence and order here for Janie. Such terms, if not concepts, are much in the minds of those who work at programmed learning for our young. In all of the search to find the proper order I miss any awareness of the existing levels for such important and really basic concepts. There is an obvious and thin layer of sequence which has to do with the minutia of life such as catching a bus (or reading its number). If one arrives at the proper corner one minute after the bus has left, one misses it; this can be annoying, but the remedy is obvious and though we sometimes miss a bus (or misplace an overcoat along with Mr. Thurber) we do not therefore and necessarily miss the more important conveyances or absolutely misplace the necessities of our lives. Preoccupation with minutia, substitution of rote memorization for learning, parroting back answers, smiling when the teacher says "smile" - this kind of sequential nonsense will undermine the learning capacity of our most vulnerable young. It will certainly greatly decrease the chances that they will be able to catch the most important "busses" whose schedules are partly written by each new generation as it comes in contact with the real world.

Order or sequence in Janie's learning exists in this incident but only in retrospect can one define it. Early on it must have been clear to her that before she could squirt water out of her syringe there must be water in it. This was not an insignificant sense of fundamental order for her to build on. From there how did she proceed? Knowing she must do something, she tried to get the water in by pulling out the plunger before immersing the syringe. Failure resulted, though the bubbles compensated a little. She must have been satisfied at this point

that the successful Phillip possessed some particular piece of knowledge which eluded her. After watching Phillip, she tried again - and failed. More observation, some thought about it, and she was in. There is much more here than dreamed of in a programmed lesson "How to Work a Hand Pump."

One cannot exhaust the implications in such a brief account, but it should be realized how rich such incidents can be.

And back to Phillip who followed the beat of his own drum and stayed at the wading pool a very long time after Janie left, quietly engrossed with the water and syringe, trying, testing, spilling, squirting. My first day's picture of him returns when I reread these notes: that bored, restless but obedient little boy on a chair in the reading corner, his only defense in the situation being to daydream or half-heartedly examine the nearby wall.

Claire adds:

> I noticed Phillip take a chair and go to play with the new large magnetic letters (provided by Miss M.) which were sticking to a magnetic board hung in the reading corner. He stayed there taking the letters off, sticking them on, and moving them around all by himself until Patty came and played beside him for a good fifteen minutes. Both children were much more interested in the magnetism than in the symbolism of the letters. Phillip found the magnifier-with-light and examined the large letters carefully.

I wonder whether Phillip hoped to find out why those letters stuck, or whether he noticed the magnifier and used it in a random way with no thoughts about what made the letters stick. Knowing a little about how Phillip puts things to work for his understanding of the world, I would like to believe the former.

The introduction of gels went something like this. I sat on the floor holding six gels of different colors in my hand, and beckoned the children to join me. Greg was absent, so there were five children. I had given one gel to each when Lisa, who seldom misses this sort of number difference, took the extra gel from

my hand and superimposed it on hers. Her reaction, after looking through the two, was pure delight.

We had made the six gels for general use, not thinking particularly of providing one gel for each child, but I realized quickly from the children's immediate and covetous reaction that the gels would not become general equipment to be shared. Children have a way of communicating a fait accompli about such matters that leaves no doubt as to the course they dictate.

Claire watched Lisa:

> I saw Lisa take a gel to the long mirror and look at herself through it. She laughed at herself with gel over eyes and then removed it and laughed at herself again. Brooke looked through hers, then carried it to the reading corner, where she found her name in the envelope and slipped her gel in beside it.

Sometime later in the morning Brooke and I had a complicated wordless "conversation" about the gels. She came to me and tried to explain something. Too busy with something else, I did not follow her logic or know what she was pointing to in my pocket, and had in fact forgotten that I had put the extra gel there to save it for Greg. Brooke gestured and looked me in the eye as if to say, "This is important; please listen." I tried but didn't understand, so she lead me to the reading corner. Turning to the board she found what she was seeking and ran her finger under it: GREG IS NOT HERE. Then she turned to me, pointed to the gel in my pocket, and I finally understood. Yes, I nodded, this gel is for Greg who is not here, and I will save it for him. Brooke smiled broadly and walked away with a lilt in her step. Sometimes, I imagined her saying to herself, it <u>is</u> possible to communicate with that usually nonunderstanding world. (See end of chapter for further discussion of this episode.)

Claire writes:

> Lisa was magnificent with a tire tube. She pumped it up

all by herself, which took a long time. She was very pleased with her effort. Brooke demanded a turn with the pump and Mrs. H. interfered.

Brooke in her most persuasive manner tried to get Lisa to give her the pump, but when Lisa, so often unengaged, is this much involved I feel she should be protected. At the end of the morning Lisa had more trouble and cried over the blocks. Miss M. held her and comforted her in her lap, and Brooke who had cornered some gels came over to Lisa and offered her one!

We stayed to meet some of the mothers this morning, and they too were inordinately interested in the gels.

Notes on This Morning's Visit

As in other kinds of regular sessions (therapy, music lessons) which are designed for continuity and have some sense of building, the rhythm of what we are about here is beginning to be felt and variations designed. Let me assess some things about Brooke as an example, thinking, in particular, about her concern for Greg's gel.

First she is using that remarkable mind to make order of our presence. She now knows certain important things about us and something of what this means in her school world. That we bring materials worth her time and her anticipation has become obvious before now by her participation. But suddenly in this session, she finds it worthwhile to try to communicate with me about important but difficult ideas. This is a new and heady dimension in our brief association; it indicates the thinking this small girl so often has locked up inside. A two-way relationship is developing which goes beyond the one-way flow in which I supply her with equipment and things to do.

Specifically, I speculate that Brooke thought we had brought a gel for each child and needed to indicate her appreciation of our planning for each child including the absent Greg. This, though partly subconscious, is intrinsically rich. There is so much more in this brief episode: beginning understanding of

the logical roots of cardinal numbers, of one to one correspondence, of the use of written language to point out a subtlety about the morning which Brooke could not otherwise communicate. In addition, this is a kind of positive bridge-building between the other four days' learning and the one morning we are here, and Brooke is doing it herself.

Only in retrospect do I realize that just here I should have been alerted to how very much Brooke needed a one-to-one relationship with an adult - so that she could "talk." It is the depth of her need I missed, being too enamored of her potential. Perhaps under the circumstances I could not have supplied her need, but I want to note it here with humility because at another time and place I might miss an opportunity to understand when it could make a difference.

About color and gels: In preschools the common evaluation of a child's knowledge of color is based on whether he can name and identify "his colors." This is one of those small but revealing commentaries which shout out to some of us about the narrowness of evaluators and of curriculums in which such learning is considered as either difficult or central to a child's familiarity with the multifaceted world of color.

All the young in my experience have been deeply appreciative of color in bubbles, crayons, paints, food, water - deep, shallow, muddy; in flowers, leaves, birds, and blocks - but especially and most appropriately when they are working with all of these pieces of their world.

For the deaf in this particular situation, with no words to help, we had to be more inventive so that color itself would be abstracted and appreciated. This was an excellent experience for me, and though one never does navigate twice in the same way I learned much to add to my own repertoire for color within the restrictive setting of a neat city classroom.

The gels themselves grew out of a large box of photographic gel material in 24" x 36" sheets in our lab. The children's need and search for wider activity within the world of sight tripped our own abilities to search, and so the gels were invented.

As I have noted, the gels were used almost greedily this morning. There was some trading and sharing but the children kept track of their individual gels and retrieved them quickly. Once I saw how the children made these their own I knew we must make a set of six for each child. (See Visit IX for how it went on the morning we brought the sets in envelopes.)

It is useful to speculate about why and how these were used, were invested. I keep a keen interest in minor matters of presentation, in timing, in being aware of the setting into which one introduces a particular bit of material. Often one cannot separate out which element makes a difference in a child's reaction. This does not indicate that the totality of timing, setting, plus material cannot be usefully evaluated. When I lose awareness of these matters I find I cannot stimulate enough variation in children's own use of materials. For example: Here I wanted to protect the delicate acetate by making a rather careful presentation so that a child could exploit it well. Such care on my part invests material with some added feature that a child may initially notice. Did this kind of valuing on my part affect the children's reactions? Were the uses they made of the gels narrowed or widened by my preparation? Is there a human dimension added when a teacher values something in a special way? What kinds of materials should not be hedged in this way?

These are the kinds of questions I need to ask myself and to seek answers for in the children's actual responses - never mind whether I am satisfied with any one or whether I am certain how to anchor a particular response. If I don't vary procedure and pose such questions I remain truly at sea. After enough evidence is in from a varied stage setting there begins to appear a trend which suggests new directions.

A QUIET MORNING TO REMEMBER

Visit VI, March 3

New Equipment

Plastic eyedroppers to be used with food colors

* * *

> Today was to me a completely different sort of day. There was much more self-sustained interest, less running around from one thing to another, and longer interest spans for all the children. As we walked by the cafeteria with all the equipment in our arms, there were shrieks of joy from the children who were having their milk. Mrs. H. stayed in the classroom to set things up while I joined Miss M. and the children. Lisa remembered that I had burned my arm with an iron the week before and immediately started tugging at my long sleeve to let her see the burn. She went through the whole pantomime with much sympathy.

Claire's observations are a good beginning for today's notes. They catch the tone of the morning - new to Claire (this school being her first experience with children) and to me, as an old hand, welcome as a slower tempo emerged. For this particular morning I had planned to continue and consolidate what was started last week and, where I felt I had clues from the children's earlier response to new ideas with food color, to explore that material further.

For water itself and for making and unmaking bubble tubes we brought the water pool with funnels, jars, etc. There were corks and some of the long plastic tubes. On a newspaper-covered back table were jars, water supply, food color, and the new eyedroppers. I put Attribute Blocks out on another table to provide an alternative activity which would carry itself if need be, or would supply material for working with one child.

It is useful to assess materials from such points of view as an aid to flexibility in their use and in deploying staff with young children. Many tire tubes and the pump were put in another corner. These could also be managed alone or become a good thing around which a child might explore with a teacher. Against the background of concentrated and exploratory work which pervaded the morning, I choose two episodes to give further definition to a kind of developing pattern and to underline that observation is required along the way: the requirement that evaluation should be built in as a guide, not superimposed.

The first setting was this: Greg and Phillip were quickly lost at the plastic pool in a water-world of their own, working with tubes, syringes, jars, and funnels. Greg had been absent last time and I was lucky enough to see his initial reaction to the pool when he walked in alone from the cafeteria. He stopped just inside the door, stared at the pool, pointed at it, turned toward me with what I think was a conspiratorial smile - of appreciation for my audacity in bringing such a thing to the classroom? . . . or as a confirmation of his anticipation of a surprise? I cannot pinpoint the meaningful look he gave me, but I valued it as approval of the pool.

With such a warm and appreciative communication - eye to eye, smile to smile - I felt safe in believing that Greg indeed was beginning to build a new and secure path into the world of school. Miss M. confirmed this later. It is nice to watch Greg carefully from here on, although it was not until Visit X that we had no more doubt about his embracing a wider world.

One of my intentions this morning was to remain at the back table to dispense color and encourage language. I started with the four girls who had chosen this activity. Keeping the small bottles of food color in my hand at first, I encouraged each child to ask for the color she wanted by its name. Janie laughed and was able, with repeated help, to ask for blue, then green. Patty tried happily, with less success, but unceasing effort, and

Lisa, halfheartedly with little awareness and little success, moved her lips (not unlike her earlier motions-minus-logic with the light-magnifier).

I purposely didn't ask Brooke what color she wanted because this kind of participation was not, it will be remembered, her forte. Suddenly, however, she was pulling at my dress to get attention. I looked at her carefully, knowing she does not try to communicate unless it is important. She pointed first to her lips, then to mine, then to a bottle of food coloring. With excitement I put the question: "What color, Brooke?" Watching my lips carefully she answered by moving hers. This had little relation to the correct lip movement and there was no sound but it was an approximation of the finest baby talk I have ever observed an infant use for trying words-that-sound-right. It felt right to Brooke and to me. Bravo to her!

Miss M. later told us that to her knowledge Brooke had never before done this. Children like Brooke must choose the moment.

Claire comments:

> An amazing communication went on between Lisa and Janie. Lisa mouthed something as Janie was looking at her. Then Janie, seeming to understand, gave her some green coloring and her own plastic jars. This happened while Mrs. H. was asking the children what colors they wanted.

It is nice to have these deaf children remind us so graphically that conversation is contagious - that they are ready to work at language as long as it belongs and adds to their vital concerns.

The second selected incident is brief. Late in the morning the children were dropping color into the long, water-filled tubes, and when there were many such tubes of various colors, well corked by careful four-year-old fingers, I borrowed Janie's tube of bright green water and carried it to where a stream of sunlight showed through it. Claire describes what happened:

> Janie ran after Mrs. H. and hugged the tube. Brooke joined them, pointed to her own mouth and wanted to say a color; Patty took the cork out of her tube and dumped its colored water into the pool, laughing as its color spread in the clear water; Janie tilted her green tube and said, "Big bubble."

Claire's description of clean-up time further characterizes the morning. To keep the unrushed pace I started the clean-up process early enough to encourage a leisurely unwinding from the calm richness of the day's activities and to allow us to continue harvesting by-products of language and insights - the children's and ours.

> It was great fun when the children started to empty colored water from their tubes into the water pool. Today they seemed to have noticed consciously that different corks fit different tubes - some stuck, some got lost down inside, some fit just right. Emptying water and carrying it from pool to lavatory became an activity in itself. They were helping us to clean up and looked and acted so self-important!

Claire's notes have become more useful to me over the weeks. The taste of promise was in the air for us all. Claire said it, our children acted it, and I was content.

FROM BUBLES THROUGH CHAOS TO INVENTION

Visit VII, March 10 (Claire absent)

New Equipment

Large aluminum salt shakers filled with various noise makers: beans, seeds, rocks, etc. (Matching clear plastic containers with identical contents were also brought today but not used.)

* * *

Circumstances changed our pattern today. Claire was ill, and I had the help, for carrying things, of a young graduate student in sociology. When one varies intentionally or inadvertently what has become routine, there is a nice opportunity to learn something new about the children themselves as they respond to the change. It was nice for all of us to have a young man around, if only briefly. Schools are so segregated.

When my friend Reyes and I came into the building with the usual paraphernalia in our arms the children were at the far end of the hall coming toward us to the cafeteria. The now familiar, loud, happy greeting of Oh's and Ah's reverberated down the long hall, but when the children came close there were questioning looks on all faces: "Who is that? What is happening? Where is Claire?" I went to set up in the classroom with the help of Reyes while the children had their milk, but they were upon us very soon. For the first few minutes the children stayed near me and watched our visitor. Their behavior was such a flashback to their early ways that I realized what a close friendship we have built by now.

Though bubbles are fragile and brief phenomena they led into a vividly rich and lasting experience this morning. The children and I sat around a table for a quiet time and just blew bubbles. This is satisfying enough when one exploits the variety: big ones,

tiny ones - many, few, two - three - four - and more . . . all of these with rainbow colors to be glimpsed and shapes to be popped and reblown. Communication became a natural need between friends sharing the enchantment of the delicate soap films, and with the blackboard beside us I finally moved to use it as part of our attempt to speak to each other about what we were blowing-making-seeing. (See picture on p. 148)

Just here a child's sense for form allows him to interpret even an amateur's drawings. It may be that the deaf rely upon and hence sharpen this useful interpretive ability. I drew a small hand on the board with small circles all over it and wrote underneath, "Patty made many bubbles on her hand." Then we all looked from Patty's real hand covered with bubbles to the replica on the board with understanding and much amusement.

Phillip was particularly charmed; he blew bubbles on his own hand, came to me at the board, and soon his hand, too, was etched in chalk with "Phillip made big bubbles on his hand" written underneath.

This kind of nonsense-with-sense had to be further shared, and so I drew many hands and many bubbles all over the old dusty blackboard. Somewhere in the process of transforming real bubbles to slate and chalk it was realized that one could trace a hand on the board and then draw circles and circles and more circles all over one's own traced hand. This led to further invention. Large paper went down on the floor so that feet could be traced and individual legends written nearby: "Lisa's foot . . . Janie's foot . . . ."

Suddenly in all this mirth I came sharply up against that steel wall of deafness. I wanted so to tell the children, <u>just</u> <u>then</u>, the old story about Abraham Lincoln holding a child upside-down so that muddy footprints could be made on the ceiling as a mystery-joke for his absent mother. Because the children were deaf I could not tell it; not being in my own classroom I couldn't run to the library corner and get the d'Aulaire <u>Abraham</u> <u>Lincoln</u> to show the children.

SHAKERS WITH -----

dry peas and brown beans
sun-flower seeds and rice
lima beans and tapioca
big rocks and sand.

Plastic shakers to see through ....
Aluminum shakers to feel through.

sand
rocks
rice
peas
and
beans.

Later I remembered Ezra Keats' The Snowy Day which also would have added still more to this lovely morning with its description of prints of feet and sticks in snow.

It is inevitably so that chances are lost because sharp turns and new tangents are so frequent amont the young; but here the loss was compounded by deafness, by the long week between visits, and by our not being able to supply the room with background materials and a good library, which meet such emergencies. Our best authors of books for young children understand the close coupling of action with language for the under-fives and rely properly on all the senses as media of communication in the creation of classics.

We went on to other matters and turned for the first time to the aluminum shakers containing various small objects: rice in one, peas, sand, or rocks in others. (See Appendix for further description.) The sound and feel which came through that thin bottom when each can was shaken separately was distinctly indicative of the shape and size of what was inside, as we had found to our surprise when trying them in our lab. I was curious about their feel to a deaf child.

Interest was mild as the children first held or shook a shaker, and I judged by faces that they were mystified without being involved - as they must be by many "closed black boxes" in their lives. Perhaps I should have introduced simultaneously the clear plastic containers filled with identical materials, but I wanted to make use of feel, not sight, this time around. (The pictures showing both opaque and clear shakers at the beginning of this chapter were taken during Visit IX.)

One can intervene at such a juncture when interest is not high or one can fold away plans and try again later. Being conscious of the brief time we always had with these children and still curious myself, I intervened. I selected from the shakers the two with most contrast: one with fine sand and one with rocks. Now when a child shook these two he responded with an expression

of surprised pleasure at the second - no matter which came first. So far so good. The logical next step (to the children) was taken by all - no suggestions were needed. The tops of all the shakers were unscrewed in rapid succession and the floor was soon covered with peas, beans, seeds, lead shot, rocks, and sand. Watch Patty make great sense out of this chaos.

Until now she had not seemed even slightly interested in the shakers and instead had been intently busy making and exploring a long bubble tube. She used green to color the water, just enough to accentuate the bubble, not enough to make the water opaque. She tipped and turned and watched that long, clean bubble, beautifully rounded on top, rise and rise again. With tube in one hand Patty came over to the assortment of small things from the shakers quickly spreading on the floor.

While I wondered where we went from there, she picked up with incredible dexterity some things from the mess, walked to a nearby table, and put down her chosen assortment. With obvious purpose not yet understood by any of us on the floor (yet holding our attention), she uncorked the green tube. In went one dried pea, and momentarily I thought - Oh no, now we will really be in the soup with water and beans and peas on the floor; but I should have trusted Patty. She corked the tube, turned it and watched the pea fall gently through the bubble and settle on the bottom while the bubble rose.

While most of us watched from the floor, Patty continued her experiment. In succession she dropped in, and watched fall, lead shot, a small rock, and a kernel of corn - uncorking and corking for each new inclusion. The she picked from her gatherings a sunflower seed. To her delight and to ours the sunflower seed did not sink but was caught by the bubble and rose atop it like a hat!

Patty had not paid us any attention until this surprise, but then she turned to me to be sure I could see the phenomenon. I read the expression on her face as, "I didn't expect <u>that</u>, did you?" Or, "How can <u>that</u> happen?" Whatever the exact formulation

in her mind, we all shared the excitement and hence many bubble tubes with small "things" were made and tested. This gave us enough time to retrieve the extra small things on the floor before they could cause trouble, and I blessed another of those happy accidents.

In many of our children, inventiveness is still intact when they come to school - Patty's perceptiveness underlines this here. Superimpose what programming (to reinforce the correct answer or define proper use of materials) will eliminate in such an episode: no doubt the messy floor, but certainly Patty's "misuse" of the seeds. A suggestion would be made or a light flashed: "Wrong path; the small things belong to the shakers." My own first reaction to the spill was dull and standard - clean it up! To observe the use or misuse of our supplies and equipment during these mornings and to raise questions about the quality of the children's behavior exemplifies what evaluation of the young is all about. When children independently invent, recombine, and uncover new wonders (floating, sinking), we see that we are on exciting paths with them.

Late in the morning the gentle Phillip came to me with his smile just showing and offered his arm for help in rolling up his sleeves. Last week he must have enjoyed this preparation which accompanies water play because his small, self-initiated action was so obviously an expressive gesture from him to me. Then Greg sought my help, though his sleeves were really up far enough. He too, I thought, wanted to enter our sphere of communication, to be friendly. These silent children invent so well and show gratitude in the only meaningful way - by savoring all aspects of what is provided, by choosing ways to emphasize and to extend a sweet moment. After such a morning, of course, no one wishes to break the spell by leaving - not teacher, certainly.

Janie's mother came in to speak with me after class - we had just met for the first time. "Janie can't tell what happens when you are here," she said, "but we know from her face that you

have been." She then told me that the previous Saturday Janie watched her father drinking soda pop, got very excited, pointed at the bottle and said, "Bubble . . . bubble." "So," her mother continued, "we know something of what goes on." I realized here that we must get our photographs ready for the children to take home so that sharing will be natural, constant, and expanding between here and home.

> Helen is learning adjectives and adverbs as easily as she learned nouns. The idea always precedes the word. She had signs for small and large long before I came to her . . . the other day I substituted the words small and large for these signs, and she at once adopted the words and discarded the signs. - From a letter to Perkins Institute from Ann Sullivan. Helen Keller, Story of My Life, Doubleday, Doran and Co., Inc., Garden City, N.Y., 1938.

TIME TO READ

Visit VIII, March 22 (Greg, Phillip, and Claire absent. Miss B. came to assist.)

New Equipment

> Cardboard-covered, loose-leaf notebooks, one for each child, containing sample photographs of our activities to date - the illustrations in this report. Each page was enclosed in a plastic sheath to allow for much handling. The text used some phrases the children could already read and new ones.

* * *

We couldn't come last week, but will be here twice this week. Miss B., another young member of our staff, came this morning. She had been a great help in making the photograph books, so it was particularly appropriate for her to see the children's reactions, though Claire should have been here also to enjoy the delight her pictures produced.

Only the four little girls and Miss M. were in the cafeteria when we arrived. Miss B. and I joined them. Their faces showed confusion and concern at first: "No Claire, no visit last week?" We can only approximate the words.

I leaned over the table to greet someone and the long string of blue beads I was wearing hung over the table. Each child reached out to feel and hold them. (There is always more such individual

notice when we are in the cafeteria setting, away from the materials in the classroom.) When I came near Lisa she held my hand and acted out: "You poor thing, you have been sick." Our Lisa is the secretary for sympathetic communications. Janie indicated by actions that our visitor must have a name tag.

And now watch Brooke dignify and dramatize a new and exciting event. With a ceremonious manner she took both my hands and examined them with great care, then reached for Miss M.'s left hand. With pride and anticipation of my pleasure she showed me Miss M.'s new engagement ring. The examination of my diamondless hands - with timing for effect - was a dramatic prelude for Brooke's announcement, and there was shared and palpable pleasure among us all in Brooke's striking way of showing us the new diamond ring. Walking down the hall Brooke held my unbejeweled hand in hers and I was comforted, as somehow I felt she intended me to be. Lisa escorted Miss B.

In the classroom I sat on the floor with the six closed books in my lap and the four little girls came close. I held up one of the books, cover toward them. By chance it was Phillip's, saying Phillip's Book on the cover. Lisa immediately got to her knees and explained to me with gestures that Phillip was sick and then explained to Patty, who had reached for it, that the book was not hers but Phillip's. This annoyed Patty who could read names as well as Lisa could.

The book slipped to the floor, opening as it fell, but resting closed. Four pairs of eyes had seen something of what was inside and eight hands grabbed for a copy from the pile, unscrambling whose was whose by the names on the covers.

I watched Patty. She opened to the first page which included the picture of the children around the tub blowing bubbles. Then she read with silent concentration running her finger under each sentence. Patty is here, Greg is here, etc. She came to the word and. Not knowing the word, she looked to me for explanation. Just once I touched Janie then Patty, saying "Janie and Patty." Patty got it immediately. So much for drill. She then went through the book,

which so far did not contain many pages, reading names and words she knew and looking with delight. The decision to include the sentences they knew verbatim, and to vary these slightly, was justified. Every one could find words he knew and devoured it all.

Janie was at my side suddenly and together we looked at and read from her book. Miss M. took Phillip's and immediately commented upon the effect the book would have in the school: "Around here this will impress them." (It is not by accident that no one in the school except Bobby's teacher has stepped in to see what goes on, and I suspect that Miss M. is under criticism or subtle harassment about us and about her University-sponsored program. We never heard a word about the school's reaction to the books.)

When Lisa saw the caption "Bubbles going up" under a bubble tube picture, she put the book down and pantomimed the bubble tube - tipping it from end to end with quite the proper space between hands for that imaginary tube. Rather good oral reading, I thought, and in nice contrast to some of her early, meaningless mimicking.

We had to leave soon and Brooke was sad, almost wistful. We had brought no equipment, no Claire, and I guess she realized early that we were not planning to stay very long. (I remember my own beloved grandmother keeping her hat on during a visit long ago and my fearing she wouldn't stay long. I was afraid to ask and thus confirm my fear.) Miss B.'s notes about Lisa include:

> Lisa was independently interested in the books; first she looked at them with Janie and me and Janie wanted to point out something. Then Lisa saw the classroom rocking-boat in one of the pictures, showed it to me, but was concerned because in the picture it was upside-down and hence I might not recognize it. She grabbed Miss M., showed her the rocking-boat itself, and indicated that she should explain to me that these two were the same though in different positions. Miss M. did and Lisa was satisfied.

The books of photographs were certainly worth the effort. We have decided to continue the pictures and text for the children's simultaneous pleasure and learning. Bless the inventor of those

plastic page covers. The children understandingly use their reading for communication and in the process must underline words with their fingers. No wonder they learn to read quickly! For them it is a breakthrough into the verbal world and exciting new dimensions - especially when coupled to their own concerns.

SUMMER STORMS - AND QUESTIONS

Visit IX, March 24

New Equipment

Six envelopes of gels - each with a child's name printed on it and colored yarn attached so that it could be hung over the shoulder or tied around the waist. Each envelope contained six gels of different colors. These were listed on the outside of the envelope. On the edge of each gel was the name of its color.

Marbles

Dilution trays (plastic with many depressions - see pictures of this visit)

Plastic eyedroppers

* * *

Claire begins:

When we came early today (two days after Mrs. H. and Miss B. were here) the children had just stepped off their bus. There were loud sounds of joy from Janie and Patty, or so I identified the sounds. All six were present and Brooke looked at me as if to say, "When Claire comes with armsful of stuff, it means that everything is going to be as it was before and people will stay awhile." Mrs. H. first called the children to where she sat on the floor with her basket. She took out the six big envelopes of gels. No Oh's or Ah's as I had expected - rather, the children waited until each had his fat envelope in hand and all ceremoniously opened them at once to take out the gels. Then voice reactions came.

The packages themselves certainly took precedence over the contents for the first few minutes. Since we had been particularly careful in designing the envelopes so that they would be protective, carry meaningful descriptions of contents, and look attractive, this was reassuring and not surprising. With delicate materials it is

COLORED GELS

What color is your Gel, Patty ?
Is it red or blue or green or yellow ?
Is it orange or purple ?

What color is your Gel, Lisa ?

Yellow for Janie.
Blue for Brooke.



Janie wants her Gel.
Phillip looks through yellow.

a good idea to arrange packaging which helps a child protect the objects, especially if he needs to carry them about. (Miss M. had mended those first gels with scotch tape until one could hardly see through them.)

Some years ago in a cooperative nursery school I was surprised at the care which the children gave a rather delicate old gold-balance. I had brought it to school one rainy morning as a visiting piece of equipment, but the children's appreciation indicated that it should stay. The balance was stored on top of the piano to protect it from younger brothers and sisters and from the lower school of threes, these being fours. For some weeks it was used almost daily to weigh lightweight objects. The tweezers were a joy to the children and were managed with great dexterity to lift the graduated weights.

Unfortunately, this way of carefully handling precision instruments is stressed by certain schools of thought in using ordinary materials for which such care is superfluous and stifling. If children are hemmed in by an attitude of preciousness toward all classroom equipment, they tend to use it only in the "proper" manner or simply don't use it at all. Chairs are indeed chairs, but they also make railroad trains, walls, or storefronts on occasion. (The gold-balance, of course, did not replace the sturdier and less complicated balances.)

A young child's interest in the new community of school and his wish to become a member of it require that we understand what is communicated <u>to</u> <u>him</u> by the arrangement of a classroom, its materials, and their care - lest we "organize" his thinking.

While the children explored the possibilities of six gels apiece, I arranged the table for food color and the new dilution trays.

Claire writes:

> I was curious about the way Mrs. Hawkins seated the children around the table for work with color in the dilution trays. She purposely seemed to break up the usual seating pattern (heretofore maintained by Miss M. for her work by taping each

DROPS *** OF WATER AND COLOR

Brooke can put the
top on ------

and take it off
to pour.

Blue drops.
Red drops.
Green, yellow,
purple, and
blue drops for
Janie, Brooke,
and Phillip.

Brooke shows
Claire a drop
of blue on the
dropper.

child's name to a place on the table). She answered my unasked question by talking to Miss M. about what she was doing. "With new activities there is an opportunity for grouping children in a different and possibly more advantageous way." I saw later that Lisa and Greg did very well together where Mrs. H. had provided for them. Brooke and Lisa would have been a trouble to each other.

The original use for those named places by which children could easily and naturally learn their own and each other's names had disappeared. They were long since experts at reading names, as is obvious throughout.

Claire notes my reasoning - that Brooke and Lisa would have annoyed each other. In addition, I was hoping that Lisa and Greg might make a new and interesting twosome. They did.

Greg and Lisa made such different trays of color! It took Greg a long time to figure out how to get color into his dropper, and Miss M. wanted to show him but laughingly said to Mrs. H., "I shouldn't show him, should I?"

We left Greg to go on dipping his dropper. Since this method carried only one drop of color at a time, the water in his tray took on particularly delicate variations in color. This pleased him for many minutes and gave the tiny wells of water quite a different density from Lisa's rather muddy mixtures which had much color squeezed into each. In about five minutes Greg exhibited his ability to learn in his own way and time by discovering how to squeeze the dropper, release it under water, and pull the colored liquid up into it. The expression of joy at his own discovery showed on his face and in his manner, and was reflected in the faces of the three adults watching nearby.

Claire reports:

Phillip was wrapped up in the joy of the colors themselves. It seemed to me that he enjoyed the esthetic beauty of those little round drops of color more than the mechanics of his eyedropper. Lisa actually chortled over Greg's tray at one point in obvious appreciation. She babbled to him in the

longest stream of vocalization that I've ever heard from her. Then right at the end she and Greg laughed and laughed over something that was a mystery to us - but they shared it! I enjoyed seeing Greg laugh because he usually just smiles slowly, sweetly, and wistfully.

Mrs. H. averted a crisis when Brooke tried to use Patty's tray of colors. She told Brooke very seriously that she might squirt just one drop, not more, into Patty's tray. I didn't think Brooke could understand but apparently she did because she carefully squirted one drop and then turned back to her own tray. (Note for next time: We should have a jar of clean water in the middle so the children can rinse the droppers if they want to. The colors in most trays were muddy before long.)

When wash-up time came the children loved cleaning the trays in the lavatory. It was almost as much fun to see the colors disappear rapidly down the white sink as to see them appear - or that is my guess.

Almost as soon as Mrs. H. had taken the shakers from their basket, everyone was shaking and opening and spilling. Greg spilled first and looked up at Mrs. H. with fear. She laughed with him and helped him pick up, but he still must have felt troubled because when Phillip tried to help he just hit Phillip's hand hard.

I identify with Greg's fear of spilling accidents. The standard treatment in my own childhood was a smack. The sequence here is revealing and suggestive. Spill - fear - no smack from adult - the smack by Greg to Phillip. The fear in Greg was already coupled with resentment and came out in violence toward his best and helping friend.

I watched Greg and Phillip soon afterwards spend a long time with the shakers. They sorted and matched the contents of the plastic containers with the contents of the aluminum shakers - all this in an atmosphere of close, conspiratorial comradeship. Perhaps we had loosened that too close coupling of fear with violence by providing a climate in which accidents - even hitting your best friend when you don't mean to hurt him - do happen and can be overlooked.

> After a while I thought this activity had gone too far. Seeds, etc., were all over the floor and I felt that in Mrs. H.'s words, things had deteriorated. She corroborated my judgment by suggesting we go a little early for milk.

The chaotic splendor of seeds, pods, rocks, and children can be imagined from Claire's pictures (p. 69). Her concern for the deterioration taking place was valid. As I have indicated before, new teachers in our schools live in terror of such "disasters" first, because they are usually reprimanded by administrators for allowing them and secondly, because they have not learned how to use them well - or prevent them. Across-the-board disapproval ensures that those happy accidents, the potential stimulators of new ideas and ways of proceeding, will almost disappear. Fortunately, however, where young children live such accidents still happen in spite of the best laid plans. There was a time when I too feared the establishment and did not understand how to use chaos. The daily example of one teacher and one principal I was lucky enough to work under early in my teaching gave me courage to seek what was important though not approved, what was risky at times and not certain. Let me pass on some of that courage to the neophytes.

Lest occasional chaos be confused with a monotonous pattern of falling apart, I want to continue for a moment. It is with this latter pattern that the well-intentioned reformer often confronts the usual authoritarian rule and thinks, no doubt, that a certain continuity-of-chaos improves upon the tedium and suffocation of authoritarianism. Often, too, it is the justifiable fear of continual chaos which supports the establishment in its rejection of innovation. Thus the possibilities for change are caught in a vicious circle.

The climate of a classroom is too often designed to be like a greenhouse where atmosphere, temperature, light, and air must be controlled to produce lettuces (or children) as undifferentiated as science makes possible. But children learn and think and send

out new shoots in contact with rain, wind, sun, storm, and thunder. When one calculates that a child spends the crucial and central part of his waking day in school it is obvious that the sterile, programmed hours of so many classrooms produce hothouse products in the most denigrating sense, and that such unlived lives, in Eric Fromm's words, do lead to violence.

After several summer storms of our own today, Claire describes what happened after milk time.

> When I came back to the room I found Brooke trying to tie on her own envelope of gels. I helped her tie, then sat on the floor beside her. We took turns looking through the gels, and then I suddenly felt very uneasy with her. (See questions and discussion at end of today's report.)
>
> Waiting for the children when they returned from milk were colored glass marbles with the dilution trays instead of color and water. One marble would fit neatly into each depression. When Phillip dashed into the room and saw the marbles he grabbed one of the chairs (in a rough fashion for him) and sat down at the table with marbles and trays. He was very eager to start.

All the children clustered around that table. Janie turned her dilution tray upside-down and put marbles along the aisles between the bumps of the inverted tray. She was pleased with the new effect - with her ingenuity. So were we. One wonders whether her amusement at the substitution of marbles for water led her to substitute the bottom for the top of the tray.

At the end of today's visit Claire, Lisa, and Brooke had a fine writing exercise on the floor by themselves with crayons and paper. They wrote their own names and other's and laughed with pleasure.

Claire's Questions

> When on the floor with Brooke I felt uneasy for two reasons:
> 1. I wondered whether we are perhaps reading too much into the children's reactions. Are they reacting less than we

think they are? For example, I felt that Brooke was excited not as much by the gels per se but more because she was affected by the delight she saw on my face when she looked through the gels.

2. I also got an inkling of what it is to be a little bit afraid of children when you sense they have power over you. It was the way Brooke would ruthlessly push something away when she got bored with it and give you a look of superiority. It's an amazing thing when it happens for the first time, and I think this was the first time that I intuitively grasped that feeling. I'll have to talk about these questions with Mrs. H. They are hard to write about succinctly.

Discussion of Claire's Questions

We did discuss these at length. Let me try to sum up my reactions. Claire has pointed out two distinct aspects of the same phenomenon: a dynamic relationship between two persons, in this instance between an adult of twenty-two and a child of four (and she has properly questioned the communication between that child and a fifty-year-old).

She first asks if we are reading too much into the children's reaction to the materials and not enough into their response to us. Fair enough. Brooke most certainly reacted to Claire's presence as well as to the gels. What is not so clear, and what I think also occurs, is that Brooke responded to Claire's unstated desire to keep Brooke involved in the gels - to observe her.

Once Claire entered Brooke's circle Brooke's attention most certainly shifted from thing to person. At the end of the encounter Brooke left the scene, with her gels, and Claire felt abandoned. What happened in between? Based on my knowledge of these two people and on my own similar experience with children, including Brooke, let me hazard my understanding of the logic of this action and reaction.

Brooke's interest in the gels diminished as her concern with Claire increased; any interest Claire had in the gels (which I suspect was minimal) diminished in some proportion to her increasing interest in Brooke's reactions and her wish to stimulate them.

The shift in attention from the gels to each other was very rapid and dynamic. With the loss of the gels as a positive and meaningful focus for mutual attention, the relationship between Brooke and Claire deteriorated into a kind of "power struggle."

In this arena Brooke was freer to act and thus had the upper hand. Sensing that she was becoming the forced object of Claire's observation and judgment, she simply walked away. (A teacher can learn to use this kind of personal relationship on occasion as a compass or altimeter, as another delicate instrument for measuring how matters stand between himself, a child, and material at hand, what direction to take, when to withdraw.) With a child like Brooke, the surest way for an adult to interfere with the child's interest in an inanimate object is to shift attention from the object to the child. Some children are less interested in adult reactions; they truly bestow their attention on the material at hand and keep it there with little effort.

Claire's fear of Brooke's power over her was the end of Claire's innocence with young children. Though different from adults, children are whole human beings, and one manipulates or "plays" with them at the risk of being thrown overboard. The power that children, especially children like Brooke, have over us is real. They are concerned with our thoughts and are not easily diverted from being so. Their basic strength, as well as their weakness, lies in the direction of concern with personal relationships.

Brooke is already considered a threat to the narrow adult establishment for related reasons - she is perceptive and extremely critical. Miss M. who understands and appreciates something important about her has recounted the reactions of other teachers in the school: "Brooke is too self-willed . . . She will have trouble."

Unfortunately for such children those rigid teachers who predict troubles are also in a position to make their prophecies self-fulfilling. On the positive side, I cannot believe that a

mind as exciting as Brooke's - developing as it is in a world totally silent from birth - can be easily interfered with by those with smaller minds. But oh, their power and their narrow classrooms can make her miserable and sap her energy in constant battle.

CATASTROPHE SAVES THE DAY

Visit X, March 31

New Equipment

Box of large, stainless steel bolts and nuts from a good junk yard

Periscope prisms (the Army surplus variety)

Playdough - made of salt, flour, and water, and dyed with food colors

Hard-boiled eggs and food color (for making Easter eggs)

Paintbrushes and paper towels

Plastic eggs with marshmallow bunnies and chickens inside. These were brought for Easter presents and they were wrapped in tissue and nested in plastic berry baskets.

* * *

Today we brought in the first material for modeling - an individual packet of playdough for each child, each of a different pastel color. No one of the five who chose the playdough was really engaged in the opening round; the children pressed it and looked at each other as though to say, "What is this for?" Patty joined in last, having spent a long time earlier with the periscope prism. She was very much amused as she explored the fact that one could see what was at the side by looking head-on. In her usual pattern she initially used the dough with more imagination than did the others, who were uninvolved at first.

Claire's notes tell us:

We haven't seen much of that kind of behavior lately. Brooke traded her own lavender-colored dough for Mrs. Hawkins' blue with a cunning little smile and a cautioning finger which seemed to say, "You have to take blue; I want yours." Lisa, more than she has lately, acted jealous of me and bid for my attention in a manner that was similar to Brooke's possessiveness of Mrs. Hawkins.

So, early this morning things were grinding away to a bored halt. I couldn't put my finger on the trouble. There was plenty of good stuff around, no pressures to use any one thing, but for some time nothing felt right or seemed exciting to any of us. Even with only six children, initiative is needed at such times and one searches: Why the sudden increase of possessive behavior in Lisa and Brooke? Was it linked to this particular morning's materials? With what happened last week? Yesterday's session here at school without us? Troubles at home for both or either little girl?

Miss M.'s recent and appreciative words echoed in my ears. "The children really look forward to your coming each week . . . they go to the reading board to ask whether you are coming on that day . . . ." We know this may heighten tensions and rivalry, but why today specifically?

No certain answers came to these questions racing through my mind; but one tries to be flexible, tries not to push through a plan, but rather tunes in to small nuances which may be suggestive. It is hard to say how or whether such awareness is precisely responsible for a positive change, but a causal relationship can exist and suddenly things get started.

Greg is the center of this morning's getting off the ground, and, though I would not have predicted the time or materials which would spur his breakthrough, there have been indications. Over the weeks we have found him participating in more and more substantive ways, and in retrospect we have called this Greg's morning. His personal thrust affected us all. With the dough in some sense not being worth much we shifted to egg-dyeing where Greg and catastrophe saved the day. (Watch how the dough becomes important later in the morning.)

In gathering equipment for today I did not foresee the importance of size in choosing containers to hold the dye, and hence the egg fitted too well as it slipped into the straight-sided plastic glass of color. What a piston this made! Colored water spurted from each child's glass over table and floor. Greg was

ecstatic; pandemonium hung over us. Only the near impossibility of removing the eggs from their close-fitting sheaths saved that room from more explosions, rainbow fountains, and floods.

> . . . water everywhere, says Claire, and it was almost impossible to get those eggs out. Here for the first time I understood what Mrs. H. has said about the lack of useable junk in a classroom. At first we couldn't find anything to substitute for the plastic glasses nor enough rags to sop up, and what <u>became</u> the glory of that egg-dyeing would have been lost if Mrs. H. hadn't been there. (Later we remembered the toy pots and pans, which were large enough for the eggs.)

Claire's panic is important to record. I wish our teachers didn't have to learn to "drive in traffic" before they understand the mechanics of what they are about. A classroom stocked for emergencies (rags, paper, sponges, etc.) will not prevent them, nor, as by now it must be clear, does one want to prevent all of them, but emergency supplies encourage a teacher and children to make the most of accidents instead of panicking.

Out of the original chaos Greg's face, as he saw that his first egg had turned blue, is the thing to be remembered. He looked up with amazement and joy. Then when we had provided containers of a better shape he forgot us as he worked with precision and purpose to transform each of six eggs (and incidentally his two hands) from white to blue. Others played with color mixing and less exciting affairs; Greg had found what he had not known he was looking for - a kind of blue magic - and he didn't desert it. His own concentration saved him from my suggesting a conventional choice of several colors. Six blue eggs went home with Greg.

At the end of the morning when Patty showed her mother a basket of predominantly orange eggs, she remarked that this was one of Patty's favorite colors. This suggests an answer to my query about Patty's apparent preference for delicately colored water for her tube while the others often get carried away with

color-adding. It is as if Patty holds a color image in her mind and uses it as a guide, but with no verbal communication one has to make tentative observations, building onto them as further clues emerge. I believe Patty selects and designs more than most of her peers - but, as we have observed, not in any rigid sense. Incidentally, Patty just became four this month. She is the youngest.

Claire adds:

> Phillip was his usual quiet self with his eggs . . . totally absorbed. Greg's hands were completely blue but he did not panic this time as he has at such accidents in the past. Lisa sitting next to Greg was also very involved and didn't have a minute to look up. See pictures of Lisa and Greg (p.) which were made during this visit.

When there was not a white egg left, we used the leftover dye for painting or dropping (in a Jackson Pollock mode) onto paper towels. The dye flows into the absorbent towels with a non-controlled and spreading effect. One intention here was to suggest to the children that there are new possibilities for old materials if one rethinks and recombines. (Chromatography captures an older group's concern.)

> Everyone took to coloring the towels, some gently and some very splashily. Lisa was in the latter category, putting lots of color on one towel. Phillip was cautious and gentle with his, watching and thinking about effects, and enjoying them.

By this time in the morning the early fogs of apprehension had lifted and we were out in the sun.

For Easter gifts I had brought pastel-colored plastic eggs of about actual egg size which opened in halves but stayed closed rather nicely. I thought that the neat opening and closing possibilities would please these dexterous hands. In each egg was enclosed a sugared marshmallow animal, to be popped immediately, I predicted, into mouths. "Never count your chicks . . . ." Claire

describes what a surprisingly magnificent gift the children made of these modest favors.

> The children's reactions to these were incredible . . . the excitement when they opened those eggs and found the animals! No one thought of eating or nibbling. Instead they just sat on the floor, opened their eggs, looked at the chicks and bunnies, laughed, made the throat sounds they do for pleasure, put the eggs together again, and laughed some more. This could have gone on for hours. Greg was amazing with his. He kept chortling and fondling his bunny and egg, and looked happier than I have ever seen him.
>
> Brooke left the circle first and took her egg back to the playdough table. All followed, and out of the eggs came bunnies and in went playdough. Here also Greg continued to stay out of his own shell. He ran around with bunny in one hand and egg filled with playdough (color to match the egg) in the other.

At some point in what had become an Easter dance of color, shape, and movement, I sat at the table with the playdough and put enough of it inside one egg to form an "egg" of the dough for my own amusement. When Greg noticed the dough facsimile it was almost too much for him. He dashed off into a far corner unable to contain his excitement. Brooke and Patty examined their eggs and rabbits through the prism, set them to nest nearby, then with Claire built tall towers of plastic strawberry baskets for the animals to live in.

Sufficient unto the day is the joy thereof!

"SUCH SCIENCE"

Visit XI, April 14 (Miss M. absent)

New Equipment

Long, flexible plastic tubing

Old alarm clock and old box cameras - to take apart

Construction paper

Colored chalk

Large stainless steel shallow cake pan for wetting construction paper

Balances - These were small wooden balances made by combining a sturdy base, a nail for fulcrum and a yardstick into which holes had been drilled at even intervals. An unequal or equal arm balance could thus be made. Paper cups hung by pipe cleaners were used for balance cups. Styrofoam balls, paper clips, and all sorts of lightweight odds and ends were brought for weights.

* * *

Miss M. had been ill all week and the children had had a series of substitutes. (This morning it was Mrs. K.) In addition, we had brought with us our friend and visitor, Miss W., from Bank Street College in New York. All of these staff changes allowed us again to see the children with a new backdrop. Claire and Miss W. joined the children and Mrs. K. in the cafeteria while I set out our equipment.

Greg and Phillip must have gulped their milk this morning. They raced into the room while I was still arranging things and by the time other faces appeared the boys were blowing vigorously through the new lengths of clear flexible tubing. I had thought of the tubing as supplementary to water play, but Phillip and Greg wanted no such narrow grooving when there was new material to be investigated. To see how the boys were blowing and feeling the

air at long distance suggested that such a magnifier of sound might be very useful with these deaf children. (String telephones, which are great fun, are rather useless unless one hears.) The picture of Janie blowing, taken on this day, appears in the Appendix (p. 143) and shows her own invention for blowing at or speaking to herself.

The four little girls came in with a certain pride, flanked as they were by two big ones: Claire and Miss W. (Anyone who knows fours and fives has seen how they value a chance to be with youth.)

Mrs. K., the substitute teacher, carefully observed all morning and left Miss M. a note which included: "I have never spent such an interesting day; I wish more deaf children could have such science." Well, we do too, and it was kind of Miss M. to share the note with us.

As I watched the children's intensity in investigating the old alarm clock and some old box cameras with Miss W., I realized that we need more for these children to use their clever fingers on. They were greedy to take things apart, turn winders, etc. However, Phillip and Greg stayed with their long plastic tubes, now filled with water, and weren't interested in anything else for a long spell. They blew and blew bubbles at long distance and were convulsed with laughter at the effect. Lisa got a turn, and I tried to wash the end of the tubes with soap occasionally to cleanse my hygienic conscience.

After last week's reaction to spreading color on paper towels, I was interested in trying another kind of paper which other children have enjoyed. (Our once-a-week visit rushes us into some new phase each session and I do not recommend the pace.) Chalk on wet paper makes strange effects. We borrowed from the kitchen a large flat pan to submerge the construction paper in and the children managed their own dipping after one demonstration. Once they saw the construction paper's dull finish transformed by the water into a bright surface, they were ready to go. Then the

Drawing with chalk on wet paper



While Lisa is already drawing - Phillip rolls up his sleeves.

Purple and red chalk on Patty's blue paper make a picture.

flowing quality of the chalk on watered paper increased their anxiety for a turn - and another and another.

I have wondered at the special delight one sees when children behold something known (here construction paper) in a changed conditon. It is, I believe, a different reaction from that given to a totally new phenomenon, but I can't say just how it differs. Does it have to do with the surprise at seeing an old acquaintance transformed? Perhaps at such times we approach some natural spring from which a child drinks in his own brand of learning and his developing recognition of likeness and difference, of change and the lack of it.

Brooke, who had been wandering about, was particularly caught in admiration for the flow of chalk on wet paper. She slowly covered a yellow sheet with blue chalk, watching each stroke in the way that we sometimes unselfconsciously lose ourselves in observing our own actions. Claire watched her.

> Brooke glowed with pleasure when she showed me one of her drawings. She made lots and lots of different ones. Toward the end of the morning she drew a lovely circle of black in the middle of a sheet of paper and filled the circle with orange and blue. This last was quite different from her others.

Brooke was long at it - not just testing and going off. Chalk in hand felt right to her. I may be wrong, of course, but I think that reading and writing will transform Brooke particularly. She lives in such a private world and reminds me sometimes of a princess in a tower who will be rescued - but only by her true love, not yet known.

When I set things up this morning it was my intention to protect the children's introduction to the two simple balances by putting them at side stage. I wanted to introduce them, not head-on, but tangentially and in sequence with enough sure-fire old stuff so that the children would not rush all at once to the balances just <u>because</u> they were new. Such structuring has at

least two justifications: it allows a child sufficient time to use a new piece of equipment without having at once to share or wait turns. Materials such as this yardstick-cum-weights-on-upright are more likely to "speak" to a child when there is time for continued experimentation.

In addition, a lentissimo approach provides a teacher the luxury of observation with a kind of time-lapse sequence. If a child is not under pressure to make the most of his allotted time and if he finds the materials worth his attention, then one may observe in reflected behavior the "borning" of new ideas - not an everyday privilege since ideas emerge so rapidly and are so subtly hidden. Something of this we caught with camera and eye around the balances.

At one point Brooke had followed me from the wet paper to the balances. She was not faintly interested though she watched me make one of the arms of the yardstick move by dropping a large paper clip into a cup. She held in her mind's eye, I surmise, her marvelous creations of chalk and in no sense even saw the balance.

Some of us believe that to tamper with a child's already deeply bestowed attention is to court trouble. One can minimize this with a speaking and hearing child, but the silence of the deaf induces brazen interference endlessly. Brooke, as we have observed, has pitched her will against such attempts. Patty and Janie manage in a less head-on way.

I keep an early picture of Brooke in mind as a paradigm of communication about these matters. She once turned to me as she left a situation in which I was bidding for her attention with small blocks and gave me a grin with a finger shake, mischievousness just hidden; she seemed to say, "I am finished with those matters you still offer and now I must get on with my own affairs." If important moments are to count, I believe the directive is clear. We must sharpen our skills for observing the outward evidence of inner involvement - of that logic of behavior - so that, as teachers, we can build upon it with children.

Brooke walked away from the balances; Patty and Phillip stayed.



It balances.

The picture of Phillip tells his story; words are superfluous. Patty tried again and again to keep the yardstick horizontal by holding it and then very carefully removing her hands. It was such a privileged view into how she approaches a new experience . . . no hurry . . . no belief on faith or on one or two testings, but the careful observation, trying, and thinking which then lead her into such off-beat and fresh parts of the forest.

Mrs. K. watched Greg with a balance and remarked that he seemed to be getting the idea of it. Perhaps in her sense he was. In fact, there are so many "ideas" related to balance that one tries to avoid closure. Claire captures Greg's more dramatic and deep concerns.

> Greg made a spider out of his styrofoam ball and some pipe cleaners, then hung it on the balance by one "leg." A beautiful little scene occurred when he threw the spider at Janie and Patty who had been watching him, still in their long smocks for chalk-drawing. They clutched each other in mock horror and fear . . . not sure whether they were scared or not. When they backed off from the spider, however, they dissolved in giggles and laughter.

With the quick action typical of all these young actors, Greg left the scene to Patty and Janie, one balance to each. For the next few minutes every time I noticed the two girls they were both engrossed in using various light weights to tip the balance arms - first to one side then to the other.

At the end of the morning I saw Janie's mother peeking through the tiny window of the back door. She came in and reported to me that she had been watching the two girls for twenty minutes and was amazed at their persistent work with the balances. So, indeed, was I.

> Brooke went to the water pool from the balance but left almost at once and returned to the chalk and wet paper. Lisa stayed at the pool alone for a time, working very hard to fill a long tube with water, using the funnel.

BALANCE

Will one large paper clip
send the high end down?
Patty will try it
and see.

The chain is long and
heavy. When Janie
gets the chain in-
side the cup----
then down will go
the other arm of
the balance.

She paid no attention to anyone or anything else!

Greg loved the old clock which still has a workable alarm. When he wound it and got it to ring he carried it around and tried to put it to everyone's ear. Janie and Patty were not the least interested. They were busy with the balances. Greg seemed to be a completely different child today. He was more aggressive, more fun-filled and more vocal. At one point he carried around the yardstick from the balance, making motions as if to hit with it. Mrs. H. told him it was not for hitting, but said he could carry it around for as long as he wished - he did, understanding her completely, and he was not at all crushed.

When it was time to put things away Janie and Patty had a marvelous time fitting all of the balance stuff into the two cigar boxes we used for storage. They divided up the pipe cleaners, paper clips, styrofoam, etc., in two rows and then packed them in piece by piece.

Everybody stayed busy. Brooke returned to the water pool and blew bubbles in a new, more sustained way, then again went back to the chalk and paper to make more drawings.

We stopped in time to have a leisurely cleanup and everyone helped with good spirits. Miss W. and I tried to find and put those tiny screws back in the cameras without much success. Mrs. H. hopes we can invent something better to use with screws for next time.

PATTY'S GAME

1

1 peg
2 pegs
3 pegs
4
and many holes.



2

1, 2, 3, 4, 5 pegs
and still many holes.

3

Many many pegs
and no holes.

Children, when they construct things in play, normally play after the eolithic fashion: a pointed board suggests the making of a boat, and if the toy, in process of construction, begins to look less and less like a boat, it can conveniently be turned into an airplane. Select the child who appears most ingenious in the making of this class of toys, present him with adequate tools and lumber, give him a simple plan which must, however, be adhered to until completion, and usually his ingenuity gives way to a disheartening dullness. Poor children usually do not have this kind of opportunity, and it is notorious that poor children make themselves the best playthings. They have to make them out of scraps, and the scraps constitute variety. They are eolithic craftsmen; it is not only that eolithic craftsmanship can get along without uniform material and plans - it is precisely the non-uniformity of scraps and the absence of set plans which form the circumstances for its best development. - Hans Otto Storm, "Eolithism and Design," Colorado Quarterly, Vol. 1, No. 3, Winter 1953.

FORBIDDEN GAMES START A GOOD DAY

Visit XII, April 21 (All present. Lisa, though, was ill upstairs and went home early.)

New Equipment

Our invention: pieces of pegboard (one with hinges attached); square wood rods 1" x 1", one to two-feet long, drilled on all four sides with holes at intervals to match pegboard holes; nuts and bolts which fitted holes in pegboard and rods; golf tees which also fitted holes in pegboard.

Screwdrivers

Plastic two-gallon jugs

* * *

This was a morning of tightly packed action and innovation, a morning in which the children showed their growing sureness of touch, selection, and transformation of simple materials for their own needs and understanding. In only two short hours with five children we were as usual unable even to see, let alone record, a fraction of the action.

Claire's pictures are invaluable in helping to read between the lines of these notes. However, the reader must still use his imagination to compensate for the lack of a writer on our staff who might have caught and recorded the breath of excitement in such a morning.

The action began with a glorious to-do about water in the nearby girls' lavatory. Our pedestrian aim was to fill the two-gallon carriers. But once we had hitched the clear plastic hose to the faucets, turned on the tap and watched the water make its bubbling, halting way up, down, around and through the six feet of transparent hose into the carrier we were fascinated by the process.

We were all so absorbed in watching the water and bubbles travel through the clear hose that the two-hole plastic jug overflowed with a fountain of water. No one rushed to turn off the faucet. The overflow fountain caused little trouble in that old lavatory with its big open drain in the middle of the cement floor, probably never before used in all of its seventy years for a sanctioned fountain. With time, privacy and primitive plumbing on our side we were able to savor forbidden games for a nice long spell before we carried the dripping jug back to the classroom. Phillip, who had finally released the hose from the faucet at my request, carried it proudly back to the room, went to the water pool and threw it in with giddy abandon - a waterman home from the lake.

Claire tells how our invention was used.

Brooke was the first to notice and go to the new building materials: pegboards, rods, and bolts which Mrs. H. had put out on the floor in a far corner of the room; but once this new stuff was discovered everyone joined the exploration. Mrs. H. tried to get Phillip and Greg to try something else first, but no luck. Fortunately we had made enough to go around for a first try. Brooke showed amazing dexterity in screwing the bolts into the pegboard holes. Patty was the first to discover that one could fasten two pieces of pegboard together with a wooden rod as connector. Then everyone busily screwed and tugged and put on nuts. All worked very hard this morning. I saw Janie discover how a nut is,

used to secure a bolt and try it for her first time. She and Patty watched carefully to see the bolt come out the far side of the hole as Jane turned the screwdriver.

Just once Janie returned to the balance, her preoccupation of last week. The boards and bolts must have crowded out other possibilities because she spent most of the morning building with them. When we were cleaning up and putting away at the end of the morning Janie helped pack the nuts and bolts. Then she left and walked over to the balance. She looked at it with a sort of regret that one couldn't manage two such enterprises in a given time.

During the morning Patty found the box of golf tees which I had brought as a possible alternative to bolts for use with the pegboards. As she and Greg discovered, tees, with their tapered stems, fit into the holes very securely. Then there arose a nice problem. Unless one held the pegboard above the floor or table the tee would not go in completely.

Each of the children dealt with this restriction in his own way. Greg put in four bolts at the corners to serve as risers that enabled him to put the tees in the elevated pegboard. Patty, who might have copied had she not been Patty, took her board to Miss M., requested her to hold it at a certain angle and proceeded to fit peg to hole in an obviously careful sequence. Miss M. tried to put one tee in (the temptation is irresistible) but Patty indicated sternly that Miss M. really did not know how to play this particular game according to Patty's rules, and that there was no time to explain.

With delight Phillip found the small hinges we had attached to a rectangle of pegboard. He examined the folding and opening of the hinges in his quiet way, and again I restrained myself from working with this little boy. Though Phillip is wary of adult interference and withdraws when advice is needlessly offered, he <u>can</u> ask for help. Most often he very competently uses his own compass for self-guidance.

Claire's notes read:

WATER IN TUBES

Greg and Phillip used a very long plastic tube one morning. They put in water and marbles, but left enough air for a big bubble. Down-down-down the marbles wobble.....

2

and up goes the bubble -
fast, when Phillip and
Greg hold the tube straight...
fast jump the marbles
through the bubble...
sometimes those marbles
break the bubble into
two or three .

3

Sometimes the boys want to make the bubble go very slowly. They tip the tube, and almost make the bubble stop.



4

Again they turn the tube...
and
again
and again..
and
again.

> Greg and Phillip made the most marvelous "invention." Together they chose the longest tube (four feet), corked one end securely, filled it with water cup by cup through the funnel, added as many marbles as they could capture, left a three-inch bubble, and corked the top tightly. As they raised one end of the tube and then the other they chortled at the effect on the marbles as they went through the bubble. With their four hands and no squabbling they kept tipping the tube from end to end. Much busy peace in the room. Patty was still working with the golf tees; Janie, after forty-five minutes, was still working on her "house" using boards and bolts; even Brooke had settled down at the water pool with many small plastic pill containers and their close-fitting tops. She found the new plastic cigar box and packed it carefully with the small pill containers, each full of water.

The peace and the activity held. It was good to see Brooke so involved. Janie's persistence and adeptness with the building stuff were a marvel to watch and a joy to assist. When she tackles a project she supplies enough of her own design to maintain self-direction. She accepts only minor help which furthers her own plans.

When Patty had finished her number game with the golf tees she went to investigate Janie's affairs. Janie who was studying the door-with-hinges showed it to Patty and insisted that Patty should see that the hinges would open and close in only one direction. Patty was impressed, and assured Janie that she appreciated the properties of those hinges.

One is reminded here of the special language which some hearing twins invent for their own communication before they learn to speak their native tongue. Patty and Janie have their own almost invisible and certainly inaudible language. But here I want to consider the implications of this short interplay for a moment in relation to communication skills and materials. Remember that these four-year-olds have essentially no spoken language. (Patty has none; Janie's very few words she uses on occasion, but not with Patty.) The materials "discussed" here fall into the general category of blocks (bricks). Their value for stimulating communication is underlined: being open-ended and not designed for one

JANIE'S HOUSE

Janie made a house one day.
She used bolts and nuts
and peg-boards and wood....
and the big screwdriver.
Janie worked and worked.



Janie was still putting
on the roof when Patty
moved in. The little
cash register came with
Patty ---to furnish the
house that Janie built.

answer (in contrast, say, to puzzles) they stimulated Janie to tell Patty something quite subtle that she had discovered about them. The value of this experience, for both children, is obvious. As my good friend and associate Bill Hull says about some materials, "They have a manageable complexity." Here the children wished to discuss some such quality.

First things first in this early world. After the matter of how the hinges worked was clear and before the door was hung and the roof raised, Janie invited Patty to move into the house. Patty first dashed off with great purpose to find something. Janie went back to work and Patty returned with a small cash register she had taken from Miss M.'s desk to furnish the house with. In went the cash register, in squeezed Patty (resembling the rapidly growing Alice after she drank out of that first bottle labeled "Drink me"). Patty's fingers pounded at the tin cash register and she could just turn her cramped head to grin out the window. Claire adds details:

> Patty was in the house now. Janie found a roof for the top but then Patty didn't fit; so while Patty stayed in Janie built the four roof supports higher. Such dear friends they are, no squabbling - just sharing and working together.
>
> A little trouble with one of the empty plastic tubes and marbles. Greg and Phillip were rolling marbles down the tube and catching them, when four escaped and Brooke retrieved them. Did she return them to Greg and Phillip? Of course not. She tormented the boys until Greg changed course by putting his remaining marbles in one of the large plastic water carriers and ran around the room shaking it. The noise was glorious and the vibrations palpable. The two boys had made a new game where Brooke was chasing them to have a turn.
>
> If someone had told me the first time I came here that Greg and Phillip would act as they did today, I wouldn't have believed it - Greg running around laughing, calling attention to himself, playing for so long with Phillip with no self-consciousness and no deterioration in the play. Another whole side of both boys is emerging.
>
> Late this morning about fifteen, high-heeled, ladies came into the classroom to observe. (They were visitors at Fillmore from a city university.) The kids were so busy they hardly noticed the adults.

The ladies were with us for the last fifteen minutes. They wandered about and watched but became invisible in the atmosphere which the children had woven around their own affairs. My remaining impression of these visitors is that when they first filed in they were giantresses. This is the trick one's own consciousness plays with scale, exaggerated here, I suppose, by my spending a good part of the time sitting on the floor or stooping and by my own professional sheath of separation from the outer world while in school.

Brooke underlined again today how much diversity is required if all children are to flourish. The morning had been about par for her, suggesting to me that she had not gotten enough out of it. I know she needs a one-to-one relationship more than the others do. So at the end of this morning I invited her to help carry our junk to the car. Fortunately her ride home was late and she and I had a good half hour together.

We made more trips than necessary so that Brooke, who was very much pleased, could have more time to help. On our first trip my car had to be unlocked. Reaching for the keys, Brooke immediately elected herself to ceremoniously unlock both doors and trunk. She wanted to know what each key was for, but my house key presented a mystery still to be explained. Different keys, different locks, unaccustomed hands, but much determination resulted in stowed gear and a glowing Brooke. Bursting with responsibility she locked up at last and we went for another load. After three trips we were back in the classroom where the adept locksmith absolutely refused to return my keys.

With that challenging grin on her face she confronted me from across the room, keys in hand. With the Brookes of this world (when danger is not at issue) I refuse to break such an impass by using authority or by running faster. (These must be reserved as good coinage when avoiding danger.) I have face to save - not rank to pull, which in a situation of this kind is not playing the game. So I borrowed from Greg's ingenuity and

started another game.

I turned my back to Brooke and stooped to draw a car-with-doors on the blackboard. Before I could finish the sparse outline Brooke was beside me watching. Next to the car I drew a house with a door and in it a large keyhole. Still holding my keys she selected the car keys, "opened" the sketched car door, the trunk, and then shifted to my house key for the house door. She tried it again and again with laughter, gave me the keys unasked and tried to draw her own house beside mine.

She reborrowed my keys to use on her drawing, and then while my back was turned was picked up to go home. For one sinking moment I thought she had taken those beloved keys with her, but after a short hunt I found them on the window sill. Luck and Brooke had not failed me.

Note for next visit:

The pegboard with bolts is great for some and would be frustrating for others if they were expected to use it in any specified way or time, or if there were not enough other kinds of equipment at hand. The dexterity which the screwdriver requires is challenging to Janie and Patty, too annoying for Greg (he substituted fingers), and not interesting for Brooke. So it is with most good stuff. It speaks to children at one time or another but not always when or how one expects it to. Will try shorter screwdrivers next time. In a classroom not permitted hammers, wood, and nails, could these pinch-hit? See p. 147 for additional pictures of the children using today's equipment.

CHANGES NOTED - SUMMING UP

Visit XIII, April 28

New Equipment

Pulleys

Stubby screwdrivers to replace the long ones

* * *

Before the children themselves come into focus today, I want to speak of the change that has been evident and to indicate that at the end of this morning, my last visit, a preface is finishing. Until last week I had not felt there was enough information to hazard a prediction or a summation - the former being based on the latter - for these six children.

We have been concerned with short term, week-to-week plans which would provide involvement for the children and feedback for our understanding of how they individually used the materials and the climate we provided. Until one has some experience in observing how a given child structures his learning in a variety of situations - how he ticks - it is presumptuous to predict or to set any long range plans. Even then, of course, plans and predictions for the young are subject to sudden shifts, more with certain fours than others since in some human beings patterns jell earlier than in others.

Our not seeing these children in out-of-school situations, even on the school bus or with other children on a playground, has been a limiting factor in our understanding of each as a whole human being, and has accounted for a certain truncation, opportunistic modes of structuring the mornings, and a too rapid variation in materials. I have had to speed my own attempts to understand by introducing a variety of classroom situations in which to observe the children. At the end of this chapter there are sketches of

Screwdrivers

The end of the screwdriver just fits into the slot of the bolt and helps Brooke and Janie turn the bolts.

the kind I would make were I planning for their subsequent school months.

This morning a slower tempo pervaded in contrast to last week's intensity, and though this change of mood was in part planned, it was so planned as a response to what I judged the children had indicated as a sequential step.

With Lisa back and well, I want to take a good long look at her. I watched her first at a physically complicated task she had set for herself. In one hand she held a clear plastic jar, and in the other she clutched some marbles. Now the fist of a plump four-year-old will not hold much, nor is it very dexterous while trying to hold on to things. Lisa dropped and retrieved more than one marble in what I took to be her attempt simply to fill the jar. She managed with skill and serenity to retrieve the roll-aways and put them into the plastic jar. Almost by magic she produced the snap-on top (where had she hidden that?), capped the jar, raised it to her ear, and then gave it a shake with a wide smile of self-congratulation on her face. Only then did I realize that it was a "shaker" she had designed and made for herself. None that we had put together held marbles. Had she combined two ideas: the long water tubes of marbles which she saw before going home sick last week and the shakers without water?

It will be remembered that we did not find much of significance in the use of those shakers when we introduced them in Visit VII. That Lisa stored away the idea for future use and was able to introduce a variation on the theme gives us a useful bit of insight into her use of old stuff in self-designed ways and of her growth.

I kept the close-up on Lisa, relishing the fact that this was possible without her interacting with my attention. She is changing and shedding the need for constant ratification. Later in the morning, then, I watched her working to fill one of the long bubble tubes with water. Because of her shortness, the length of the tube she had chosen, and hence the angle to which she had

to tip it to get water from her container to the tube's opening, Lisa poured more water on the floor than in the tube. She had already corked the far end of the tube and inserted a funnel in the opening (useful in the past with shorter tubes); but the funnel here added to her trouble with its wide angle slope when tipped.

After an interminable time, to this observer, when the water level did not rise in the tube and there was a river on the floor, Lisa gave up momentarily and found her own solution. She climbed onto a chair (both hands full, having refilled her can with water from the pool), looked <u>down</u> into a now perpendicularly held funnel and tube, and poured the whole can of water in slowly to prevent overflow! I missed seeing how she managed to cork the top end, but my next view of Lisa showed her using her long, well-corked bubble tube with expertness and appreciation.

Had she carried in her memory from weeks ago Brooke's similar use of a chair; or did she have in her mind's eye the photograph and accompanying description of Brooke standing so? Such a picture went home in the photo book. We cannot know, but it was reassuring to see her again solve a complicated problem she set for herself, selecting and discarding from her environment instead of asking for help. Claire's notes catch Lisa in another episode.

> Brooke and Lisa worked together with a long empty plastic tube - using it for an inclined plane. They kept dropping marbles down it and watched them scoot across the floor; then one or the other would run after the marbles and bring back a handful - no friction at all - none between the children and little between marbles, tube, and floor.
>
> Janie was using a stubby screwdriver with the boards and bolts. Not so sure this is any easier than the longer one. We should bring both next time so that the children can choose.

Claire is right. We should have brought both. I had thought that the short screwdrivers might be easier to use. Not necessarily so. If a child was interested and able, as Janie was, the

More Pulleys - More Water

To make a small pulley-stand Janie uses bolts and sticks, and works very carefully.

Phillip squeezes water into Lisa's plastic bottle so that Lisa can fill her long tube.

long one worked well, and for those who could not yet manage the long ones, the short were not much better. It was more a matter of the coordination needed for turning than the length of the tool.

Claire noticed:

> Phillip was very helpful to Lisa at the water pool. He helped her fill a squeeze bottle with water so that she could then squeeze that water into a bubble tube. As their pictures show, they were having a great time together. (Another way to fill a tube!)
>
> Miss M. told me an interesting thing about Lisa. Her mother reported that her Sunday School teacher had asked where Lisa had learned the written names of colors as well as how to identify them. Lisa's mother had answered, "Not at home," and then had asked Miss M. about it. Miss M. was certain it was the gels with the names of their colors printed on them. I realized from this episode that Lisa had taught herself by playing with the gels without our fussing over her. This is one way, as F. H. has suggested, that children teach themselves to read.
>
> Brooke worked hard and was satisfied with a little right angle structure she made of boards and bolts. It stood nicely as a tent.
>
> With Janie I went through a long, laborious task using bolts and was amazed at her dexterity, perseverance, and enthusiasm. She was trying to attach a piece of pegboard onto a 1"x1"x18" stick. It was arranged so that the screw had to be turned in an awkward manner with tiny motions. She never gave up even when I felt like swearing at the board. Then just as we were about to see the bolt peek through so that we could put on the nut, all the children were taken out for "class pictures." I felt a great let-down.

When the children returned I was still tying a pulley onto the jungle-gym; the pictures of Patty and Janie using the pulley tell that story. Claire describes the end of the day:

> Phillip and Greg, who had returned from an ear test, didn't want to stop playing with the tubes and marbles. They were using the long tube for an inclined plane as Lisa and Brooke had done. Their friendship seems stronger. Was it strengthened by their making the bubble tube with marbles last time?

> The pulley was great fun but things got a little out of hand after a while.

Things get out of hand for many reasons with the youngest. Here I felt it had to do with the fact that our morning was chopped up and interfered with by a series of interruptions. We have usually been happily free of this. The children, I submit, resented this shortening of their time-in-the-classroom and simply were not ready to leave when the clock hit ten-thirty.

Their behavior is classic, of course, for children pulled away prematurely from engaging work. Short as our visits have been, I have started clean-up early enough to avoid trouble thus making it a part of the whole. But this morning I too felt cheated of the time needed to fully explore with the children.

Claire came alone for the last visit to Fillmore. We might have called it her "Final." Her brief notes indicate how things went:

> Greg was a changed boy again (from the first time I met him) . . . Lisa was more settled down . . . It was a busy morning and the time flew. I enjoyed it.

The domumentary of what Claire has observed and learned over these weeks is in her photographs. Once she had conquered the technicalities of taking pictures of those rapidly moving young ones she turned her attention to photographing the essence of what she saw. The sequential record of how the children were involved makes a living record of these weeks. What significance this visual history had for the children will be remembered from our earlier descriptions. To me, for whom both young teachers and the children are my class, the photographs are testimony of the learning that took place.

Had circumstances and time permitted us to continue with these children we would at this point be shifting emphasis from soundings and foundations to structures. I underline <u>emphasis</u>

TO MAKE A PULLEY

1
Patty tied one end of a string to the plastic water carrier, put the other end over the pulley wheel ----



2
and pulled -

3
and
pulled -

4
and pulled -

5
until Janie, sitting high
on top of the jungle-gym,
could reach that water
carrier.

since we know that work with the young is seldom far away from the opening of new doors. New and old foundations and the structures built on them have a way of emerging concurrently when things go well in the lives of children, or of fortunate adults. The precise time of shift in emphasis will differ for each of these six. It is to spell out some of these differences that a teacher takes time to make notes, thus adding to her own insight into each child's future and her ability to plan for it.

I begin with Brooke - let us say for alphabetical reasons. She certainly has "that within which passeth show." Both to Miss M. and to me Brooke makes sense in her individual manner of structuring the school world for her learning. It takes skill to provide the order she requires. In her contacts with other adults at school she is a threat. "That one must learn to obey," a coordinating teacher said to me one morning in the cafeteria. Miss M. reported that Brooke was often in trouble with the music (rhythm) teacher because she would leave a scene when bored. I do not deny that her mode of operating, her hearing loss which I suspect is greater than the other's, but primarily her astute critical sense require extra work and understanding on the part of a teacher. If prized, however, her unique approach adds sure excitement to the whole relationship between this child and her teacher.

Brooke is a fighter and when such a one turns her energy toward self-transformation and learning about the world this is not a minor advantage. She is alert to nonsense and to roads of no significance for herself; she could not have become such a complex and fascinating child, with such a magnificent sense of humor, had she not refused to allow herself to be manipulated. She is reading already in the best sense of the word for a four-year-old - when and if she needs to - and, being deaf and being Brooke she needs written language in order to communicate the subtle insights of her own mind. She is the least verbal physically of the six yet she is the most expressive in figurative or imaginative ways. It is Brooke who most often has abstract ideas to communicate. With her tough, inventive

mind she will teach herself to read well by almost any method a teachers offers - unless she is unlucky enough next year to have a teacher who trys to "break that will." Even then I am betting on her to carry on a good fight.

But what do I see as an optimal setting for Brooke? One which goes much faster initially in using the printed word. Because reading-language has been taught here in a group, Brooke has not been able to go as fast as her need and readiness would allow. As I have pointed out, she learns most rapidly in a one-to-one communicating relationship with or without words. Brooke more than any of the others, has been and will be released by the coupling of the written word with the thing, activity, or action. She bestows her best attention upon materials which are offered with words.

If the school world makes sense to her, the daydreaming and withdrawal will be minimized - and the reverse is certainly true. What a challenge awaits the teacher who can and will take the time to observe and learn with this one! We have not been of enough help to Brooke. I am just beginning to understand how she functions and it is hard to leave her at this point.

Because the self-regulating Phillip lives in such a different part of the forest I want to think about him next, in contrast to Brooke. For Phillip the printed word is not yet much needed or of much use. It will be if well integrated with his primary concerns: exploring the physical world, admiring its phenomena, and insatiably observing and experimenting.

If reading and writing are pushed at him before he sees how they fit his needs, his defenses of boredom and daydreaming will be called up against what is still irrelevant for him, and his learning slowed. Just as we have seen him teach himself with open-ended materials, so he will, if not forced, make the printed word his own. Boys like Phillip succeed under their own banners and fail only when squeezed into a rigid time scale or narrow method. Who does not understand these basic truths? Only those who, by not providing "raw" materials, rule out the setting in

which the Phillips exemplify how learning proceeds for them, how much they can teach themselves.

Lisa will be using the printed word easily from here on, but seeing her employ newly released energies for inventive and self-guided pursuits suggests that she should not be rushed into reading and writing. She will have little trouble there but her compulsive habits of trying to please and imitate are too recently set aside. She will bring a deeper and subtler understanding to the written word if she is not skipped to the symbol until she has grasped enough reality to make it meaningful.

She needs more time for expansion - for stretching her new muscles of freedom - freedom from the need for constant approval. The psychic energy which she earlier spent in searching for people's reactions and then in manipulating them is being released for growth and exploration of a wider world. Our particular role cannot be accurately defined in this development, but I believe it is a positive one. Her recent behavior suggests that she has used our visits and materials well.

Patty is expanding daily into a world of her own structuring. She was already moving when we met her but who has not been pleased to see her in these notes selecting, recombining, and inventing? For Patty, language spoken, read, and written will be interwoven in some not-yet-designed manner. She had no trouble with the words in the photo book. She will bring to her new learning a richness already in her mind, once she feels the need. She will, that is, if school does not dampen her own fire by superimposing some standard, pre-cooked, tasteless method upon her. She has a quiet determination, an inventive and selective mind, and a delight in the world's phenomena which will be protective.

And the ascendent Greg. He is now heady with the sense of everything opening up, and he gathers grist for his mill from unlikely fields. (Six blue eggs!) Suddenly he is using the spoken word with meaning and joy. It is my guess that he hears more than his early use of words indicated. His new zest for exploring is affecting his awareness and thus his listening. Some emotional

restriction was, I believe, connected with his inability to listen and absorb. Only the months ahead will indicate the extent and the fibre of the coupling.

Greg has so well exemplified how attention is a function of a totality. When well-meaning teachers of "disadvantaged" children speak of training the attention I become irrational. If they were to watch how children bestow their attention when school is relevant and exciting, each child in his own good time and way, they would be as horrified as I to speak of "training" this singularly illusive attribute of living beings. Attention is a close cousin of love and one does not speak of training someone to love but rather of providing the right setting.

How Greg will fit the written word more significantly into his emerging pattern I cannot say; but with communication opening, as it is, he will seek and find paths if given the chance. I would like to be there as he does. We have seen him travel so far in such a brief time.

What of Janie who is already one of the favored of this world? To watch her through these notes - to be with her - is to feel warmth in the joy and goodness which one small human radiates. Such a child needs less from us professionally than most. She is indeed on her way, and will take the best from any reasonable regime. But knowing this, having verified it often in the past, there still remains a great challenge with these lucky few.

Ad nauseum do our schools point with pride to children like Janie. It is almost impossible to fail with these children, though mediocre schools do little to stimulate them. Any real teacher measures his worth, any good school measures it, by the width of that margin between what a child brings to school and what he takes from it. Though identification of these margins is not easy, how else can we honestly evaluate our effectiveness? I believe it is mandatory to measure thus if our schools are to become rich places for learning.

It is really difficult to weigh what school has added to Janie's world. She certainly has added to the worlds of other people.

Now we must ask - concerned as we are with schools - how many teachers of our youngest children have the time, the professional encouragement, and small enough classes to release, study, and understand their children's patterns in the early months? Very few, I fear, since so many teachers come to me with pleas for help just here. Yet it is in these first contacts with formal learning that children <u>not</u> already on their way must put down roots - deep ones. Too much early "training" is now equated with a "head start." Thus shallow roots are started which depend on mumbo-jumbo memorization of words, on narrow patterns of behavior, and on that "training" of the attention. Deep roots, of course, may grow coincidentally - signifying that school is sometimes irrelevant. The Janies survive such nonsensical early training <u>because their deep roots for a more formal learning exist already</u>, the added shallow roots being accessory only and <u>not</u> important.

These six have given us a spring to remember - may it be so for them too.

..... and a picnic with
balloons to end our story.

EPILOGUE

> Those last days! . . . Children early formed the habit of gaining all their images at second hand, by looking at a screen; they grew up believing that anything perceived directly was vaguely fraudulent . . . . I think the decline in the importance of direct images dated from the year television managed to catch an eclipse of the moon. After that, nobody ever looked at the sky, and it was as though the moon had joined the shabby company of buskers. There was never really a moment when a child, or even a man, felt free to look away from the television screen - for fear he might miss the one clue that would explain everything. - E.B. White, The Second Tree from the Corner, Harper & Bros., New York, 1954.

A brief demonstration proves nothing. It may, however, remind one that there is much that we already know: surely enough to put aside shaking and pummeling, or little rewards suitably administered. Above all it may suggest that there is no single clue, but rather a rich multiplicity and variety to be grasped, to be understood, and to be ordered in priority.

A demonstration shows the meaning of a particular way of ordering the priorities, and in this I hope we - six of us very young and very handicapped - have succeeded.

APPENDIX I

I shall always be grateful to Dr. Richard Krug for introducing me to these children and for the autonomy he gave me in working with them. With his kind permission the summary of his project is quoted below.

Demonstration Classroom - Language Instruction for the Deaf

"The basic purpose of the project is to see if language skills can be developed or improved by concentrating some attention upon the syntactical meaning of words. Special attention upon the syntactical meaning of words might afford a possibility of accelerating the development of language skills for young deaf children. The specific objectives of the demonstration are (1) to teach the syntactical meaning of words at a simple level, (2) to teach the young child of preschool age to write, (3) to allow the child to express himself to some degree, at least, without writing, without speech, finger spelling, or signing. The approach of the demonstration was to program information and the development of ideas in an effort to have the children come to understand the function of a word within a specific sentence structure. The original intent was to utilize a color code in an attempt to identify classes of words but such color coding was found to be unnecessary. Observation of the preschool group revealed that with appropriate programming of ideas three and four year old deaf children can come to understand the syntactical meaning of words as demonstrated by their ability to construct, read, and react appropriately to the vocabulary presented to them and used within specific sentence structures. The approach technique and materials developed during the first year of the project will be utilized in five different schools for the deaf in the United States during the coming school year. The original demonstration group will then be used to develop the techniques and approaches for the second year of language development."

APPENDIX II

Equipment

This list is a cumulative summary, with comments and additional photographs of the equipment listed in each chapter. A few items were removed along the way, such as Hamster. It was hard to transport him on cold days, and the children's interest in him diminished as time went on and their interest in manipulative things grew.

The minimal kinds of provisioning we had, especially weak on the biological side, must be thought of in terms of the particular circumstances. We had to trim our sails to the kind of sea and wind we encountered.

In assessing the list it should also be remembered that the classroom itself provided the blocks, dolls, etc. which the children used, I assume, on other days.

Hamster - in small cage, with sunflower seeds, celery, lettuce, etc. for him to eat. See Gerbils, a small suggestive booklet published by the Elementary Science Study, 55 Chapel Street, Newton, Mass.

Bubble Equipment - straws, small cans, Ivory Snow, glycerine. Proportions of soap and water vary with the hardness of local water. We used 2-4 teaspoons of glycerine per quart of soap solution. It makes longer lasting bubbles. The skin of threes and fours is sensitive enough to suggest soap rather than detergent, though the latter, suitably diluted, is acceptable for older children. With older children there is much investigation to be done on the properties of bubble mixes (e.g., size and durability of bubbles) as these vary with proportions in the mix and with the kinds of soap or detergent used.

Tire Tubes and Pump - with the valve-stem covers which are slotted on the ends to make a little wrench for removing or replacing the valve. We find that both bicycle and automobile tubes are valuable. A bicycle tube develops an enlarged "balloon" easily, which is comical and instructive. A good supply of the elusive valve-stem caps is necessary since they are so small. One needs them to let the air out quickly. The pump is a standard automobile tire pump, and can be obtained from automobile supply shops.

Large Transparent Plastic Syringes - These can be bought from hospital supply companies in various sizes. We used 50 cc.

Transparent Rigid Plastic Tubes - are available in plastics stores in a variety of diameters and weights, and may be cut to desired lengths by the supplier or with a hacksaw. The ends can be sandpapered to remove cutting burrs and to round edges. A good supply of corks, in assorted sizes, was provided.

Attribute Blocks - These are a set of 75 colored 1" cubes, and a set of 32 pieces of four shapes, four colors, and two sizes, with colored nylon loops. They can be obtained from Elementary Science Study. See picture p. 145.

Flashlight-Magnifier - This was a small expensive one with a rechargeable battery. There are larger and less expensive ones on the market which use standard flashlight cells. The fixed focus plus light make these useful for small children, but they do not replace hand lenses or thread counters (linen-tester lenses) which can be obtained from Edmund Scientific Co. in Barrington, N.J.

Flashlights - See Visit IV for a discussion of the need for batteries, bulbs, wires, and sockets.

Food Color - For a whole school these can be bought dry from

grocery or bakery supply houses and dissolved as needed. In this form they are very cheap per unit of solution. Large bottles of food color are on the shelves of most markets which, for young children, can be diluted about 10:1. The color is less intense when spilled, yet deep enough to make vivid clouds in water.

Holding Place for Water - These are Pueblo Indian words; we have nothing so appropriate. Any large tub or plastic wading pool will do. For small children there is some advantage to having it low. Expensive water tables are available. See picture p. 143.

Gels - These are best described by the pictures on p. 80. large sheets of photographic or theatrical gel material are expensive but go far and pay off in their value to children. We cut pieces of about 3" x 5", rounded the corners and taped the edges. The name of the color was printed on the tape and in a "table of contents" on a large sturdy envelope fixed with a yarn shoulder strap:

LISA'S 6 GELS
1 BLUE GEL
1 RED GEL
(etc.)

Dilution Trays - These molded trays (used by pharmacists and other diluters) have 8 x 12 or so small depressions (marble size) and come from a plastics store among other places. By some mail shopping we found it possible to get free "seconds." We have now found a tray with larger holes (36) which I recommend. They are called "dental" trays. The cost is small, and plastics outlets sell them. See picture on p. 144.

Eyedroppers (bulb pipettes) - One can find these made of plastic at drugstores, but the milkiness of the plastic prevents a clear view of the color drawn into the pipette. In

general I do not recommend using glass equipment with young children, but with the small size and careful use here, I have found glass to be satisfactory. Clear plastic droppers would be better but I have found none. Transparency invites observation of the effect of a squeeze or release and this is important for exploring the feedback of delicate control.

Thin Aluminum Containers - These were large old-fashioned salt shakers. We filled them with a variety of materials: pebbles, beans, peas, rice, sunflower seeds, lead shot, sand. When shaken the sounds are subtly characteristic of the material inside. Yet even without sound there are clues from heft and vibration. See picture p. 145.

Clear Plastic Containers with Caps - Ours were large discarded pill bottles, cylinders about 2" x 5" and filled with the same materials as above. Pictures and text show the ways in which these children used them. I have since used these and the aluminum containers and contents with kindergarteners. They are stimulating in a variety of ways: for guessing, for reading, for feeling. See picture p. 145.

Things to Take Apart and Put Together - A good junk yard is well worth spending time in to search for such materials. We found large nuts and bolts, old box cameras, an old alarm clock (with alarm still working) and much more. The cost was minimal.

Paper Towels - For painting on and dropping color on. It is a decent material to take the place - for the youngest - of filter paper or chromatography paper.

Playdough - The usual mixture, about two parts of salt to one of flour, with color and water enough to make it look and feel right. This is too expensive and not as versatile as good clay, but it

is useful in emergencies or as a special kind of modeling material - with older children, for example, for making relief maps.

Periscope Prism - Army surplus shops still have these, cheap enough and well encased in metal so that breakage is difficult. These should not, of course, replace ordinary prisms.

Balances - The kind I use here is best described in the picture of Phillip, p. 102. The sturdy base sat on the floor. There was a finishing nail in the upright for a fulcrum, put in at a slight angle to prevent the crossarm from constantly slipping off. Many holes were drilled in the crossarm yardstick so that cups could be suspended in different places and the stick itself balanced or unbalanced. I chose the yardstick not because of its numbers but because it was easy to scale and drill. Paper cups were hung on at random by means of pipe cleaners. In the small box which went with each balance was a collection of lightweight junk, of different sizes, shapes, and densities: paper clips of different sizes, golf tees of different colors, styrofoam balls, marbles, metal chain, etc.

In my own classroom for the young I try to have a wide variety of balances, from the kind here described to a simple board, 1" x 6" x 3', on a rounded fulcrum, seesaw variety. Some have used a large walk-on and sit-on variety with a low fulcrum. This requires more teacher-involvement than I wanted here. I tried it one day for a few minutes, but removed it to save fingers and toes and ankles from being squeezed. See The Balance Book, Elementary Science Study.

Colored Chalk and Wet Construction Paper - See Visit XI and picture, p. 146.

Pegboard, Rods and Bolts - See description and pictures in Visit XII.

Plastic Water Jugs - Two-gallon size, with two capped holes at top. See picture, p. 143.

Flexible Plastic Tubing - Comes in many diameters and has many uses, from siphons to talking-tubes. Sold by the foot at plastics stores.

Pulleys - I have yet to find a child who does not take to the pulley as something he has "always wanted to use." Our children here were no exception. The wheel, of course, is not always necessary. A five-year-old found this out recently when the pulley wheel we were using came out of the ceiling. By the time we got the ladder to replace it he had looped the rope, with a stuffed ball on one end, over a curtain rod: "Look, I made a pulley myself!"

Plastic Containers - of all sizes, with tops. One relies on one's friends to save them, in many useful shapes and sizes.

Et Cetera - We brought a good supply of rags, extra containers, colored paper, colored chalk, soap, funnels, and other odds and ends as accessories to the equipment listed above.





WATER

From week to week minor changes were made in equipment for the water play. The pictures give a sample selection of the "junk" for water: detergent squeeze bottles, old plastic medicine jars, berry baskets, funnels, the plastic water carrier, plastic tubes, etc. What to remove or to add, of course, was often suggested by the children's inventive use of what was provided. Janie found blowing-at-long-distance irresistible one morning, so we opened the camera while closing our eyes to the hygienic implications, and next time brought an extra supply of soap.

When Patty (see p. 71) took a dry pea and later a sunflower seed from the shaker stuff and dropped them into her water-filled tube, we were reminded that marbles for children to use in the tubes might add a new dimension.

Diameters came center stage as the joy and concentration of the children in fitting corks to tube ends became evident. We added a wider variety of diameters - so that the children could further enjoy matching and gauging.

Colored gels:

to hold

to look at

to see through

after seeing through!

Colored water:

to squeeze

to drop into plastic trays

to mix

to match

ATTRIBUTE BLOCKS
Available at
Elementary Science Study
55 Chapel St.
Newton, Mass.
02158



SHAKERS

These are the aluminum and plastic shakers. The peas, beans, rice, rocks, etc. have their own contrasting attributes. What is rather startling is that many of these can be discerned, by sound or feel, through the thin walls of the aluminum shakers. For older children the sieves with graduated mesh offer possibilities for sorting and discovering other characteristics of these materials.

Pumps

Mouths

Gels

Watered paper - Colored chalk

Screwdrivers





Pegs

and

Holes

Boards



Bolts and nuts

Hands

Fingers

Sticks

and Time

and Thought

CHALK AND BLACKBOARD

This was the first day of picture taking (and about the last in which a child would take time out for watching the process - as Greg and Janie do here.) After a morning of blowing bubbles and watching them rise in the sealed tubes, chalk and blackboard easily communicated these new words and their recently meaningful adjectives. The "little bubble" being drawn in the picture below amused Patty, Brooke, and Lisa enough that they subsequently took chalk and made many tiny "bubbles" on the board for each other's enjoyment.